

# World Court scrutinizes Israeli wall despite opposition

By [Canadians for Justice and Peace in the Middle East](#)

Global Research, February 24, 2009

24 February 2009

Region: [Canada](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#)

In-depth Report: [PALESTINE](#)

For Immediate Release

Toronto, February 23, 2009 – The International Court of Justice (ICJ) brought important scrutiny to the construction of Israel’s Wall being built in Palestinian land in the West Bank when it began formal hearings five years ago today. The Court proceeded with the case despite strong opposition from important players in the UN, including both Canada the United States. Without the ICJ’s decision to move ahead with hearings, important legal implications of Israel’s Wall would never have been formally pronounced.

Canadians for Justice and Peace in the Middle East (CJPME) followed the case closely at the time, and was critical of Canada’s position on the case. In its written statement to the Court, Canada questioned the “usefulness” of a Court ruling on the case. Canada stated that “the issues would be more effectively addressed in a broader negotiation context” than before the Court. The United States, for its part, argued that Court proceedings risked endangering the Roadmap Peace Process, and would be irrelevant anyway as it felt that “permanent status issues” could only be addressed through the peace process. In hindsight, with the utter failure of the Roadmap Peace Plan of the Bush Administration, it is fortunate that the Court ignored these arguments.

In the opinion ultimately rendered by the Court, and applauded by CJPME, the Court thoroughly addressed and dismissed all questions of jurisdiction and “appropriateness” of proceeding with the Opinion. The Court did ultimately speak to “permanent status” issues declaring, for example, that Israel’s regime of colonization/settlement of the West Bank and Gaza was strictly illegal, and could not be used to bolster arguments around Israeli security concerns.

“While most legal mechanisms have failed the Palestinians, the decision by the ICJ to move ahead with this Opinion was one welcome exception,” said Thomas Woodley, President of CJPME. “While some countries argued that legal mechanisms might interfere with ‘political’ mechanisms, it was actually the other way around – to the disgrace of both Canada and the US,” continued Woodley. CJPME believes in the importance of respecting and promoting international law, especially in terms of bringing a just peace to the Middle East.

*For more information, please contact:*

*Grace Batchoun*

*Canadians for Justice and Peace in the Middle East*

Telephone: (514) 745-8491  
[CJPME Email](#) – [CJPME Website](#)

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Canadians for Justice and Peace in the Middle East](#), Global Research, 2009

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Canadians for  
Justice and Peace in the  
Middle East](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)