

## Washington's Imperial "Indo-Pacific Agenda". Pentagon threatens China

By <u>Stephen Lendman</u> Global Research, June 04, 2018 Region: <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Intelligence</u>

US Pacific Command (PACOM) is now the Pentagon's Indo-Pacific Command, reflecting "connectivity between the Indian and Pacific oceans," according to war secretary James Mattis.

US strategy remains unchanged, seeking Indo-Pacific and global dominance, wanting pro-Western puppet regimes replacing all challengers to its imperial aims.

China in the Pacific, Russia in Eurasia, and Iran in the Middle East remain prime US targets for regime change.

Trump continues Obama's Asia pivot strategy, advancing Washington's regional military footprint – involving containment of China's growing political, economic and military strength, along with checking Russia.

War in a part of the world hostile to invaders is possible. Upcoming Trump/Kim Jong-un summit talks offer no assurance of easing tensions – responsibly stepping back from the brink not a US attribute.

Washington demands all nations bend to its will, GW Bush crudely saying:

"You're either with us or against us."

Neutrality isn't an option.

Neither are fostering world peace and stability, promoting equity and justice, along with respecting rule of law principles and democratic values – anathema notions to Republicans and undemocratic Dems.

On Saturday, <u>Mattis addressed</u> the Asia Security Summit's Shangri-La Dialogue plenary session in Singapore – his remarks aimed at China more hostile than encouraging, stoking tensions instead of responsible outreach to avoid them.

His comments about "a free-and-open Indo-Pacific" reflect US aims for regional dominance.

"(M)ake no mistake," Mattis roared.

"America is in the Indo-Pacific to stay. This is our priority theater, our interests, and the regions are inextricably intertwined."

Adding "no one nation can or should dominate the Indo-Pacific" belies Washington's intent to control the region unchallenged – nations unwilling to bend to its will targeted for regime change.

Chinese General He Lei at the plenary session countered Mattis, saying America is the real source of regional tensions and possible conflicts.

Retired Chinese General Yao Yunzhu added

Washington "created a grand narrative consisting of keywords including 'rulebased order', 'freedom of navigation and overflight', and 'militarization' " criticism aimed at China.

People's Liberation Army Col. Zhao Xiaozhuo slammed Washington, saying

"(t)he Shangri-La Dialogue has become an occasion for China and the US to engage in fights," adding:

"(I)t was inevitable that China had to hit back at Mattis' accusations. But engaging in a fight does not help to solve the problems."

On North Korea, Mattis demanded what Washington is unlikely to get short of iron-clad security guarantees the DPRK seeks – never assured in dealings with the US.

"Our objective remains the complete, verifiable, and irreversible...denuclearization of the Korean Peninsula," said Mattis – wanting the DPRK to eliminate its key deterrent to feared US aggression, getting nothing in return but empty promises.

At the same time, Mattis stressed "modernizing our alliance with both the Republic of Korea and Japan" – code language for pursuing increased regional militarization under Pentagon control.

"(I)ncreasing economic prosperity" means benefits accruing to US corporate predators at the expense of foreign competitors.

Mattis slammed China, saying its "policy in the South China Sea stands in stark contrast to the openness of our strategy."

He criticized Beijing's right to build and develop offshore islands, militarizing them for selfdefense, China's <u>Global Times</u> saying:

"These islands need to be protected. Therefore deploying defensive weapons is just as logical as planting trees."

With frequent provocations by US warships near Chinese territorial waters "how could there not be even one air-defense or anti-ship missile on the islands?"

"The US has deployed more of its military assets in the South China Sea than those of the other countries in the region."

"And Washington has the temerity to repeatedly accuse Beijing of 'militarizing' the South China Sea. We have seen hypocritical diplomatic rhetoric but none" matches extreme US hubris and arrogance, adding:

"These islands need to be protected. Therefore deploying defensive weapons is just as logical as planting trees. Given the complex geopolitical situation where US warships, including its aircraft carriers, continue to cruise the region, how could there not be even one air-defense or anti-ship missile on the islands?"

"The danger in the South China Sea is caused by the US continuing to increase its military presence in the region, forcing China to naturally upgrade its defensive weapons on the islands. This in turn gives the US more excuses to exert military pressure, causing regional tensions to spiral."

Mattis turned truth on its head, accusing Beijing of "militarization (for) intimidation and coercion" – US policy globally, not China's, cooperating with other nations, not bullying or attacking them.

\*

Stephen Lendman is a Research Associate of the CRG, Correspondent of Global Research based in Chicago.

VISIT MY NEW WEB SITE: <u>stephenlendman.org</u> (<u>Home – Stephen Lendman</u>). Contact at <u>lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net</u>.

*My newest book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: How the US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."* 

http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Stephen Lendman</u>, Global Research, 2018

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Stephen Lendman	About the author:
	Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net. His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III." http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com. Listen to cutting- edge discussions with distinguished guests on the

Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network. It airs three times weekly: live on Sundays at 1PM Central time plus two prerecorded archived programs.

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

<u>www.globalresearch.ca</u> contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca