

What Happens After Kiev Regime's Much-touted Counteroffensive Fails?

By [Drago Bosnic](#)

Global Research, June 16, 2023

[InfoBrics](#) 14 June 2023

Region: [Europe](#), [Russia and FSU](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Military](#), [WMD](#)

In-depth Report: [Nuclear War](#), [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

It's hardly breaking news that Russia has been fighting off a [crawling invasion by NATO \(aided by America's global vassals and satellite states\)](#) for well over a year now. The Neo-Nazi junta would've lasted mere days had it only been a Moscow vs. Kiev scenario and [this fact is not Russia's claim, but one by Josep Borrell](#), the European Union's top diplomat (although his skills in diplomacy are highly questionable at best).

It's precisely this that makes [Russia's ability to withstand Western aggression all the more mind-boggling](#), particularly when considering the sheer discrepancy in population size, nominal military budgets, size of Russia's economy in comparison to the combined financial and economic strength of the US-led political West (to say nothing of its geopolitical influence), etc.

It should be noted that the virtually direct involvement of the political West has resulted in a strategic stalemate with tactical back and forth, as both sides made gains somewhere or were forced to concede areas elsewhere. However, the notable difference is that Russia is doing that for strategic reasons, particularly in order to avoid heavy casualties (both civilian and military), while the complete opposite is true for the Kiev regime ([Bakhmut/Artyomovsk being the case in point](#)).

This is because the Neo-Nazi junta's main goal is optics and keeping the narrative alive. And the narrative is that Russia is supposedly "weak" and "incapable" of defeating the US/NATO puppets in Kiev. However, [the massive casualties suffered by the regime's forces](#) are a clear indicator of [just how much of a reverie this narrative is](#).

Perhaps the best proof of this is the ongoing counteroffensive of the Neo-Nazi junta forces. [Although experts have already predicted](#) how it would go (and that's [precisely how it's been going for approximately two weeks now](#)), the Kiev regime is forced to keep up with it, because its puppet masters don't really care about Ukrainian casualties as long as they can portray Russia as supposedly "weak" and "incapable of winning".

The stakes are as high as they could possibly be, so the belligerent thalassocracy needs to ensure that the Neo-Nazi junta at least doesn't lose the aforementioned narrative, as the prospect of actually defeating the Russian military is all but impossible. To accomplish this, the US-led political West is ready to engage in a sort of [nuclear brinkmanship](#) the world has never seen, including during the entirety of the (First) Cold War.

To this end, Washington DC is already resorting to what some experts call "nuclear blackmail". To prevent a complete defeat of its favorite puppets after Russia eventually launches its own counteroffensive, [the US has placed additional nuclear weapons in Europe in order to increase pressure on Moscow](#) and keep most of its forces on standby in case the ongoing Cold War between Russia and NATO turns hot. Poland, one of Moscow's archenemies, has been particularly insistent on having American nuclear weapons deployed in its territory.

Coupled with [Warsaw's ambitions to build probably the largest and most advanced land force in the European part of NATO](#), as well as station as many other NATO troops as possible, such aggressive actions have pushed Russia to deploy tactical nuclear weapons in Belarus, as well as reinforce its Kaliningrad exclave.

Specific moves to ensure Russia's safety include the expansion of its already massive military-industrial capacity, [additional deployments of its state-of-the-art hypersonic weapons](#) (which [the entire political West lacks altogether](#)) and the overall change in its deterrence policy, which now includes the aforementioned [deployment of Russian tactical nuclear weapons in allied territory, specifically Belarus](#).

However, Minsk will not merely house such weapons, but will also be able to use them in case the political West escalates its aggression against Belarus itself, which has been under a crawling attack for several years now. Worse yet, the belligerent thalassocracy has never given up on trying to conduct yet another color revolution in Minsk, as it still insists that President Alexander Lukashenko is supposedly "illegitimate" and that the opposition is the "actual government in exile".


The Kremlin has correctly anticipated virtually all moves by the US and NATO and has [revised its strategic posturing towards them](#), making it perfectly clear that it's ready for any "unexpected" developments. And while Russia is certainly not the one that wants to be the first to use a nuclear weapon, the political West is doing everything in its power (short of direct war, for now at least) to push Moscow to do exactly that.

The [latest warning by Russian Foreign Minister Sergei Lavrov that Washington DC is pushing the transfer of nuclear-capable F-16s to the Kiev regime](#) illustrates this perfectly. And while the mainstream propaganda machine insists this is "Russian disinformation" and "baseless fearmongering", Lavrov's no-nonsense bearing and the sheer magnitude of his credibility in the diplomatic world say otherwise.

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

[Drago Bosnic](#) is an independent geopolitical and military analyst.

Featured image is from InfoBrics



[“Towards a World War III Scenario: The Dangers of Nuclear War”](#)

by Michel Chossudovsky

Available to order from Global Research!

ISBN Number: 978-0-9737147-5-3

Year: 2012

Pages: 102

[PDF Edition](#): \$6.50 (sent directly to your email account!)

[Michel Chossudovsky](#) is Professor of Economics at the University of Ottawa and Director of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG), which hosts the critically acclaimed website www.globalresearch.ca. He is a contributor to the Encyclopedia Britannica. His writings have been translated into more than 20 languages.

Reviews

“This book is a ‘must’ resource – a richly documented and systematic diagnosis of the supremely pathological geo-strategic planning of US wars since ‘9-11’ against non-nuclear countries to seize their oil fields and resources under cover of ‘freedom and democracy’.”

–John McMurtry, Professor of Philosophy, Guelph University

“In a world where engineered, pre-emptive, or more fashionably “humanitarian” wars of aggression have become the norm, this challenging book may be our final wake-up call.”

–Denis Halliday, Former Assistant Secretary General of the United Nations

Michel Chossudovsky exposes the insanity of our privatized war machine. Iran is being targeted with nuclear weapons as part of a war agenda built on distortions and lies for the

purpose of private profit. The real aims are oil, financial hegemony and global control. The price could be nuclear holocaust. When weapons become the hottest export of the world's only superpower, and diplomats work as salesmen for the defense industry, the whole world is recklessly endangered. If we must have a military, it belongs entirely in the public sector. No one should profit from mass death and destruction.

-Ellen Brown, author of 'Web of Debt' and president of the Public Banking Institute

The original source of this article is [InfoBrics](#)

Copyright © [Drago Bosnic](#), [InfoBrics](#), 2023

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Drago Bosnic](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca