

Vaccines: “Death by Coincidence”. Robert F. Kennedy Jr.

By [Robert F. Kennedy Jr](#)

Global Research, January 17, 2021
[Children's Health Defense](#)

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#), [Science and Medicine](#)

Declarations by health officials and vaccine makers that deaths and injuries following COVID vaccinations are unrelated coincidences are becoming a pattern.

They're also depriving people of the information they need to make informed decisions.

The official handling last week of the [deaths of two Danes](#) and a [Miami doctor](#) following their [COVID jabs](#) highlights the gaping holes in the government's surveillance system for detecting post-marketing vaccine reactions.

These incidents suggest that health officials will be unlikely to give the public authentic risk profiles for the [emergency use](#) COVID vaccines.

Accurate risk profiles allow regulators to determine if a medical intervention is causing more harm than good and consumers to make rational choices about their own use of a product.

Regulators usually develop risk assessments during preclinical trials by comparing health outcomes in individuals receiving the intervention against a placebo group. Such studies must be large enough to detect rare injuries and of sufficient duration to reveal ailments with long diagnosis horizons.

The existence of the placebo group makes it difficult to conceal or misattribute injuries. Conversely, the absence of a placebo group in post-vaccination surveillance systems makes it easy for self-interested pharmaceutical and regulatory officials to undercount injuries by attributing them to coincidence.

Coincidence is turning out to be quite lethal to [COVID vaccine](#) recipients.

Death by coincidence

Shortly after reporting the Danish deaths and prior to any autopsies, Tanja Eriksen, acting head of Denmark's Pharmacovigilance Unit, [told the Danish newspaper](#), EkstraBladet, that the Danish Medicines Agency had determined that coincidence probably killed the two Danish citizens whose deaths followed their vaccinations.

One of the deaths was a citizen who had “severe lung disease.” The existence of the comorbidity suggested that the death was therefore coincidental. The second citizen received the vaccine at a “very old age,” and therefore also expired from coincidence.

“When vaccinated in fragile groups, one would expect there to be deaths,” [explained](#)

[Eriksen](#), using logic seldom applied by health officials to deaths from the [COVID-19 virus](#). “This will happen regardless of whether they are vaccinated or not.”

These simple declarations — that deaths and injuries following vaccination are unrelated coincidences — are becoming a pattern.

On Dec. 20, 2020, World Today News [reported the death](#) of an 85-year-old man in Kalmar, Sweden, one day after he received the vaccine. Dr. Mattias Alvunger of the Kalmar Hospital dismissed concerns about the death being related to the vaccine, calling the fact that it was reported to the Swedish Medical Products Agency as “routine.”

On January 1, Sonia Acevedo, a 41-year-old Portugese nurse and mother of two, [died](#) two days after receiving the [Pfizer/BioNtech vaccine](#). Her father told the Daily Mail that she never drank alcohol and was in perfect health. Nevertheless, Portugal’s Health Authority dismissed her death as a sad coincidence.

Israel also reported two deaths from the coincidence pandemic: one in a [75-year-old man](#) in Beit She’an, and the other an [88-year-old man](#). Both died two hours after vaccination. Israeli health officials warned the public not to attribute the deaths to the vaccine.

In Lucerne, Switzerland, [a 91-year-old man died](#) five days after getting Pfizer/BioNtech’s vaccine. Swiss authorities called any connection “highly unlikely.”

On January 3, [Dr. Gregory Michael](#), a beloved Miami obstetrician and enthusiastic COVID-19 vaccine booster, died of a [hemorrhagic stroke](#) after receiving Pfizer/BioNtech’s vaccine. Dr. Michael developed acute [idiopathic thrombocytopenia purpura](#) (ITP) — a known vaccine side effect — immediately after receiving the jab. His platelet count dropped from 150,000 to zero and never rebounded.

An army of experts from around the world, involved in the vaccine program, consulted in doomed efforts to restore Dr. Michael’s platelet count. The inevitable brain hemorrhage killed him two weeks later. [Michael’s wife said](#) that her husband’s death was “100% linked to the vaccine. She added that he was physically healthy, exercised often, rarely drank alcohol, never smoked cigarettes and had no known comorbidities.

Nevertheless, [Pfizer dismissed](#) Michael’s injuries as another sad coincidence: “We do not believe at this time that there is any direct connection to the vaccine.” Pfizer pointed out that ITP is also caused by excess drinking and reasoned that “there have been no recorded safety signals identified in trials from vaccinations so far.”

On Tuesday, the [New York Times quoted](#) Dr. Jerry Spivak, a blood disorder expert at Johns Hopkins University, saying “I think it’s a medical certainty that the vaccine was related.”

But Pfizer/BioNtech would not have been likely to see the thrombocytopenia signals in its brief, under-enrolled clinical trials. [Thrombocytopenia occurs in 1 in approximately every 25,000-40,000 doses](#) of the MMR vaccine. It is also a similarly rare, but persistently reported side effect of [hepatitis A](#), TB, [HPV](#), chickenpox, DTaP, [polio](#) and HiB vaccines. [SIGN UP](#)

An injury that occurs at that frequency would not likely be seen in Pfizer/BioNtech’s Phase II clinical trial because only 22,000 people received the vaccine. However, an injury of this severity occurring once in every 25,000 shots could debilitate or kill 12,000 of the 300

million Americans to whom the company hopes to give the jab.

The public can expect to see more of this strategic chicanery: When a healthy 32-year-old Mexican doctor was hospitalized with encephalitis — inflammation of his brain and spinal cord — after receiving the Pfizer/BioNtech vaccine, [Mexican doctors dismissed the injury](#) as unrelated to the vaccination, reasoning that the condition had not been detected in Pfizer/BioNtech's clinical trials.

This week an Auburn, New York nursing home reported, without any apparent irony, that [32 of 193 residents have died](#) since the facility began administering the Pfizer vaccine on Dec. 21. The company claims that its clients are dying of COVID-19 infections, not the vaccine.

Equally disturbing, additional deaths may have gone altogether unreported.

Among Dr. Michael's many grateful patients was Tessa Levy, who had a scheduled appointment with him for the Tuesday after his death on Jan. 3. Michaels delivered all four of Tessa's children, saving one of them with an ingenious split-second diagnosis of a rare heart condition that would have otherwise killed the boy.

Tessa is the daughter of my close friend, the famous Beverly Hills surgeon, Dr. George Boris. "He was a healthy, strong, vigorous guy," Tessa told me about Michaels. "He never showed any health problems."

On New Year's Eve, Dr. Boris's brother-in-law, Murray Brazner, also died suddenly, one week after receiving the Pfizer vaccine. Neither the vaccine company nor any health agency took notice of his sudden unexpected death. "No autopsy was performed, and his death isn't recorded as a vaccine injury. It makes you wonder," Dr. Boris told me.

Mr. Brazner's death illustrates an even graver problem: Many injuries may be escaping notice by the surveillance system and the media. Unreported stories similar to Dr. Brazner's tragedy are already common complaints on social media.

On Jan. 2, Janice Hisle [lamented on Facebook](#) that her friend's mom, an Ohio woman, died after receiving the vaccine. According to Hisle, the woman developed a high fever hours after the jab and died a "couple days" later. "I am so angry for my friend," she commented, "who is crying because relatives were not allowed to see her before she was vaccinated. They thought the vaccine would 'open the door.'"

We could find no mention of the Ohio woman's death in media records or official COVID-vaccine death tallies.

One might assume that if deaths following COVID-19 vaccine can be so easily dismissed or ignored, lesser injuries will also escape notice.

The all-too-familiar vaccine propaganda playbook

The routine of reflexively dismissing suspicious deaths and injuries as unrelated to vaccination not only calls into doubt the official data tallies on vaccine injuries, it also contrasts markedly with the habit among public health officials of [authoritatively attributing](#) every death to COVID-19 so long as the deceased tested positive for COVID within 60 days of death using a PCR test notorious for producing [false positives](#).

In fact, the [\\$48 billion](#) COVID vaccine enterprise shares three defining features with every new vaccine introduced since 1986:

1. Systematic exaggeration of risk from the target disease. (Pharma calls this project “Disease Branding.”)

2. Systematic exaggeration of vaccine efficacy.

3. Systematically downplaying vaccine risks.

1. Exaggerating disease risk:

Regulatory agencies count every death as a COVID death, so long as the deceased tested positive for COVID within 60 days of death — no matter that he may have died in a motorcycle crash.

In September, the [Centers for Disease Control and Prevention \(CDC\) admitted](#) that 94% of individuals whose deaths the CDC officially attributed to COVID had other illnesses that may have actually killed them. The average deceased had [2.8 comorbidities](#). Yet in CDC’s official tabulations, CDC always presumes that COVID-19 did the killing.

But as we see from the examples above, when it comes to COVID vaccine injuries, the opposite presumption governs: the comorbidity is always the cause of death — even when, as with Dr. Michaels, there are no known comorbidities.

2. Systematic exaggeration of vaccine efficacy:

Pfizer touts a 95% efficacy rate in its clinical trials, but this is a meaningless measure of “relative efficacy” based on a tiny cohort of 94 people in the placebo group who got mild cases of COVID during the clinical trials.

The “absolute,” or “actual,” efficacy of the vaccine during clinical trials was 0.88%. According to [the British Medical Journal](#), this means that health authorities must administer [155 vaccines to avert a single case of mild COVID](#).

3. Downplaying vaccine risks:

The true risk of vaccine injury will continue to be obscured by the habit among public health officials of routinely dismissing reported injuries as unrelated to vaccination.

The practices of systematically overestimating vaccine safety, underestimating vaccine deaths, and exaggerating risks of COVID-19 effectively deprive the public of their right to informed consent.

And so what do we really know about the true risk of COVID-19 vaccines?

Public health officials and industry spokespeople like to say that the risks of serious injury from vaccination are “one in one million.. However, in the first week of distribution, [Americans took 200,000 COVID vaccines and reported 5,000 “serious”](#) (meaning missed workdays or medical intervention required) injuries.

This is an injury rate of [1 in every 40 jabs](#). This means that the 150 shots necessary to avert one mild case of COVID will cause serious injury to at least three people.

If the clinical trials are good predictors, that rate is likely to increase dramatically after the second shot (the clinical trials suggested that almost all the benefits of COVID vaccination and vast majority of injuries were associated with the second dose).

We don't know the true risk of death from the vaccine since regulators have rendered virtually every death invisible by attributing them all to coincidence.

The 1-in-40 risk of "serious injury" from Pfizer's COVID vaccine is consistent with what we know about other vaccines.

For many years, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) has maintained a post-licensing surveillance system known as the [Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System \(VAERS\)](#). Even government insiders like Surgeon General Dr. David Kessler [acknowledged that VAERS is an abysmal failure](#).

Nevertheless, it is only by clinging to this "designed to fail" system that regulators and industry have maintained their pretense that current vaccine risk profiles are acceptable.

[A 2010 study](#) funded by HHS concluded that VAERS captured "fewer than 1% of injuries." In other words, the actual injury rates from mandated vaccines are more than 100x what HHS has been telling the public!

The 2010 HHS study found that the true risk for serious adverse events was 26/1,000, or one in 37.

Similarly, Merck's clinical trials for Gardasil found that an astonishing [half of all vaccine recipients](#) suffered from adverse events, which Merck euphemistically called "new medical conditions," and that [2.3% of vaccine recipients](#) (1 in 43) suffered from autoimmune disease within six months of vaccination.

Similarly, a recent Italian study found that 46% of vaccine recipients (462 adverse events per 1,000 doses) suffered adverse events, with 11% of these rated "serious," [meaning 38 serious adverse events per 1,000 vaccinated individuals](#). These include grave gastrointestinal and "serious neurological disorders." This amounts to a "serious" injury rate of 1/26.

Holocaust survivor Vera Sharav of the Alliance for Human Research Protection [has observed](#) that, "Everyone who gets any of these vaccines is participating in a vast medical experiment."

Health officials generally concur that the granting of "[emergency use authorization](#)" to the rollout of experimental vaccine technologies with only a few weeks of safety testing, two years before the scheduled completion of Phase 2 testing, is a great human experiment, involving millions of subjects.

But researchers are unlikely to see all of the safety signals if a badly designed surveillance system allows local health officials and company employees the discretion to dismiss any serious injury as unrelated.

[**Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**](#)

[**Become a Member of Global Research**](#)

Articles by: [Robert F. Kennedy Jr](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca