

## Why Not Abandon All Foreign Bases?

By Laurence M. Vance Global Research, October 21, 2021 LewRockwell.com Region: <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Militarization and WMD</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at @<u>crg\_globalresearch</u>.

\*\*\*

Neoconservatives—like <u>Michael Rubin</u> at the American Enterprise Institute ("The One Foreign Base Biden Should Abandon")—haven't gotten over President Joe Biden's withdrawal of U.S. troops from Afghanistan.

But Rubin is also upset that "the Biden administration is determined to hold on to the one base that America should have abandoned a decade ago." This is Incirlik Air Base in Turkey.

Rubin maintains that during the Cold War, Incirlik was crucial. But even though "the base supported U-2 surveillance flights, U.S. operations during the 1958 Lebanon crisis, the 1991 liberation of Kuwait, and, most recently, the fight against the Taliban," Incirlik—which "also hosts approximately 50 nuclear weapons"—is "now a strategic liability" instead of "a strategic asset."

Turkey "is as much an enemy as an ally." President Erdogan cannot be trusted. "Every American serviceman, contractor, and family at Incirlik are potential hostages." "Incirlik now risks a repeat of the 1979 Iran hostage crisis." An American "departure from Incirlik" would not "affect U.S. operations." The United States should use the "Mihail Kogalniceanu air base in Romania" or the "Souda Bay Naval Base" in Greece. It would not be "irresponsible" to leave "an obsolete base."

Rubin is right. The United States needs to "end the U.S. military presence in Turkey."

But here is a better idea: Why not abandon all foreign bases?

According to the Department of Defense's (DOD) <u>Base Structure Report</u>: "The DoD manages a worldwide real property portfolio that spans all 50 states, 8 U.S. territories with outlying areas, and 45 foreign countries. The majority of these foreign sites are located in Germany (194 sites), Japan (121 sites), and South Korea (83 sites)." Incredibly, the DOD is "one of the Federal government's larger holders of real estate managing a global real property portfolio that consists of over 585,000 facilities (buildings, structures, and linear structures), located on 4,775 sites worldwide and covering approximately 26.9 million acres." The DOD has acknowledged the existence of about 800 U.S. military bases in 80 countries, but we know from the work of <u>Nick Turse</u>, <u>David Vine</u>, and the late <u>Chalmers Johnson</u> that that number could be over 1,000. The United States has about 95 percent of the world's foreign military bases. "Red" China has just one.

There are also about 175,000 active duty U.S. troops overseas in over 170 countries and territories. World War II ended in 1945, and yet the United States still maintains tens of thousands of troops in Germany and Japan.

Why not abandon all foreign military bases, bring all of the troops home (not just the ones in Afghanistan), and stop policing the world? And while we're at it, turn over all of the DOD golf courses in Japan to the Japanese.

The U.S. global empire of bases and troops is unnecessary to the defense of the United States, a global force for evil, and a drain on U.S. taxpayers. Its only purpose is to carry out an imperialistic, militaristic, reckless, belligerent, and meddling U.S. foreign policy that is not in the interest of the American people.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg\_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Laurence M. Vance [<u>send him mail</u>] writes from central Florida. He is the author of <u>The War</u> on Drugs Is a War on Freedom; War, Christianity, and the State: Essays on the Follies of Christian Militarism; War, Empire, and the Military: Essays on the Follies of War and U.S. Foreign Policy; King James, His Bible, and Its Translators, and many other books. His newest books are <u>Free Trade or Protectionism?</u> and <u>The Free Society</u>.

Featured image is by max.ku via Shutterstock

The original source of this article is <u>LewRockwell.com</u> Copyright © <u>Laurence M. Vance</u>, <u>LewRockwell.com</u>, 2021

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Laurence M. Vance

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the

copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca