

Why ISIS Exists: The Double Game

By Joe Giambrone Global Research, December 03, 2015 International Policy Digest 29 November 2015 Region: <u>Middle East & North Africa</u> Theme: <u>Media Disinformation</u>, <u>Terrorism</u>, <u>US NATO War Agenda</u> In-depth Report: <u>SYRIA</u>

The western press laments the near impossibility of defeating an organization that didn't even exist a couple of short years ago. Brand ISIS, the unconquerable, may actually become a truism if the people of the western nations continue to listen to the lies and propaganda of their own governments.

You've been told a lot of things about the war in Syria, and clearly most of it is finely crafted war propaganda, which seeks to obscure the forest by showing you an endless series of trees. The trees are gunshots, explosions, and dead bodies. The forest is elusive, vast, covers several continents, and we are only ever given small samples of the terrain. The section of the forest that receives some of the latest scrutiny is not necessarily the crucial part of the story. Beneath the entire forest lies an aquifer, a vast ocean of water that feeds the trees invisibly, silently, yet persistently. Without this water supply there would be no forest to speak of.

But here is where the metaphor breaks. Unlike an underground reservoir, which is impossible to eradicate, the money and weapons transfers to fundamentalist militants can be stopped. The problem is that western so-called "leaders" have done absolutely nothing to stop them. In fact they rarely mention these sources of terrorist arms, training and funding at all, in public anyway. When acknowledged these become theater, hand wringing, vague excuses rather than concrete action. At other times intelligence services themselves willingly hand over sophisticated weapons to terrorists, such as <u>TOW anti-tank missiles</u> and surface to air "<u>MANPADS</u>" capable of bringing down commercial airliners. The nations most responsible for creating the extremist armies on the ground—Turkey and the Persian Gulf tyrannies—are close allies and even "friends" to US and European political masters.

Establishing the Grand Fraud

So what is really going on? Well, war of course. This is what modern war looks like. In particular this latest proxy war targets the multi-cultural, yet authoritarian regime of Syria's Bashar Al Assad. NATO dislikes Assad because he is an ally of Iran, Russia and Hezbollah in Lebanon. Oil and gas pipeline routes also factor in. Western powers and Gulf States that don't like Assad have, like a pack of wild jackals, been ripping at Syria <u>since 2011</u>. The <u>primary supporter</u> of ISIS and the Al Nusrah Front is Turkey, which by any objective measure should be considered a state sponsor of international terrorism and isolated immediately.

Sometimes we are even provided short glimpses of the reality, by our own so-called leaders. Vice President of the United States Joe Biden <u>said</u>: "[Erdogan...the Saudis, the Emiratis, etc.]...poured hundreds of millions of dollars and tens of thousands of tons of weapons into anyone who would fight against Assad, except that the people who were being

supplied were al-Nusra, and al Qaeda, and the extremist elements of jihadis coming from other parts of the world."

Former Secretary of State Hillary Clinton <u>said</u>: "Still, donors in Saudi Arabia constitute the most significant source of funding to Sunni terrorist groups worldwide."

Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff General Martin Dempsey <u>said</u>: "I know major Arab allies who fund them [ISIS]."

Former Iraqi Prime Minister Nuri al-Maliki <u>said</u>: "It's unbelievable and unacceptable that more than 60 nations comprising this coalition that have the most modern aircraft and weapons at their disposal have been conducting their campaign in Iraq for 14 months and IS still remains in the country."

Former Defense Intelligence Agency head Michael Flynn <u>said</u>: "I think it was a decision, a willful decision."

The Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA) <u>said</u>: "The Salafist, the Muslim Brotherhood, and AQI [Al Qaeda in Iraq] are the major forces driving the insurgency in Syria...The West, the Gulf Countries, and Turkey support the opposition."

Saudi Prince Bandar bin Sultan <u>said</u>: "The Chechen groups that threaten the security of the [Olympic] games are controlled by us, and they will not move in the Syrian territory's direction without coordinating with us."

The U.S. State Department <u>said</u>, "Riyadh has taken only limited action to disrupt fundraising for the UN 1267-listed Taliban and LeT-groups that are also aligned with al-Qa'ida and focused on undermining stability in Afghanistan and Pakistan...Al-Qa'ida and other groups continue to exploit Kuwait both as a source of funds and as a key transit point...UAE's role as a growing global financial center, coupled with weak regulatory oversight, makes it vulnerable to abuse by terrorist financiers and facilitation networks...[Qatar has] been hesitant to act against known terrorists out of concern for appearing to be aligned with the U.S. and provoking reprisals."

No concrete steps are taken against these state supporters of terrorism. Far from it, they are intimate partners with the United States and form a coalition of the willing to use proxy terrorists to destroy Syria. ISIS has been a main component of this effort for years. It was not until they attacked targets in Europe (Paris), that Western leaders finally decided that they needed to appear to do things differently.

What this coalition does and what it clearly does not do are the telltale signs for understanding these current events. These will require more scrutiny.

The US has manufactured terrorist armies before, notably in Afghanistan, beginning in 1979. And when their Mujahadeen brigades <u>defeated the Soviets</u>, in the late 1980s, many champagne bottles were popped over at the Langley CIA headquarters. Such a wonderful victory for them, Zbigniew Brzezinski was <u>quite proud</u> of his handiwork. Coincidentally, Brzezinski emerged recently to <u>shriek at the Russians</u>, "to convey to Moscow the demand that it cease and desist from military actions that directly affect American assets." Those "assets" have been the subject of much obfuscation and deceit over these past four years, despite seas of bloodshed. In Syria today, just who is an "American asset," and who is not? The most jaw-dropping and damning revelation of the entire Syria fiasco to date is hosted right on the whitehouse.gov website. It's received zero mention by the "free" US corporate press, and <u>here it is</u>: "President Obama spoke by phone today from California with Prime Minister Erdogan of Turkey, at the Prime Minister's request, about developments in Syria and Egypt. The President and Prime Minister discussed the danger of foreign extremists in Syria and agreed on the importance of supporting a unified and inclusive Syrian opposition. The President and Prime Minister expressed concern about the situation in Egypt and a shared commitment to supporting a democratic and inclusive way forward. The two leaders agreed to have their teams continue to coordinate closely to promote our shared interests. The President gave his best wishes to the Prime Minister and the Turkish people on the beginning of their Ramazan holiday."

That is exhibit A for the treason trial. I'm quite shocked that I've been nearly alone in referencing this outrageously criminal admission concerning US policy in Turkey and Syria. You now have been informed of whom the White House considers an "asset." The Russians know it too, all too well.

Exhibit B for the prosecution would likely be Barack Obama's <u>tinkering with the Arms Export</u> <u>Control Act</u>, reported on September 15th of 2013: "The president, citing his authority under the Arms Export Control Act, announced today that he would waive the prohibitions in sections 40 and 40A...The prohibitions contained in this section apply with respect to a country if the Secretary of State determines that the government of that country has repeatedly provided support for acts of international terrorism."

This action can only be described as Orwellian hypocrisy, as the weapons Obama ships to Syrian insurgents meet the stated criteria. The United States is clearly supporting "international terrorism," with glee. US arms and ammunition have gone to Jihadists all over Syria and Iraq through many pathways. They have murdered many civilians there, and they continue to do so daily. Further, attacking the government of Syria by arming a proxy army is the "Supreme International Crime," a Crime Against the Peace, a blatant breach of the UN Charter, but it's happening.

The entire world knows that Syria's radical terrorists are supported by outside states, and yet no sanctions are ever proposed by our "democratic" leaders against those states. When Russia did things in Ukraine that Washington disapproved of immediate trade sanctions attacked its economy and certain named individuals. No such actions are even entertained against Turkish, Saudi, Qatari, Kuwaiti, Jordanian or other supporters of the ISIS terror state. This is clearly because the US, and Barack Obama specifically, consider these terrorists "American assets." It is the Brzezinski plan for regime change, and it has always been the Brzezinski plan.

They know exactly what they're doing. Obama's own Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA) <u>told</u> <u>them in 2012</u> that their actions would lead to an Islamic Caliphate. "ISI could also declare an Islamic State through its union with other terrorist organizations in Iraq and Syria, which will create a grave danger in regards to unifying Iraq and the protection of its territory."

It's not ignorance, and it's not a mistake. It has been the deliberate policy of the United States and its partners to tolerate—and to even support—a terror Caliphate in Syria and Iraq.

Redirections, Red Lines & Rat Lines

The most important investigative article of the post 9/11 era is arguably Seymour Hersh's March 2007 expose in The New Yorker: "The Redirection." Just what was being redirected?

Short answer: everything. The so-called "war on terror" flipped 180 degrees as the US partnered with Sunni extremists to redirect the fight and target Shi'ite Muslims: specifically Assad's Syria, Maliki's Shi'ite Iraqi regime, Hezbollah in Lebanon, and the big one: Iran. "[The Saudi] message to us was 'We've created this movement, and we can control it.' It's not that we don't want the Salafis to throw bombs; it's who they throw them at—Hezbollah, Moqtada al-Sadr, Iran, and at the Syrians, if they continue to work with Hezbollah and Iran."

As Americans went back to sleep, the American empire partnered up with the sponsors of the 9/11 attacks: Saudis and their Wahabbi friends, who can always be counted on to supply money and fanatical fighters. The formula that brought down the Soviets in the 1980s was to be "New American Century" Plan A.

"This is all part of the campaign of provocative steps to increase the pressure on Iran. The idea is that at some point the Iranians will respond and then the Administration will have an open door to strike at them," Seymour Hersh writes in "The Redirection."

By the time Syria exploded into chaos in 2011, Obama was in charge, and the strategy had steadily evolved. So had the clampdown on dissenting voices. Seymour Hersh was exiled to the London Review of Books, where his damning revelations would not be broadcast to the American public. In "The Red Line and the Rat Line" Hersh helped expose what was going on in Syria: "A highly classified annex to the report, not made public, described a secret agreement reached in early 2012 between the Obama and Erdogan administrations. It pertained to the rat line. By the terms of the agreement, funding came from Turkey, as well as Saudi Arabia and Qatar; the CIA, with the support of MI6, was responsible for getting arms from Gaddafi's arsenals into Syria."

In 2011 Obama destroyed Libya by acting as "<u>Al Qaeda's Air Force</u>" in violation of the Constitution and the UN Charter. He then set his dogs to work moving weapons and fighters from Libya across to the <u>next target</u> on the hit list: Syria.

By June 20, of 2013: "[Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA)]...stated that al-Nusra maintained a sarin production cell: its programme, the paper said, was 'the most advanced sarin plot since al-Qaida's' pre-9/11 effort." (Hersh)

Meaning that the White House was <u>lying throughout that period</u> as to the Syrian rebels' chemical weapons capabilities. When a staged sarin attack killed numerous civilians in Ghoutta, on August 21 of 2013, Obama was quick to jump at the chance for military action and a new war. That was the "red line" cassus belli that his own administration had floated the previous year. But the actual perpetrators turned out to be Al Nusrah Front working with chemical suppliers in Turkey, aided by Turkish intelligence.

That the Jihadis were the Ghoutta chemical attack perpetrators was confirmed in a <u>Turkish</u> indictment as well as by <u>rebel fighters</u> on the ground near Damascus.

The actions of the White House over this issue betray its hypocrisy, yet again. When Assad was the perpetrator, all the military might of the NATO bloc was to come down on Syria to

punish it for its "red line" use of chemical weapons. When the actual perpetrators are Al Nusrah terrorists, working closely with Erdogan's Turkey, as well as Pentagon and CIA trainees, and ISIS too, there is only a deafening silence. Inaction reveals much when it comes to this Syrian charade. The sarin issue was kicked from history, and the actual deaths of those 500 or so children and civilians remain as meaningless to those in Washington as do any other deaths in their ongoing Middle East blood frenzy.

As for the Benghazi-Gate fiasco, and the death of the US ambassador, the obvious reason for the White House cover-up was disclosed in Seymour Hersh's piece: "The [Benghazi] consulate's only mission was to provide cover for the moving of arms,' the former intelligence official, who has read the annex, said. 'It had no real political role.'"

Clearly the illegal foreign support to the insurgency in Syria is the reason ISIS exists. It did not spring from nowhere. It did not magically take over parts of two countries overnight. The fact that it is a genocidal, fanatical monstrosity is one of those distasteful qualities that western leaders tend to distance themselves from, but not enough to actually eradicate the quite useful proxy group.

The Fake "War" on ISIS

As we bob from fraud to fraud in this age of manufactured terror and covert everything, we must remain significantly more vigilant than our predecessors in order to comprehend the schizophrenic nature of US foreign policy today.

As for ISIS we bomb them *occasionally* but an excuse lingers that bombing is not sufficient. We are told that we will need to take over Syria, with large infantry armies that is if the Jihadists can't do it successfully on their own. Unfortunately, for people like Zbigniew Brzezinski, John McCain, Bandar bin Sultan, and Barack Obama, the Russians saw the writing on the wall and stepped in to bomb back the terrorist militias. With a legitimate invitation from the government of Syria the Russian air campaign has been quite successful so far.

Back in September of 2014 the NY Times claimed that Barack Obama's Administration was "Struggling to Starve ISIS of Oil Revenue." Over a year later Obama had still not bombed the long lines of tanker trucks illegally selling the black market oil to the neighboring countries: that coalition again, with Turkey being the main recipient. Neither did the Times even bother mentioning the obvious US option of bombing the tanker trucks, oil wells and refineries under ISIS control.

Echoing what Nuri al-Maliki had said, Vladimir Putin wielded the big monkey wrench at this last G20 summit, on November 15th: "Channels of finance for terrorist activity must be cut off...This financing, as we found out, comes from 40 countries, including some in the G20."

Gloves off, Russian President Putin had already <u>accused Washington</u> of backing terrorism across the Middle East. Not stopping there, Putin literally <u>handed Obama Russian satellite</u> <u>photos</u> of 1,000 ISIS oil tanker trucks stretching for "dozens of kilometers."

<u>The very next day</u>, November 16, "U.S. Warplanes Strike ISIS Oil Trucks in Syria." For some reason only 116 trucks out of the "1,000" were hit by the US mission. Then the effort mysteriously stopped as soon as the headlines had gone to print. With the policy firmly established in the media, the reality on the ground became irrelevant again.

Russia took up the slack on the 18th destroying "500 fuel tank trucks" controlled by ISIS and

used to fund their insurgency. As Russian Foreign Minister Sergey Lavrov phrased it: "[T]he analysis of those [US-led] airstrikes during over a year lead to conclusion that they were hitting selectively, I would say, sparingly and on most occasions didn't touch those IS units, which were capable of seriously challenging the Syrian army."

In addition to avoiding the illegal oil trade occurring right beneath USAF fighter/bombers for over a year, there is also the matter of approximately 60 ISIS training camps. No training camps <u>have been bombed to date</u>, despite continually churning out "1,000" radical Islamic fighters per month. We can make some educated guesses as to why that is.

Foreign intelligence and special forces (<u>British and Qatari</u>), and potentially US personnel, have operated inside Syria since at least February of 2012. The CIA <u>admits</u> to spending \$1Bn per year training Syrian insurgents and boasts that it has "trained and equipped nearly 10,000 fighters sent into Syria over the past several years." If US personnel aren't actually inside the territory of Syria, their pets surely are.

We know that ISIS, Al Nusrah, al Sham and Free Syrian Army (FSA) are all allies and work closely together. The FSA Colonel Abdel Jabbar al Olkaidi <u>has plainly told us so</u>. Olkaidi was the direct link to US Ambassador Robert Ford, and so there is no longer any plausible deniability on the subject. There is no legitimacy left for US claims of a "moderate" opposition that somehow exists separate from the genocidal terror armies of head-chopping extremists.

Conclusion

I would be remiss if I ignored mentioning the oil and gas supplies of the Middle East. The routes into Europe are hotly contested. With the Ukrainian gas pipelines coming from Russia, western leaders want alternatives in order to weaken the bear. Other proposed energy routes to the south include Syrian territory, that same territory ISIS now claims as its "Caliphate."

It also needs to be mentioned that German Interior Minister Thomas de Maiziere has admitted: 760 German citizens have joined ISIS and <u>200 of them</u> have returned home to Germany. Earlier this year it was reported that <u>100,000 fake Turkish passports</u> had gone to ISIS fighters.

Turkey remains the headquarters and logistical center of ISIS. The west, NATO, and their Gulf tyranny partners, have opened Pandora's Box. It still hangs wide open.

The original source of this article is <u>International Policy Digest</u> Copyright © Joe Giambrone, <u>International Policy Digest</u>, 2015

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Joe Giambrone

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca