

Will Russian Aerospace Forces Really "Go Extinct at This Ratio of Losses"? War Propaganda

By Drago Bosnic Global Research, February 23, 2024 Region: <u>Russia and FSU</u> Theme: <u>Intelligence</u>, <u>Militarization and</u> <u>WMD</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name (only available in desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), <u>click here</u>.

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Big Tech's Effort to Silence Truth-tellers: Global Research Online Referral Campaign

It's long been known that the vast majority of the Kiev regime's "victories" on the battlefield are pure PR and optics. From the <u>"Ghost of Kiev" and "the last stand of the Snake Island</u> <u>defenders"</u> to <u>pickle jar air defenses</u> and <u>the "Goat of Kiev"</u>, there have been many <u>mindless</u> <u>tropes aiming to present the Russians as supposedly "inferior"</u> or at the very least "incompetent". By now, it should be more than obvious such claims serve as an IQ test of sorts. And yet, here we are.

The Russian Aerospace Forces (VKS) are particularly targeted in this massive smear campaign, most likely designed to not only present Moscow as "weak", <u>but also to hurt its</u> <u>foreign arms sales</u>. Namely, Russian fighter jets have always been a very lucrative business for the Kremlin, as much of the world has been buying them for well over half a century. The United States has been trying to deny Moscow the ability to export its weapons through sanctions, blackmail and other forms of pressure through coercive measures.

So far, this was met with mixed success and it can even be argued that it serves as a sort of litmus test for actual sovereignty. Thus, what Washington DC really needs is some good old war propaganda. Prior to and in the immediate aftermath of its disastrous defeat at Avdeyevka, the Neo-Nazi junta and its mainstream propaganda machine allies launched an effort to discredit the VKS through ludicrous claims such as the one that at least three Russian jets were downed "in a single last shot" at Avdeyevka, supposedly "slowing down" Moscow's forces. Up until recently, it seems that three was the "magical number" for the Kiev regime, as they made a lot of similar claims last year as well. However, they've decided to take it up a notch, so the new one is seven. Namely, the Neo-Nazi junta forces claim to have shot down seven Russian jets in five days only. The supposed "culprit"? Mere three American-made "Patriot" SAM (surface-to-air missile) batteries provided by the US and other NATO member states.

It's rather peculiar how this air defense system suddenly became "so good" <u>after over 30</u> years of humiliating failures against far less technologically advanced opponents. Unless someone actually believes that the Iraqi military and Houthis are ahead of the Russian military. After all, it makes "perfect sense" that Iraqi "Scud" knock-offs and Houthi \$500 drones are more advanced than Moscow's hypersonic missiles. Jokes aside, Saudi Arabia and the United Arab Emirates learned of the "Patriot's" capabilities the hard way, as the overhyped American SAM system failed to intercept cheap Houthi drones and missiles, resulting in billions of dollars of damage to companies such as Aramco. And yet, as soon as they were deployed in Ukraine, <u>"Patriots" supposedly started "shooting down" Russian hypersonic missiles</u> such as the now legendary "Kinzhal". How did this happen? Well, once again, <u>"magic" called war propaganda</u>. When one side in a conflict thinks they control the narrative, <u>"anything's possible"</u>.

According to Forbes, in just five days, the Kiev regime forces, "likely 'Patriot' crews firing 90mile-range PAC-2 missiles", allegedly "shot down seven Sukhoi glide-bombers: five twin-seat Su-34s and two single-seat Su-35s". It should be noted that the Su-35S is not a "glidebomber", but <u>a world-class air superiority fighter jet with significant multirole capabilities</u>, while the Su-34 is a fighter-bomber or a tactical strike aircraft. But who am I to ever question Forbes? They certainly know this better than I do. However, in all seriousness, any proof for such claims is sorely lacking. Still, they insist that "in getting more aggressive with its 'Patriots', the Ukrainian air force has tipped the air-defense scales in its favor". Pretty strong claims, given the aforementioned lack of battlefield evidence. And yet, Forbes concludes that "the Russian air force is losing its best fighter-bombers at a rate it cannot sustain", insisting that "seven shoot-downs in five days points to a monthly loss rate of more than 40 jets".

Fabulously enough, Forbes even managed to do some math and came to the conclusion that the VKS has "only 250 or so Su-34s and Su-35s left", meaning that "at this rate, both types could go extinct in six months". The report then blames the Neo-Nazi junta's defeat at Avdeyevka on Russian usage of glide bombs, particularly the KABs (without specifying the exact type). According to Forbes, hundreds of these bombs were "instrumental in Russia's successful conquest of the eastern city". The obvious question arises, if the Kiev regime is shooting down so many Russian "glide-bombers", how come they lost Avdeyevka? But, let's ignore this. After all, it's way too much logic for the mainstream propaganda machine. Now, where were we? Ah, yes. <u>Russia is losing</u> and its Aerospace Forces will soon go extinct. Forbes says that "losing Avdiivka lit a fire under the Ukrainian air force", supposedly pushing them to "fight harder" and take "more risks" by deploying at least 26 "Patriot" launchers along the frontline.

The report gives the impression that the Kremlin is "shaking in fear" because it "knows it has a 'Patriot' problem", as Forbes claims. However, there's a problem preventing the Neo-Nazi junta from achieving "complete victory" – Republicans. According to the report, Washington DC was "the biggest donor of 'Patriot' missiles, and Russia-aligned Republicans in the US Congress blocked further aid to Ukraine starting in October". And indeed, the news about all these supposed "shootdowns" show the timing is perfect for giving more "aid" to the Kiev regime. In reality, so far, there has been evidence for only one loss of a Russian Su-35S and the grossly overhyped "Patriot" can't even claim that one, as Moscow already established it was lost in a friendly fire incident. Namely, it's possible that the jet's IFF (if friend or foe) system malfunctioned, causing Russian air defenses to shoot it down. Still, it can only be expected we will hear much more of the war propaganda, as <u>the Neo-Nazi junta</u> claims it shot down hundreds of Russian jets.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

This article was originally published on InfoBrics.

<u>Drago Bosnic</u> is an independent geopolitical and military analyst. He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is from InfoBrics

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Drago Bosnic</u>, Global Research, 2024

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Drago Bosnic

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca