

Warmongers Become Desperate as the American Public Turns Anti-War

War Is Peace, Freedom Is Slavery, and Ignorance Is Strength

By Washington's Blog

Global Research, April 29, 2014

Region: **USA**

Theme: <u>Crimes against Humanity</u>, <u>Terrorism</u>, <u>US NATO War Agenda</u>

The American people are now <u>overwhelmingly opposed</u> to more war in Ukraine, Syria, Iran and elsewhere.

Those who get rich from war (the <u>military-industrial complexers</u> and <u>big banks</u>) and their lackeys are *desperate* to reverse this trend.

As such, they are resorting to more and more outlandish justifications for war.

For example, Ian Morris has written an entire book arguing that war is the best thing ever, the *only* thing which has lifted us out of poverty and barbarianism. And – yes – he even says that war brings peace.

David Swanson provides a <u>must-read dismantling</u> of Morris' book.

Morris writes this week in the Washington Post:

War has not only made us safer, but richer, too.

In reality, security experts – conservative hawks and liberal doves alike – agree that waging war in the Middle East *weakens* national security and *increases* terrorism. See <u>this</u>, <u>this</u>, <u>this</u>, <u>this</u>, <u>this</u>, <u>this</u>, and <u>this</u>. So it *doesn't* make us safer.

And there is now <u>overwhelming evidence</u> that war is <u>horrible</u> for the economy, and <u>makes</u> <u>us poorer</u>

Morris continues:

Thinkers have long grappled with the relationships among peace, war and strength. Thomas Hobbes wrote his case for strong government, "Leviathan," as the English Civil War raged around him in the 1640s.

In reality, Hobbes was an authoritarian who argued – just like (1) the leading Nazi legal scholar and philosopher who created the justification for "total war" to destroy those labeled an "enemy" of the Nazi state (<u>Carl Schmitt</u>), (2) <u>Machiavelli</u>, and (3) the father of the Neoconservatives (<u>Leo Strauss</u>) – that the public should be <u>intentionally whipped into a</u>

<u>frenzy of fear</u> so that they would be willing to give up their rights and <u>cede their freedoms to</u> the sovereign.

Indeed, Morris accidentally reveals that he is cut from the exact same cloth when he states:

People almost never give up their freedoms — including, at times, the right to kill and impoverish one another — unless forced to do so.

In other words, freedom bad ... authoritarian leader good.

Morris writes:

Since 1914, we have endured world wars, genocides and government-sponsored famines, not to mention civil strife, riots and murders. Altogether, we have killed a staggering 100 million to 200 million of our own kind. But over the century, about 10 billion lives were lived — which means that just 1 to 2 percent of the world's population died violently. Those lucky enough to be born in the 20th century were on average 10 times less likely to come to a grisly end than those born in the Stone Age.

In other words, War Is Peace, Freedom Is Slavery, and Ignorance Is Strength. I've <u>seen this</u> movie before.

Artwork by Anthony Freda

Morris cheerfully notes:

And since 2000, the United Nations tells us, the risk of violent death has fallen even further, to 0.7 percent.

Unless, of course, you live in Iraq, Afghanistan, Libya, Syria or one of the other countries targeted for regime change ... in which case your risk of violent death is *very high*.

Morris argues:

Washington [must] embrace [] its role as the only possible globocop in an increasingly unstable world — a world with far deadlier weapons than Britain could have imagined a century ago.

In other words, Morris is an unrepentant apologist for American empire.

Amusingly, the vast majority of comments to Morris' Washington post essay attack him for being a desperate shill and a fool.

But <u>endless war is a feature – not a bug</u> – of U.S. policy, and the <u>American media</u> (including the big "alternative" sites) are <u>always</u> pro-war.

So expect to hear <u>crazier and crazier "justifications"</u> for war.

Artwork by Anthony Freda

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © Washington's Blog, Global Research, 2014

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Washington's Blog

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca