

Who Will Eventually Own Everything, Including You?

By [Dr. Joseph Mercola](#)

Global Research, April 27, 2022

[Mercola](#)

Theme: [Global Economy](#), [Intelligence](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Visit and follow us on [Instagram](#), [Twitter](#) and [Facebook](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

The vast majority of the world’s assets are owned by just two investment firms — BlackRock and the Vanguard Group. Combined, they have ownership in nearly 90% of all S&P 500 firms, and through their investment holdings they secretly wield monopoly control over all industries

By now you may be familiar with the World Economic Forum slogan, “By 2030, you will own nothing.” To that end, BlackRock and other investment firms are buying up every single-family home they can find, making cash offers of 20% to 50% above asking price

Buying a home has been part of the American dream since the founding of this country. It’s been a significant part of financial success, security and freedom. George Washington declared that “Private Property and freedom are inseparable.” Now, lower to middle class Americans are being intentionally positioned to become permanent renters, which means they cannot build equity

This is wealth redistribution from the low- and middle-class to the upper, and it’s in line with plans for societal reorganization described under banners such as The Great Reset, Build Back Better, Agenda 21 and the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development

These agendas all work together toward the same goal, which is a global monopoly on ownership and wealth, with a clear separation of the haves and have nots; the owners and the owned; the rulers and the ruled; the elite and the serfs

*

The 45-minute video above, “Monopoly — Follow the Money,” provides a comprehensive overview of who really owns the world. As it turns out, the vast majority of the world’s assets are owned by just two investment firms — BlackRock and the Vanguard Group.

Combined, they have ownership in nearly 90% of all S&P 500 firms,¹ and through their

investment holdings they secretly wield monopoly control over all industries. In short, the idea that there is competition in the marketplace is a clever illusion.

BlackRock Is Buying Up Homes

By now you may be familiar with the World Economic Forum slogan, “By 2030, you will own nothing.” To that end, BlackRock and other investment firms are currently buying up every single-family home they can find, making cash offers of 20% to 50% above-asking price.²

Depending on where you live, you may have noticed that homes are selling within hours of being listed, making house hunting nearly impossible. Home buyers in my home state of Florida are certainly experiencing this phenomenon.

Investment firms are also buying up entire neighborhoods. As just one example, a 124-home neighborhood in Conroe, Texas, was bought for \$32 million — 20% above listing — by Fundrise LLC, a real estate crowdfunding company, which then turned around and made all the homes into single-family rentals (SFRs).³

According to investment experts, SFRs are “exceptionally attractive investment assets,” and this is one aspect driving the trend. Demographic changes such as millennials starting families and affordability constraints are also said to be driving factors.⁴ But that really does not fully explain what’s happening.

The War Against Private Property

Buying a home has been part of the American dream since the founding of this country. It’s been a significant part of financial success and security. Now, lower to middle class Americans are being intentionally positioned to become permanent renters, which means they cannot build equity. Their ability to purchase a home, even if they can afford it, is being stripped from them by companies that can outbid them with cash offers.

In a recent episode of “60 Minutes” (above), Lesley Stahl actually did a good job exposing why home prices are going through the roof. It’s not just that these investment companies can snap up homes with the click of a button, but they’re also artificially driving up prices of both homes and rents.

For example, rents in Jacksonville, Florida, rose an average of 31% in 2021, and Austin, Texas, saw rents jump by 40%. The reason appears to be twofold: We’re not building enough housing, and what is being built is being bought by corporate landlords at above-market prices.

Corporate real estate investors don’t even look at the homes they’re bidding on, and typically waive inspections. The home can be in any shape and sell within hours. As Stahl notes, “this puts first-time home buyers at a serious disadvantage,” as they have many hoops to jump through before they can secure a loan and close the deal.

Government estimates we’re currently 4 million homes short, and that shortage continues to grow. One real estate investment firm interviewed by Stahl states that they list, on average, 200 to 300 homes for rent each week, and receive 10,000 leasing inquiries weekly.

Not-So-Hidden Wealth Redistribution

As noted in a tweet by Cultural Husbandry:⁵

“This is wealth redistribution, and it ain’t rich people’s wealth that is getting redistributed. It’s normal American middle class, salt of the earth wealth heading into the hands of the world’s most powerful entities and individuals. The traditional financial vehicle [is] gone forever.

Home equity is the main financial element that middle class families use to build wealth, and BlackRock, a federal reserve funded financial institution is buying up all the houses to make sure that young families can’t build wealth ... This is a fundamental reorganization of society.”

Indeed, and it’s right in line with plans for societal reorganization described under banners such as The Great Reset, Build Back Better, Agenda 21 and the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development (see videos below).^{6,7}

These agendas all work together toward the same goal, which is a global monopoly on ownership and wealth, with a clear separation of the haves and have nots; the owners and the owned; the rulers and the ruled; the elite and the serfs.

‘Sustainable Development’ Agenda Is a Plan to Enslave You

The war against private property goes back decades. In 1976, during the first United Nations’ Conference on Human Settlements, called Habitat 1,⁸ the U.N. stated, in Item 10:⁹

“Land ... cannot be treated as an ordinary asset, controlled by individuals and subject to the pressures and inefficiencies of the market. Private land ownership is also a principal instrument of accumulation and concentration of wealth and therefore contributes to social injustice; if unchecked, it may become a major obstacle in the planning and implementation of development schemes. Public control of land use is therefore indispensable.”

The idea, apparently, is that private investment firms like Vanguard and BlackRock can prevent social injustice by buying up all private property and renting it out. This way, no one (except their investors) can build wealth.

Private Property and freedom are inseparable. ~ George Washington

This is what “equity” is all about, and it has nothing to do with equality. “Social equity” is incredibly unfair, as it strips those with talent and drive of the ability to make something out of themselves.

Private Property and Freedom Are Inseparable

The UN’s Human Settlements agenda, Agenda 21 and the 2030 Sustainable Development agenda are in direct conflict with the U.S. Bill of Rights and the founding principles of this

country. George Washington declared, “Private Property and freedom are inseparable.” Similarly, John Adams stated that “Property must be secure, or liberty cannot exist.”

In 1992 at the Earth Summit, under-secretary-general of the Convention on Climate Change and executive director of the UN Environment Program, Maurice Strong, stated that:¹⁰

“Current lifestyles and consumption patterns of the affluent middle class, involving high meat intake, consumption of large amounts of frozen and convenience foods, use of fossil fuels, appliances, home and workplace air-conditioning, and suburban housing, are not sustainable.”

If meat consumption, frozen foods, fossil fuel use, home appliances, air conditioning and single-family homes are “unsustainable,” it stands to reason that the goal of any sustainable development scheme is to eliminate all of those things. This is easier done in some countries than in others. As explained by the Cook Country News Herald back in 2012:¹¹

“Because Congress does not agree to all these United Nations schemes to steal our property and destroy our economy, they are passed by fiat, executive orders, proclamations, directives and generous grants given to local communities ...”

In short, the technocratic elite are trying to circumvent the U.S. Constitution and Bill of Rights through various means, such as the effort to make the United Nations and the World Health Organization into global centers of power, with member states being forced to comply with whatever agendas they set, thereby undermining national sovereignty.

As explained in the Agenda 21 video above, Agenda 21 doesn’t stop at restricting private home and land ownership. It also includes:

Seizure of private property	Forbidding human access to land
Relocation of people from rural areas to cities	Additional taxation
Water use restrictions	Restricting the amount of waste you're allowed to produce
Forced community involvement	Manipulation of transportation patterns and increasing gas prices to restrict travel – all in the name of "saving the earth"

In short, the global elite want you to believe that the only way to save the planet is for you to be their slave. It’s a tragic ultimate outcome for sure. If they are successful, virtually all of your constitutional rights and freedoms will be eliminated.

Who Owns the Farmland and Dictates Food Policy?

Private home ownership isn’t the only thing threatened by the encroaching monopoly of elitists. Bill Gates is now one of the largest private owners of U.S. farmland,¹² and he also

wields unrivaled power over global food policy,¹³ as detailed in the AGRA Watch report,¹⁴ “The Man Behind the Curtain: The Gates Foundation’s Influence on the UN Food Systems Summit.”

While Gates is just one man, his clout is significantly leveraged and magnified by the fact that he funds such a large number of companies and organizations that they do his bidding on the sly. When you see long lists of groups, you automatically think there are many players in the game when, in fact, Gates is the singular thread running through most or all of them.

In its 2014 report,¹⁵ “Three Examples of Problems with Gates Foundation Grants,” AGRA Watch highlights why Gates’ massive investments in global food production have failed to solve any of the very real problems we face. First and foremost, many of the solutions that he backs are “Band-Aid solutions” that in fact worsen the root problems.

Examples include the funding of the development of genetically engineered (GE) foods designed to be higher in certain nutrients. The problem is that these crops then end up replacing local diversity with just a few GE varieties that don’t even take local conditions into account. So, by pushing for “fortified” crop varieties, malnutrition actually deepens, as biodiversity is reduced.

Secondly, “a stubborn focus on yield” is at odds with research showing that low yield or insufficient production is not causing world hunger. “There is ample evidence today that the problem instead is poverty and lack of access, which is deepened by destruction of local food systems and commercialization of food,” AGRA Watch notes, adding:

“Grants by the Gates’ Foundation and AGRA continue to focus on yield, priming Africa for a system suited to the needs of the profit-seeking, yield-oriented commercial farmer rather than the peasant or small farmer producing diverse crops for a local community.”

Additional observations can be found in the AGRA Watch article¹⁶ “Philanthrocapitalism: The Gates Foundation’s African Programs Are Not Charity,” published December 2017, in which philanthrocapitalism is described as “an attempt to use market processes to do good,” but which is inherently problematic “as markets are ill-suited to producing socially constructive ends.”

Put another way, Gates’ brand of philanthropy creates several new problems for each one it solves. Gates is also invested in the synthetic beef industry, and not surprisingly, he’s been calling on Western nations to transition to a 100% fake beef diet¹⁷ — all in the name of saving the environment. It’s the same argument pushed by Agenda 21 and the rest of the sustainable development schemes.

Media and Medicine Are Completely Controlled

Mainstream media and the pharmaceutical industry are two other important areas that have been taken over by a monopoly-centered “deep state.” Both industries are overwhelmingly owned by BlackRock and Vanguard,¹⁸ so to think the mainstream media will report on the truth is foolhardy to say the least, especially as it pertains to health and medicine.¹⁹

Allopathic medicine, by the way, has been controlled by those in the grip of greed ever since John D. Rockefeller founded the Rockefeller Institute for Medical Research in 1901 and campaigned to eliminate naturopathic medicine, which was the norm, in order to replace it with petroleum-based patented drugs.

Anything that couldn't be patented was abolished and known cures were dismissed as quackery. Rockefeller accomplished this the same way Gates and other technocrats do it today — through control of the media.

WHO Treaty Is COVID Wolf in Sheep's Clothing

The WHO's pandemic treaty is perhaps the greatest threat we've faced so far, and will go a long way toward implementing The Great Reset. As I noted in a March 2022 article,²⁰ the pandemic treaty is a direct threat to a nation's sovereignty to make decisions for itself and its citizens, and will erode democracy everywhere, if enacted.

May 24, 2021, the European Council announced it supported the establishment of an international Pandemic Treaty, under which the WHO would have the power to replace the constitutions of individual nations with its own constitution under the banner of "pandemic prevention, preparedness and response."²¹

March 3, 2022, the Council authorized the opening of negotiations for an international agreement. The infographic below, sourced from the European Council's website,²² summarizes the process.

Towards an international treaty on pandemics

COVID-19 has caused millions of deaths worldwide. The socio-economic impact has been just as extreme, having brought world economies to a near standstill during most of 2020.

Viruses do not recognise borders. No government or institution can address the threat of future pandemics alone.



Stronger together

Pandemic prevention and response require a **global approach**, so that next time a pandemic strikes, **the world is better prepared**.

Developing an international instrument on pandemics was first proposed by European Council President Charles Michel at the Paris Peace Forum in November 2020.



“Together with WHO Director-General, Dr Tedros, we have proposed an international treaty on pandemics rooted in the WHO constitution. We need to create an environment where every scientist, health worker and government can hand together for a common cause. Working together to build new solutions to protect what is most precious – our health and our lives.”

CHARLES MICHEL
President of the European Council | 25 October 2021

A historic move

29 November – 1 December 2021
Special session of the World Health Assembly decides to launch negotiations.

2nd time in WHO history

This is only the second time in WHO history that its governing body – the World Health Assembly – met for a second time in the same year. The aim of this special session were to:

- assess the benefits of developing a pandemic treaty
- launch negotiations

Over 110 countries

supported the decision to launch negotiations for a WHO convention, agreement or other international instrument on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response.

3 March 2022

The Council of the European Union gives the green light to start negotiations for the conclusion of an international agreement on pandemics.

What are the potential benefits of an international agreement on pandemics?



Political engagement at leaders' level and global inclusivity via a new legally binding agreement rooted in the WHO constitution



Improved equity in access to quality medical countermeasures (vaccines, therapeutics and diagnostics)



Established principles, priorities and targets to help countries better prepare for and respond to future pandemics



Sharing of monitoring data, genetic data, samples, technology and their associated benefits



Strengthened national, regional and global resilience to – and capacities to tackle – future pandemics



A One Health approach that connects the health of humans, animals and the planet

What's next?

By 1 August 2022

The intergovernmental negotiating body will meet to discuss progress on a working draft for an international instrument on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response.

2023

The negotiating body will deliver a progress report to the 78th World Health Assembly.

May 2024

The proposed instrument will be presented for adoption by the 77th World Health Assembly.

Why an international agreement?

Such an instrument will allow to:

- ensure sustained and long-term political commitment
- define clear processes and tasks
- ensure long-term public- and private sector support at all levels
- foster an 'all-of-government' and 'all-of-society' approach, integrating health matters across all relevant policy areas (e.g. research, innovation, financing, transport)



Why is the WHO the right organisation to lead the development of this treaty?



Pandemics are primarily a public health issue. The WHO is the most inclusive and most experienced international body in which all countries can work together on critical global health issues.

Who would be involved?

194 countries

The treaty will be negotiated by the delegations of the 194 WHO member countries. The final decision to adopt it will lie with them. Civil society, international organisations and relevant UN agencies will also be consulted broadly and extensively.

We owe it to future generations!



Council of the European Union
General Secretariat

© European Union, 2022
Reproduction is authorised, provided the source is acknowledged.

[Click here to enlarge.](#)

There's simply no question that this treaty is part of the globalists' plan to monopolize health systems worldwide,²³ and a way for them to force mandatory vaccinations, vaccine passports and digital identities on the uncooperative masses.

Any pandemic-related decision the WHO makes would supersede national and state laws. Eventually, all health-related decisions could come under the WHO's jurisdiction, as the

stated goals of the treaty include not only future pandemic response but also a stronger framework for health with the WHO as the coordinating authority on global health matters more generally.²⁴

Director-general of the WHO, Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, has also gone on record stating that his “central priority” as director-general of the WHO is to push the world toward universal health coverage.²⁵ As noted by Dr. Peter Breggin,²⁶ referring to Ghebreyesus’ address to the WHO Executive Committee on January 24, 2022, in which he spelled out his global health plan, “The spirit of Communism can be felt throughout the document.”

WEF: ‘World Is Best Managed by Self-Selected Coalition’

The WEF’s 2010 “Global Redesign” report²⁷ argues that the world is best managed by a self-selected coalition of “stakeholders” — multinational corporations, governments, international bodies such as the UN and the WHO, and select civil society organizations — that then make decisions on behalf of the global population.

If you look, you’ll find that all the globalist agendas, regardless of what they’re called, have this aim. They’re all working in lockstep to strip power from the people by making elected officials irrelevant. All the power is to be in the hands of a self-selected, self-nominated elite. If you believe they have any intention of doing what’s best for the people, it’s time to wake up, because you’re clearly dreaming.

For well over 100 years, they’ve done what’s best for them, even though their decisions poisoned our food supply, soils, air and water. Even though it destroyed our environment and resulted in unsafe medicines and toxic foods; even though it led to starvation, disease and death.

They’ve lied, cheated and used every underhanded, immoral and unethical trick in the book. They’ve coerced, bribed and manipulated at will. They’ve slowly but surely infiltrated every area of society with the intention of altering it to serve their own ends.

Technology, which is the foundation upon which technocracy rests, has allowed this self-selected group of megalomaniacs to thrive and build their power structure in the shadows. Only now are they starting to really show their true colors, their desire for absolute power and control.

As noted by New American contributor C. Mitchell Shaw,²⁸ “If you are not paying for the product, then you are the product.” YOU and your personal data are the products of Google, Twitter, Facebook and Instagram. These platforms are all selling your personal data for profit. That’s the business they’re in.

Your data are also fed to artificial intelligence, and algorithms are created to profile and manipulate you. Everything you say and do is being used against you. The end goal of these megalomaniacs is always the same: to make money off you, even if it harms or kills you, and to manipulate you into accepting their proposition to rule over you. This all ends when enough people wake up to what they’re doing, and refuse to go along with their program.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, Twitter and Facebook. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Notes

¹ [The Conversation May 10, 2017](#)

² [Investment Watch June 11, 2021](#)

^{3, 4} [Financial Samurai January 8, 2022](#)

⁵ [Twitter Cultural Husbandry June 8, 2021](#)

⁶ [UN 2030 Agenda](#)

⁷ [JBS.org Stop Agenda 2030](#)

⁸ [UN Habitat Conferences](#)

⁹ [YouTube New American December 21, 2020 at 5:16](#)

¹⁰ [International Journal of Environmental Studies June 16, 2016: 339-340](#)

¹¹ [Cook County News Herald December 8, 2012](#)

¹² [The Guardian April 5, 2021](#)

¹³ [Lew Rockwell August 22, 2020](#)

¹⁴ [The Man Behind the Curtain: The Gates Foundation's Influence on the UN Food Systems Summit \(PDF\)](#)

¹⁵ [CAGI.org Three Examples of Problems with Gates Foundation Grants July 21, 2014](#)

¹⁶ [Third World Network, Philanthrocapitalism: The Gates Foundation's African Programs Are Not Charity](#)

¹⁷ [Forbes March 22, 2021](#)

¹⁸ [Rights and Freedoms June 15, 2021](#)

¹⁹ [The Defender June 18, 2021](#)

²⁰ [Lifesite News March 31, 2022](#)

^{21, 23, 24, 26} [America Out Loud February 18, 2022](#)

²² [European Council March 3, 2022](#)

²⁵ [National Review June 14, 2017](#)

²⁷ [WEF, Everybody's Business, Report of the Global Redesign Initiative, 2010](#)

²⁸ [New American September 21, 2020](#)

Featured image is from OffGuardian

The original source of this article is [Mercola](#)
Copyright © [Dr. Joseph Mercola](#), [Mercola](#), 2022

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Dr. Joseph Mercola](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca