

Why Super-Rich Rush to Buy Nuclear-Proof Bunkers

By <u>Eric Zuesse</u> Global Research, March 13, 2018 Region: <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>History</u>, <u>Media Disinformation</u>, <u>US</u> <u>NATO War Agenda</u> In-depth Report: <u>Nuclear War</u>

The rush amongst the super-rich started after the key event of 2014; this single stunning event suddenly sparked that rush by the super-rich to buy nuclear-proof bunkers, and the rush has been nonstop since that event.

Though many news-media in The West have reported on the *existence* of this suddenly booming market for luxurious and supposedly nuclear-proof bunkers, none has reported on what actually *caused* it — the event that had sparked it.

In fact, that event is still a secret in The West — not publicly mentioned here; it is, practically speaking, *banned* from being publicly even mentioned, in The West. So: since that event is necessarily mentioned in this article, and is even linked-to here, so that the reader can see videos of it that were posted of it online while it was happening, and there is even *"smoking gun" evidence showing government officials actually planning it, and covering it up, and blatantly lying about what they had done*, this report, explaining *why* the super-rich rush now to buy nuclear-proof bunkers, *violates* that ban. As a *consequence*, probably none of the hundreds of major news-media in The West that this news-report is being submitted to for publication, will publish it. But perhaps a half-dozen of the *small* ones will publish it. After all: a few small news-media cannot have much impact. The government and media don't need to fool everyone in order to succeed, but only to fool the vast majority of people. (However, <u>maybe now they don't any longer even need to continue worrying about public opinion, at all</u>. So: maybe they no longer need to continue such bans. But they do continue them, perhaps simply out of institutionalized bad habit.)

Wherever you're so fortunate as to be reading this: here is the reason *why* the market for luxurious deep-underground nuclear-resistant bunkers has so suddenly blossomed:

In February 2014, an extremely violent, and <u>US-engineered</u> but 'democracy'-masked, <u>coup</u> in Ukraine on Russia's very doorstep, was successfully culminated by its overthrowing Ukraine's <u>neutralist and democratically elected leader</u>, and then by its <u>installing there a</u> rabidly anti-Russian government, out for Russian blood, just <u>like Hitler had been</u>, and, in fact, <u>strongly inspired by him</u>, in <u>many ways</u>, including <u>an ethnic-cleansing campaign</u>. Within less than a month, Russia responded to that coup by accepting the still predominantly Russian <u>Crimea</u> back into Russia. (Crimea had been part of Russia until the Soviet leader Nikita Khrushchev arbitrarily transferred it to Ukraine in 1954.) For Russia's having done that, US President Barack Obama (and America's foreign vassals) slapped economic sanctions against Russia and mobilized NATO troops and weaponry onto and near Russia's borders — as if they wanted to out-do 1962's Cuban Missile Crisis, which they are doing, but in reverse direction (against not America, but, this time, against Russia). Ever since that singular 2014 event — that <u>coup</u> (which <u>destroyed Ukraine</u>) — the hottest market amongst the super-rich has been nuclear-resistant bunkers deep underground: such as <u>here</u>, and <u>here</u>.

I think that the three best of these articles about luxury nuclear bunkers, are <u>this</u> (with the best pictures of one of these facilities) and <u>this</u> (with the best commentary about the entire phenomenon), and <u>this</u>, which discusses the increasing number of builders of these facilities. Some billionaires, however, are instead <u>moving to New Zealand</u>.

So: ever since 2014, private planning to survive a nuclear war is the most booming field amongst the super-rich. This is a real-estate market that no ordinary person could afford to buy into. These people are either principals themselves in the aristocracy, or else prime agents for them and thus likewise extremely wealthy and already well on their own personal ways to entering the aristocracy — the aristocracy of extreme wealth. They're thus all wellconnected; they've got the best contacts and sources inside governments. And, since 2014, they are rushing to prepare: to prepare for a nuclear war.

If these people aren't well-informed about the global situation, then no one is. And they're investing accordingly. Lots of people invest in stocks, bonds, gold, etc, but only the richest few can afford to invest in nuclear-resistant bunkers, and that's where the boom nowadays especially is, amongst only the super-rich. (We're not talking here about high government-officials; they've got their Spartan nuclear bunkers long-since paid for by US taxpayers; but these are all just private and extremely wealthy individuals.) Maybe their attitude is: if you've got three-or-more homes, then at least one of them should be designed for the post-WW-III world and near enough to your main home so that maybe you can reach it before any missiles will be flying.

A nuclear war between US and Russia would be over within less than 30 minutes, start-tofinish; so, there won't be any time to plan if the nuclear phase of the NATO-v.-Russia (plus, maybe China) war appears to be imminent — waiting that long in order to depart for one's private bomb-shelter would already be too late. A quick 'vacation' to the secret location would thus necessarily be of the unplanned sort, which means that the system by which the owner will reach the spot, needs to be operational 24 hours every day, and needs to be maintained continuously, until — if and when — the nuclear exchange starts. Therefore, these facilities have airports and helicopter-access, and are continuously staffed, so that the richest people in the US and its allied countries, can arrive there at any moment's notice and receive the full range of services that they are accustomed to.

Any of these billionaires and centi-millionaires could have chosen instead to establish (either alone or in combination with one-another) the first foundation or other propagandaoperation to publicize the fraudulence of the US-and-allied case for sanctions against Russia, and the fraudulence of NATO's continuing assertions after 1991 that it's a 'defensive' military alliance (it's no longer that, at all), and the fact (contrasted against that fraud) of NATO's being nowadays purely an alliance for aggression against Russia and China, as if the Cold War had never ended (and <u>it never really did end except on the Russian and Chinese</u> <u>side</u>, which now recognize that the US and its allies had lied in 1990); so, all of these billionaires rather buy private nuclear-bomb shelters, than establish a foundation to expose to the public the US side's apocalyptic lies, which actually cause the danger that's heading to destroy the entire world.

America's own leading scientists on strategic weaponry have recently (on 1 March 2017) documented that the US nuclear-weapons-modernization program against both Russia and China is <u>"planning to have the capacity to fight and win a nuclear war by disarming enemies</u> with a surprise first strike." Obviously, only the most-insiders of insiders will know *in advance* about this "surprise first strike." (Otherwise, it wouldn't be a surprise, and the advantage of being the first to attack will be gone.) However, nuclear-proof bunker-space is presumably so limited so that the people who are buying these few spots will be amongst those few. (Of course, high federal officials will be taken care of elsewhere.)

Ever since at least 2006, America's Establishment — its billionaires and their agents — <u>have</u> <u>been virtually unanimously and actively supporting the abandonment</u> of the "Mutually Assured Destruction" concept that had long dominated nuclear thinking on both sides (not only on the Soviet and continuing under the Russian side, but also on that of America and its NATO military alliance) and have been replacing that paradigm, the "M.A.D." paradigm (which has staved off WW III ever since 1945). They replaced it by the US-NATO paradigm (ever since at least 2006) of "Nuclear Primacy," in which The West's nuclear weapons are to be used not to stave off WW III, but instead <u>so as to achieve 'victory' in an actual US-v.-</u> <u>Russia nuclear war</u>. Ever since 2014, US-and-allied military moves cannot be coherently explained on any other basis than that the US and NATO are <u>planning a blitz nuclear attack</u> <u>against at least Russia</u> — and <u>perhaps also against China</u>.

Clearly, whoever are buying these luxury-pads for the post-apocalypse, are hoping for a NATO 'victory' in WW III, and are certainly not favorably inclined to preventing that armageddon, which they know is based on lies (unless they're too stupid to be able to distinguish between their own propaganda versus the actual historical reality, which is documented in the links here, which links show that any *decent* billionaire in The West would instead be *publicly exposing the horrific fraud* that's perpetrated by all of themselves, not trying to protect themselves from that fraud's immediate global consequences).

Unfortunately, these people are the ultimate "conformists." It's clear by their 100% unity on this. They've become so gated-community, one-way-glassed, that they've no concern remaining (if they ever did) for the billions of people (not to mention entire planet) that they're placing into the severest form of danger: global annihilation. Instead, their only concern (quite evidently) is to be 'winners'. (Like I had said at that last link: "In military parlance, the side that suffers the less harm is the 'winner', regardless of any other factor. That's the basic reality of military strategy: it's inevitably win-lose, not win-win." However, M.A.D. was the first-ever exception to that strategic principle; and, now, it's gone — as of 2006 in US, and by now also in Russia (if not also in China). M.A.D. is gone; it's been replaced by a real insanity, which is clearly psychopathic and clearly pervasive amongst the super-rich: <u>"Nuclear Primacy"</u>.

The <u>psychological reality</u> that had long staved off a WW III is completely gone. And the people who have *caused* it to end are now buying all these nuclear bunkers for themselves.

In a rare exception to the unanimity of the US aristocracy's voices regarding what's behind this change (which cause is the stifling nazi or racist-fascist ideology at the top in America), the capitol-hill newspaper, *The Hill*, allowed to be published on 9 November 2017, an article — even with numerous links to high-quality sources — titled <u>"The reality of neo-Nazis in</u> <u>Ukraine is far from Kremlin propaganda"</u>. An indication of just how extraordinarily thorough the takeover of the US Government by nazis has become, is that both under President Barack Obama and under President Donald Trump, the US has been among <u>the only 3</u> <u>countries (in Obama's case)</u> and <u>the only two countries (in Trump's)</u> that officially stood up at the United Nations in support of nazism, even of its Holocaust-denial. On both occasions, Ukraine joined with the US on that vote. On one occasion, Canada also did (thus being the third). This scandal was virtually entirely ignored in the Western 'news' media.

This is the world we are living in today. How many 'news' media are reporting this reality? How many have reported it? Just one billionaire standing out from the pack, so as to reach the masses with these truths, could make a whole world of difference. But, instead, perhaps they're all just buying nuclear bunkers, so as to be amongst the few 'winners', in a war on behalf of the global regime that represents, actually, <u>only themselves</u>.

This is the catastrophe of our times.

*

This article was originally published on <u>Strategic Culture Foundation</u>.

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of <u>They're Not Even Close:</u> <u>The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010</u>, and of <u>CHRIST'S</u> <u>VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity</u>. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is from SCF.

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Eric Zuesse</u>, Global Research, 2018

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Eric Zuesse

About the author:

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010, and of CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the

copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca