

## Zika Outbreak Epicenter in Same Area Where GM Mosquitoes Were Released in 2015

By <u>Claire Bernish</u> Global Research, January 29, 2016 <u>The Anti-Media</u> 28 January 2016 Region: Latin America & Caribbean Theme: Environment, Science and Medicine

The World Health Organization announced it will <u>convene</u> an Emergency Committee under International Health Regulations on Monday, February 1, concerning the Zika virus 'explosive' spread throughout the Americas. The virus reportedly has the potential to reach pandemic proportions — possibly around the globe. But understandingwhy this outbreak happened is vital to curbing it. As the WHO <u>statement</u> said:

"A causal relationship between Zika virus infection and birth malformations and neurological syndromes ... is strongly suspected. [These links] have rapidly changed the risk profile of Zika, from a mild threat to one of alarming proportions.

"WHO is deeply concerned about this rapidly evolving situation for 4 main reasons: the possible association of infection with birth malformations and neurological syndromes; the potential for further international spread given the wide geographical distribution of the mosquito vector; the lack of population immunity in newly affected areas; and the absence of vaccines, specific treatments, and rapid diagnostic tests [...]

"The level of concern is high, as is the level of uncertainty."

Zika seemingly exploded out of nowhere. Though it was first discovered in 1947, cases only sporadically occurred throughout Africa and southern Asia. In 2007, the first case was reported in the Pacific. In 2013, a smattering of small outbreaks and individual cases were officially documented in Africa and the western Pacific. They also began showing up in the Americas. In May 2015, Brazil reported its first case of Zika virus — and the situation changed dramatically.

Brazil is now <u>considered</u> the epicenter of the Zika outbreak, which coincides with at least 4,000 reports of babies born with <u>microcephaly</u> just since October.

When examining a rapidly expanding potential pandemic, it's necessary to leave no stone unturned so possible solutions, as well as future prevention, will be as effective as possible. In that vein, there was another significant development in 2015.

Oxitec first <u>unveiled</u> its large-scale, genetically-modified mosquito farm in Brazil in July 2012, with the goal of reducing *"the incidence of dengue fever,"* as *The Disease Daily* <u>reported</u>. Dengue fever is spread by the same Aedes mosquitoes which spread the Zika virus — and though they *"cannot fly more than 400 meters,"* WHO stated, *"it may inadvertently be transported by humans from one place to another."* By July 2015, shortly

after the GM mosquitoes were first released into the wild in Juazeiro, Brazil, Oxitec proudly announced they had "successfully controlled the Aedes aegypti mosquito that spreads dengue fever, chikungunya and zika virus, by reducing the target population by more than 90%."

Though that might sound like an astounding success — and, arguably, it was — there is an alarming possibility to consider.

Nature, as one Redditor keenly <u>pointed out</u>, finds a way — and the effort to control dengue, zika, and other viruses, appears to have backfired dramatically.

×

Juazeiro, Brazil — the location where genetically-modified mosquitoes were first released into the wild.

×

## Map showing the concentration of suspected Zika-related cases of <u>microcephaly</u> in Brazil.

The particular strain of Oxitec GM mosquitoes, OX513A, are genetically altered so the vast majority of their offspring will die before they mature — though Dr. Ricarda Steinbrecher published concerns in a <u>report in September 2010</u> that a known survival rate of 3-4 percent warranted further study before the release of the GM insects. Her concerns, which were echoed by several other scientists both at the time and since, appear to have been ignored — though they should not have been.

Those genetically-modified mosquitoes work to control wild, potentially disease-carrying populations in a very specific manner. Only the male modified Aedes mosquitoes are supposed to be released into the wild — as they will mate with their unaltered female counterparts. Once offspring are produced, the modified, scientific facet is supposed to 'kick in' and kill that larvae before it reaches breeding age — if tetracycline is not present during its development. But there is a problem.

×

## Aedes aegypti mosquito. Image credit: Muhammad Mahdi Karim

According to an unclassified <u>document</u> from the Trade and Agriculture Directorate Committee for Agriculture dated February 2015, Brazil is the third largest in "global antimicrobial consumption in food animal production" — meaning, Brazil is third in the world for its use of tetracycline in its food animals. As a study by the American Society of Agronomy, et. al., <u>explained</u>, "It is estimated that approximately 75% of antibiotics are not absorbed by animals and are excreted in waste." One of the antibiotics (or antimicrobials) specifically named in that report for its environmental persistence is tetracycline. In fact, as a confidential <u>internal Oxitec document</u> divulged in 2012, that survival rate could be as high as 15% — even with low levels of tetracycline present. *"Even small amounts of tetracycline can repress"* the engineered lethality. Indeed, that 15% survival rate was described by Oxitec:

"After a lot of testing and comparing experimental design, it was found that [researchers] had used a cat food to feed the [OX513A] larvae and this cat food contained chicken. It is known that tetracycline is routinely used to prevent infections in chickens, especially in the cheap, mass produced, chicken used for animal food. The chicken is heat-treated before being used, but this does not remove all the tetracycline. This meant that a small amount of tetracycline was being added from the food to the larvae and repressing the [designed] lethal system."

Even absent this tetracycline, as Steinbrecher explained, a "sub-population" of geneticallymodified Aedes mosquitoes could theoretically develop and thrive, in theory, "capable of surviving and flourishing despite any further" releases of 'pure' GM mosquitoes which still have that gene intact. She added, "the effectiveness of the system also depends on the [genetically-designed] late onset of the lethality. If the time of onset is altered due to environmental conditions ... then a 3-4% [survival rate] represents a much bigger problem..."

As the WHO stated in its press release, "conditions associated with this year's El Nino weather pattern are expected to increase mosquito populations greatly in many areas."

Incidentally, President Obama called for a <u>massive research effort</u> to develop a vaccine for the Zika virus, as one does not currently exist. Brazil has now called in <u>200,000 soldiers</u> to somehow help combat the virus' spread. Aedes mosquitoes have reportedly been <u>spotted</u> in the U.K. But perhaps the most ironic — or not — <u>proposition</u> was proffered on January 19, by the *MIT Technology Review*:

"An outbreak in the Western Hemisphere could give countries including the United States new reasons to try wiping out mosquitoes with genetic engineering.

"Yesterday, the Brazilian city of Piracicaba said it would expand the use of genetically modified mosquitoes ...

"The GM mosquitoes were created by Oxitec, a British company recently purchased by Intrexon, a synthetic biology company based in Maryland. The company said it has released bugs in parts of Brazil and the Cayman Islands to battle dengue fever."

Aedes aegypti mosquito. Image credit: Muhammad Mahdi Karim

The original source of this article is <u>The Anti-Media</u> Copyright © <u>Claire Bernish</u>, <u>The Anti-Media</u>, 2016

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Claire Bernish

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca