

## Who Supports Comcast/Time-Warner Merger? Follow the Money

And who opposes it? Customers.

By Jon Queally Global Research, April 06, 2015 Common Dreams

Known for having one of the largest, most aggressive, and well-organized lobbying machines in Washington, DC, reporting from the *New York Times* on Monday indicates that in addition to direct influencing attempts, Comcast is relying on an army of outside organizations, trade organizations, community groups, and individuals to speak positively about the merger. (Image: Free Press)

With cable giant Comcast still hoping to receive a federal blessing for its bid to acquire Time-Warner Cable, currently its largest rival, the lobbying blitz is in full swing to sway lawmakers and federal regulators that the mega-merger will not, as critics charge, negatively impact consumers by further monopolizing an already highly-concentrated sector.

Known for having one of the largest, most aggressive, and well-organized lobbying machines in Washington, DC, reporting from the *New York Times* on Monday indicates that in addition to direct influencing attempts, Comcast is relying on an army of outside organizations, trade organizations, community groups, and individuals to speak positively about the merger.

As the *Times* reports:

The letters have come from all around the United States — from the <u>Nutmeg</u> <u>Big Brothers</u> and Big Sisters in Connecticut, the <u>Houston Area Urban</u> <u>League</u> and even the <u>Dan Marino Foundation</u> in Fort Lauderdale, Fla. — some praising the<u>Comcast Corporation</u>, others urging the federal government to stand aside and approve Comcast's proposed takeover of <u>Time Warner Cable</u>.

The argument has been reinforced by a blitz of academic papers from groups like the <u>International Center for Law and Economics</u> in Portland, Ore. More endorsements have come in from elected officials like <u>Gov. Phil Bryant</u>, a Republican of Mississippi, and <u>Fred Crespo</u>, a Democratic state representative in Illinois.

"The merger will not hinder competition but will bring better technology to more consumers," Mr. Bryant said.

But there is a common element to dozens of these appeals: The senders received money from Comcast in recent years, either as a <u>charitable donation</u>, corporate support or a political contribution, records show.

Ultimately the merger will have to receive approval from the Federal Communications Commission, but opponents to the further concentration of Comcast's market share have been running a successful campaign against the deal by leaning on the people who so far seem to be voicing the most reasonable objections: <u>cable consumers themselves</u>. As it turns out, widely-shared experiences of inadequate service and a history of customer complaints have been winning the argument, pushed by Comcast and Time-Warner, that bigger would mean better.

On the other side, as former lobbyist Juleanna Glover told the *Times*, Comcast and its lobbying team "have had an extremely hard time coming up with a simple, clean message that advocates of the deal can understand and carry forth."

Meanwhile, opponents of the merger, like the consumer advocacy group Free Press, have been consistently able to show that while the money may be on Comcast's side, simple messaging—including op-eds with titles like "<u>Horrible, Evil, Terrible Comcast</u>"—continue to receive the backing of millions of cable users from across the nation who don't want to see the two largest cable companies join forces.

As field organizer Mary Alice Crim recently explained, Comcast has such a deplorable track record with customers that her group's primary strategy is simply to collect their complaints <u>all in one place</u>. "There are so many Comcast horror stories," wrote Crim, "and we want to get them to decision-makers before it's too late."

As one customer, identified as Charles Neely from Grain Valley, Missouri, said of the cable giant: "Comcast is absolutely the worst company I can think of. I have had their service for years because they are the only cable company available to me. Their prices are insane. We do not need one less cable company to make one huge one even bigger. We need more competition, not less."

The original source of this article is <u>Common Dreams</u> Copyright © <u>Jon Queally</u>, <u>Common Dreams</u>, 2015

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Jon Queally

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

<u>www.globalresearch.ca</u> contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca

| 3