

War on Gaza, "Weaponizing Anti-Semitism": Invoking the Holocaust to Justify Israeli War Crimes Dishonours Its Victims

The memory of the Holocaust and the legitimate struggle against antisemitism should not be weaponised to shield Israel from accountability for its crimes against Palestinians

By Marco Carnelos

Global Research, December 26, 2023

Middle East Eye 22 December 2023

Region: Middle East & North Africa

Theme: <u>History</u>, <u>Law and Justice</u> In-depth Report: PALESTINE

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name (only available in desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

"We write to express our dismay and disappointment at political leaders and notable public figures invoking Holocaust memory to explain the current crisis in Gaza and Israel."

This is how an <u>open letter</u> recently published by a distinguished group of scholars of the Holocaust and antisemitism begins. Its main concern is that appealing to the memory of the Holocaust risks obscuring the understanding of <u>antisemitism</u> and dangerously misrepresents the causes of violence in Israel and Palestine.

The scholars quote several problematic statements and acts by Israeli, European and American officials in the context of Israel's ongoing assault on Gaza following the Hamas-led attack on 7 October.

The Israeli ambassador to the UN donning a yellow star featuring the words "Never Again" while addressing the UN Security Council. Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu said that "Hamas are the new Nazis" and framing the war on Gaza as a battle for western civilisation in the face of barbarism. And <u>US</u> President Joe Biden remarked that Hamas had "engaged in barbarism that is as consequential as the Holocaust".

It is impressive how western leaders are following the same script, to the point of uttering blatant <u>absurdities</u>, like conflating Israel with <u>Ukraine</u> when it is Palestine that has been occupied by Israel for more than half a century and should be equated to Ukraine.

Israel is invoking the Holocaust to justify what is nothing more than a collective punishment

of the people living in Gaza. Almost all of its political leadership promoted an irrational and malign narrative beginning with Israeli President Isaac Herzog, who said that "there are no innocent civilians in Gaza". Not a single word of <u>condemnation</u> for such outrageous statements was uttered by those western leaders who proudly uphold the so-called rules-based world order.

'Poisoned' Political Climate

Predictably, the open letter was <u>contested</u> by another group of distinguished scholars of Nazi Germany, the Holocaust, Israel, and antisemitism. It was a poorly argued response that did not seem to grasp the concerns raised by the scholars and was convincingly dismantled in a <u>counter-reply</u>.

Amid a rising death toll of <u>mostly</u> women and children, the most the opposition letter could convey was a vague criticism of Israel's "poor decisions". Not a single shred of empathy for the ongoing humanitarian disaster was uttered.

Such insensitive positions have been echoed by unbelievable acts and behaviour by some European "democracies". Peaceful public protests in support of Palestinian rights and asking for a ceasefire have been carefully watched and often hampered by <u>authorities</u> in the <u>UK</u>, <u>France</u> and, especially, in <u>Germany</u>. It is hard to ascertain if such behaviour is due to ignorance, laziness, fear or deliberate complicity with the Israeli government.

It took several weeks for Josep Borrell, the EU foreign policy chief, to <u>recognise</u> that "far too many civilians have been killed in Gaza" and that "we are witnessing an appalling lack of distinction in Israel's military operation in Gaza". It is even more depressing that another major EU power, Italy, issued appeals to Israel to ease its violence only after the Latin Patriarchate of Jerusalem <u>denounced</u> the killing of Christian civilians inside the Holy Family Parish in Gaza by Israeli snipers.

Far before the 7 October attack, European political discourse about the Israeli-Palestinian conflict had shifted and become poisonous; the old continent was experiencing its own McCarthyite period. Fundamentally flawed US and Israeli narratives about the conflict were accepted without even an eyebrow raised. To put it unequivocally, the mere assertion of Palestinian rights had become synonymous with antisemitism. Unbelievable smear campaigns were perpetrated against those who ask for justice for the Palestinians.

The ordeal experienced last week by Russian-American journalist and writer <u>Masha Gessen</u> is a dramatic example of such a toxic political climate.

Gessen had been awarded the prestigious Hanna Arendt prize for political thought, but the ceremony was almost cancelled because the host of the event, the Heinrich Boll Foundation, withdrew its support because of an <u>article</u> they had published days earlier in The New Yorker, emblematically titled "In the Shadow of the Holocaust".

The writer's unforgivable sin was that they equated the Jewish ghettos in Nazi-occupied Europe to the current situation in Gaza. Ironically, the award was meant to recognise "individuals who identify critical and unseen aspects of current political events and who are not afraid to enter the public realm by representing their opinion in controversial political discussions".

The political climate has become so absurd that, according to an acute <u>observer</u>, the same "Hanna Arendt would not qualify for the Hanna Arendt prize in Germany today".

For once, common sense finally prevailed, and the ceremony was held. Gessen delivered an outstanding <u>lecture</u>, emphasising how comparison is important to learn and to know the world. They also remarked that:

"A political project is something that happens in the present, in the world, among people. Hannah Arendt spent her entire intellectual life thinking about what constitutes politics. To her, politics was a space where we figured out how to live together in this world, a space of discussion and thinking and the creation of new possibilities. After the Holocaust, it's a space in which we figure out how to live together in this world without repeating the Holocaust. One of the structures that we have invented, acting politically, to prevent a repetition of the Holocaust, is international humanitarian law, particularly the laws for the protection of civilians. It is also the framework of international jurisprudence, such as the International Criminal Court, war crimes tribunals, and universal jurisdiction trials. The concept of genocide, too, emerged as a result of the Holocaust."

It is then depressing, to say the least, that international humanitarian law, whose codification emerged in the aftermath of the Holocaust, is now openly disregarded by the Israeli army in Gaza and the West Bank. It is equally absurd that the International Criminal Court would indict Russian President Vladimir Putin for the forced transfer of children from Ukraine to Russia, while not a finger has been lifted against Israel, which has not only forced the transfer of the children inside Gaza but has also killed at least 10,000 of them.

Weaponising Antisemitism

The outcry against Masha Gessen is part of a wider problem connected with the definition of antisemitism, and the too frequently instrumental <u>conflation</u> between it and anti-Zionism.

In 2016, the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) <u>proposed</u> a definition of antisemitism accompanied by 11 specific examples of such phenomena. Seven of them are related to Israel and some are quite controversial, such as "claiming that the existence of the State of Israel is a racist endeavour" and "drawing comparisons of contemporary Israeli policy to that of Nazis".

Although adopted by only the US and 27 EU countries, and having no legal force, the IHRA's definition is extremely influential and to a certain extent is setting the political discourse about the use of antisemitism in the framework of the current Israeli-Palestinian conflict. It would be more correct to say in weaponising its definition to suppress legitimate criticism of certain Israeli policies against the Palestinians.

In 2020, some academics proposed an alternative definition called the <u>Jerusalem Declaration on Antisemitism</u>. It provided 15 examples of antisemitism, and as many as 11 of them are related to Israel; however, five of them are statements frequently used in the context of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict which are explicitly listed as not antisemitic.

The most interesting are:

1) Supporting the Palestinian demand for justice and the full grant of their political, national, civil and human rights, as encapsulated in international law.

- 2) Criticising or opposing Zionism as a form of nationalism or arguing for a variety of constitutional arrangements for Jews and Palestinians in the area between the Jordan River and the Mediterranean whereas such arrangements accord full equality to all inhabitants "between the river and the sea".
- 3) Evidence-based criticism of Israel as a state, including its institutions and founding principles, policies, and practices, domestic and abroad, including its conduct in the West Bank and Gaza... It is not antisemitic to point out systematic racial discrimination nor compare Israel with other historical cases, including settler-colonialism or apartheid.
- 4) Boycott, divestment and sanctions, as commonplace, non-violent forms of political protest against states. In the Israeli case they are not, in and of themselves antisemitic.
- 5) Criticism [of Israel] that some may see as excessive or contentious, or as reflecting a "double standard", is not, in and of itself, antisemitic.

The struggle against the highly concerning regurgitation of antisemitism is a legitimate one and should not be weaponised for political purposes, to maintain a feeble hold on power, and to shield Israel from accountability for actions that contravene its claimed democratic values.

Finally, invoking the Holocaust to claim impunity for war crimes and crimes against humanity is immoral and dishonours the memory of the millions of Jews killed in the Nazi gas chambers.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Marco Carnelos is a former Italian diplomat. He has been assigned to Somalia, Australia and the United Nations. He served in the foreign policy staff of three Italian prime ministers between 1995 and 2011. More recently he has been Middle East peace process coordinator special envoy for Syria for the Italian government and, until November 2017, Italy's ambassador to Iraq.

The original source of this article is <u>Middle East Eye</u> Copyright © <u>Marco Carnelos</u>, <u>Middle East Eye</u>, 2023

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Marco Carnelos

not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca