

Why Tucker Carlson Had to be Purged. “Axed by the Regime’s Henchmen”

Why would BlackRock pressure Fox News to axe Tucker?

By [Llewellyn H. Rockwell, Jr.](#)

Global Research, May 01, 2023

[LewRockwell.com](#)

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Law and Justice](#),
[Media Disinformation](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author’s name.

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

The Fox Network’s firing of Tucker Carlson last week at first seems surprising. He was the station’s biggest attraction. He was close to President Trump and was able to interview him on his program. Getting ridding of him is a surefire way to lose ratings and money, and television networks don’t like to do that. In addition, his fans are angry, which will make even more trouble for Fox. Why then was he removed? The answer is simple.

He raised issues you aren’t allowed to mention. He went after the CIA, saying he had information from an inside source the agency was involved in the Kennedy assassination.

He was a vaccine skeptic and spoke about the interests of Big Pharma in killing us.

The deep state couldn’t allow this. Therefore he had to go, and, we predict, he will be lucky if he isn’t arrested on some fraudulent charge.

Here is one of the things that Tucker Carlson said on April 19 that the toxic left would like to throw down the Orwellian memory hole:

“The channels took hundreds of millions of dollars from Big Pharma companies and then they shelled for their sketchy products on the air and as they did that, they maligned anyone who was skeptical of those products. At the very least, this was a moral crime. It was disgusting, but it was universal. It happened across the American news media. They all did it.

So, at this point, the question isn’t who in public life is corrupt? Too many to count. The question is who is telling the truth? There are not many of those. One of them is Robert F. Kennedy Jr. Robert Kennedy knew early that the COVID vaccines were both ineffective and potentially dangerous and he said so in public to the extent he was

allowed. Science has since proven Robert f. Kennedy Jr. right. Unequivocally right.

But Kennedy was not rewarded for this. He was vilified. He was censored because he dared to criticize their advertisers, the news media called Bobby Kennedy a Nazi, and then they attacked his family, but he kept doing it. He was not intimidated and we were glad he wasn't. This is one of those moments when it's nice to have a truth teller around. It's helpful because suddenly the stakes are very high." See [this](#).

The heroic Michael Rectenwald exposes the corrupt Big Pharma interests behind Tucker's ouster:

"Tucker's show was no doubt a leading money-maker for the network, or a major means for paying the damages. Why would Fox get rid of an income generator like Tucker Carlson just as the bill came due? The answer is that Fox is not as concerned about making money as it is about being a faithful servant of the regime.

Tucker crossed significant establishment redlines and has finally suffered the consequences. He consistently argued that the ruling elite hates the majority and consistently attacks it, that national sovereignty is being eroded, and that the electorate is being replaced. The redlines included his criticism of Volodymyr Zelensky and the U.S. involvement in the Ukrainian war, his criticism of the covid responses that destroyed the economy, his questioning of the vaccines, and his targeting by the Anti-Defamation League (ADL) for various 'offenses.'

In February, BlackRock Inc. [increased its position in Fox Corporation](#) (FOXA). BlackRock now owns 15.1 percent of the company. BlackRock's enhanced position in Fox Corporation explains, in part, the Tucker dismissal, and it was a dismissal, not Tucker's choice.

Why would BlackRock, headed by CEO Larry Fink, pressure Fox News to axe Tucker?

For one, Tucker was known for his scathing criticism of Ukraine's corruption, which put him at odds with the investment giant. In January, Carlson reacted derisively to a [video](#) of Ukrainian President Zelensky thanking BlackRock, J.P. Morgan, and Goldman Sachs for 'rebuilding' the country. Tucker referred to Zelensky, not as a hero, as the establishment would have it, but as a [dictator](#). Carlson has also been critical of BlackRock's push for environmental, social, and governance (ESG) investing, claiming, rightly, that ESG is a means of circumventing legislation and thus subverting democratic processes. ESG thwarts the will of the people and installs a 'climate change' dictatorship in its place.

BlackRock also has enormous [holdings in pharmaceuticals companies](#), as one of the three largest shareholders of Pfizer, Johnson & Johnson, and Merck. And Tucker recently interviewed Robert Kennedy, Jr. A presidential candidate, Kennedy has lambasted the Covid-19 vaccines as 'deadly and worthless.'

Perhaps most significant was the ADL's calls for Tucker's removal. Tucker consistently claimed that the Democratic Party is attempting to replace the American electorate with illegal immigrants and the ADL called for Tucker to be de-platformed for holding to 'the Great Replacement Theory,' as well as other views that the ADL forbids. The [ADL had consistently pushed for Tucker's dismissal](#). On [The Megyn Kelly Show](#), after Kelly noted that the ADL was once again pushing for Tucker's firing, Tucker said, 'f--- them.' And Tucker struck back at the ADL on [his own show](#).

Following Tucker's dismissal, the ADL's Jonathan Greenblatt celebrated Tucker's firing on [Twitter](#), where he also accused Tucker of spewing 'antisemitic, racist, xenophobic and anti-LGBTQ hate to millions.' He also acknowledged that the ADL had 'long called for his firing':

It's about time. For far too long, Tucker Carlson has used his primetime show to spew antisemitic, racist, xenophobic and anti-LGBTQ hate to millions. [@ADL](#) has long called for his firing for this and many other offenses, including spreading the Great Replacement Theory. <https://t.co/06LjNYYnpY>

— Jonathan Greenblatt (@JGreenblattADL) [April 24, 2023](#)

'It's about time. For far too long, Tucker Carlson has used his primetime show to spew antisemitic, racist, xenophobic and anti-LGBTQ hate to millions. [@ADL](#) has long called for his firing for this and many other offenses, including spreading the Great Replacement Theory'.

The Dominion defamation suit was not the impetus for the removal of Tucker Carlson from the Fox News line-up. Nor did Tucker walk out of Fox on his own accord. Tucker was axed by the regime's henchmen, who work together to silence dissent, pummel the population with endless propaganda, and gaslight their victims with lies that represent the precise inverse of the truth. As a propaganda apparatus of the ruling class, Fox News is not primarily interested in profit. Its *raison d'être* is to serve as controlled opposition. And Tucker was out of the establishment's control.

'The media,' Tucker recently [said](#), 'are part of the control apparatus...not only are they part of the problem, but I spent most of my life being part of the problem, defending the Iraq War, like I actually did that.' That is, Tucker came to recognize that the media are ideological state apparatuses whose functions are to indoctrinate, mislead, and support the reigning regime and their narratives, whether past, present, or future. [Tucker attempted to subvert those media functions](#) and became a danger to the regime. It is no wonder that he no longer works for Fox News. The question is how he lasted so long.

As Rectenwald points out, Tucker has challenged the plot of brain-dead Biden and his gang on neocon controllers to start a nuclear war with Russia over the Ukraine: He said last October: "The question of who blew up Russia's energy pipelines to Europe, which is not just a question in the news, it's a historical question, we've addressed it a couple of times already, is not really much of a question anymore. So, on television, they're assuring you that obviously the Russians did it. Vladimir Putin sabotaged his own pipelines.

With his nation at war, Putin intentionally destroyed Russia's most vital national asset. Now why, you ask yourself, would Putin do that? Well, because...actually no one's explained why Putin would do something like that. Bad people do bad things. That seems to be the idea.

The Biden administration is responsible, either directly or through proxies, for the destruction of the Nord Stream pipelines and the environmental catastrophe and the economic collapse that will certainly follow. That is true. It's done.

So the question is, where does that leave us? And that's the problem. This act leaves us, the United States, with no option but total war with Russia. There is no off-ramp now. There is

no way out. We are all in, no matter what that means, no matter where it goes.

Are you shocked by this? Was there a vote on this? Did someone ask your opinion? No, but it's been happening for months in slow motion. It's been hidden from public view by the near-total blackout imposed by America media outlets so you probably didn't know any of the details. For example, in March, the Turkish government tried to broker a peace in Ukraine and they came very, very close. Wasn't reported widely.

Ukraine was prepared to guarantee neutrality, meaning it would not join NATO. That's what the Russians wanted above all and in return for that, the Russian government would withdraw its forces from Ukraine and that might have been a neat solution, certainly for the rest of us. The global economy wouldn't need to be destroyed. Nobody would die in a nuclear war. Negotiations to that point advanced to the stage that Vladimir Putin pledged to meet with Zelenskyy to sign a peace treaty and Zelenskyy was ready for it, too and we're quoting, "I'm ready for a dialog," he announced, but sadly, Zelenskyy could not act alone. Despite what you may hear on NBC News, Zelenskyy is not the independent leader of a democratic nation. No, not even close. That is a fiction.

Zelenskyy is a client of the Biden administration, which runs his country, and ideologues within the Biden administration did not want a negotiated peace in Ukraine. They wanted all along and it's very clear now a [regime change war against Russia](#). Period.

Tucker Carlson also told the truth about the CIA and the Kennedy assassination: In a remarkable television broadcast on December 15, 2022, Tucker Carlson made an explosive charge. He pointed out that, contrary to law, the White House was refusing to release thousands of pages of documents about the assassination of John F. Kennedy on November 22, 1963. Carlson said that these documents proved CIA involvement in the assassination and that someone within the government who had looked at these documents made a direct statement to this effect.

1992, Congress passed the President John F. Kennedy Assassination Records Collection Act. That act mandated full disclosure of all documents by 2017, 54 years after JFK was killed. The last administration promised to comply fully with that law. But under intense pressure from CIA Director Mike Pompeo, withheld, in the end, thousands of pages of CIA documents.

Today, this afternoon, the [Biden administration](#) did exactly the same thing. That would be thousands of pages of documents after nearly 60 years, after the death of every single person involved. But we still can't see them. Clearly, it's not to protect any person. They're all dead. It's to protect an institution. But why?

Well, today we decided to find out. We spoke to someone who had access to these still hidden CIA documents, a person who was deeply familiar with what they contained. We asked this person directly, 'Did the CIA have a hand in the murder of John F. Kennedy, an American President?' And here's the reply we received verbatim. Quote, 'The answer is yes. I believe they were involved. It's a whole different country from what we thought it was. It's all fake.'

It's hard to imagine a more jarring response than that. Again, this is not a 'conspiracy theorist' that we spoke to. Not even close. This is someone with direct knowledge of the information that once again is being withheld from the American public. And the answer we received was unequivocal. Yes, the CIA was involved in the assassination of the president.

Now, some people will not be surprised to hear that they suspected it all along. But no matter how you feel about it or what you thought about the Kennedy assassination, pause to consider what this means.

It means that within the US government, there are forces wholly beyond democratic control. These forces are more powerful than the elected officials that supposedly oversee them. These forces can affect election outcomes. They can even hide their complicity in the murder of an American president. In other words, they can do pretty much anything they want. They constitute a government within a government mocking, by their very existence, the idea of democracy. As cynical as we have become after 30 years of watching government officials ignore the voters who employ them, we were shocked to learn this. It's not acceptable."

After this broadcast, Robert Kennedy, Jr, JFK's nephew, tweeted: "[The most courageous newscast in 60 years](#). The CIA's murder of my uncle was a successful coup d'état from which our democracy has never recovered."

The most courageous newscast in 60 years. The CIA's murder of my uncle was a successful coup d'état from which our democracy has never recovered.
[@TuckerCarlson](#) <https://t.co/qJ1sUdhe4t>

— Robert F. Kennedy Jr (@RobertKennedyJr) [December 17, 2022](#)

Carlson made another powerful enemy who helped get him fired: George Soros. According to Helena Glass: "Carlson isn't the only one fired, producer Justin Wells was given the boot based on a lawsuit filed by Abby Grossberg against the two claiming a hostile environment and anti-Semitism. Grossberg's attorney has stated that the fact that Fox fired the two is tantamount to a testament of 'guilt'.

Media Matters, the Soros led organization, has joined the bandwagon to declare that Tucker, Bartiromo, and Wells (Tucker's producer) repeatedly used sexist slurs to refer to women and anti-Semitic discrimination.

The Southern Poverty Law Center, also a sidekick of Soros, has called out Carlson as a 'fascist'. Soros and Carlson have had a hate filled relationship that has spiked some most recently. Could Grossberg have been approached by a Soros Handler to help initiate her Lawsuit and subsequent reiterations of her claim? The boot may have been ultimately orchestrated by Soros. Tucker was Targeted!"

Getting rid of Tucker Carlson should be a wake-up call for us. Let's do everything we can to get rid of Big Pharma, the CIA, and brain-dead Biden and his gang of neocon controllers.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Llewellyn H. Rockwell, Jr. [[send him mail](#)], former editorial assistant to Ludwig von Mises and congressional chief of staff to Ron Paul, is founder and chairman of the [Mises Institute](#),

executor for the estate of Murray N. Rothbard, and editor of LewRockwell.com. He is the author of [Against the State](#) and [Against the Left](#). Follow him on [Facebook](#) and [Twitter](#).

The original source of this article is LewRockwell.com

Copyright © [Llewellyn H. Rockwell, Jr.](#), LewRockwell.com, 2023

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Llewellyn H. Rockwell, Jr.](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca