

Vaccine Group Sues Trudeau Government for 'Draconian and Unjustifiable' Response to COVID-19

Vaccine Choice Canada launched the legal action against Trudeau, Ford, CBC, and others for their 'over-hyped COVID-19 pandemic narrative'

By [Anthony Murdoch](#)

Global Research, July 15, 2020

[LifeSiteNews](#) 10 July 2020

Region: [Canada](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#), [Science and Medicine](#)

Vaccine Choice Canada, a not-for-profit society founded by families who have suffered from vaccine reactions and injuries, is suing Trudeau's Liberal Government along with Ford's Government of Ontario to hold them "accountable" for their "overreach and the draconian and unjustifiable measures taken in response to COVID-19."

"The mass and indiscriminate containment of citizens, the restriction of access to parliament, the courts, medical and educational services, the destruction of local economies and livelihoods, and the requirement to physically distance, along with the forced use of non-medical masking are extraordinary measures that have never before been imposed on the citizens of Canada," the group stated in a press release.

"The impact of these aberrant measures on our physical, emotional, psychological, social and economic well-being is profoundly destructive and these actions are unsustainable, unwarranted, extreme and unconstitutional."

[In a press release sent out Thursday](#), Vaccine Choice Canada (VCC) announced that they filed legal action in the Ontario Superior Court against "multiple parties" for their actions "with respect to COVID-19 measures." The parties also include, among others, the Municipality of Toronto, various public health officers, and the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation.

The VCC *Statement of Claim* [lists](#) the defendants as Canadian Prime Minister Justin Trudeau, Ontario Premier Doug Ford, Canada's Chief Medical Officer Dr. Theresa Tam, Transport Minister Marc Garneau, Ontario Ministers Christine Elliot (Health) and Stephen Lecce (Education), Toronto Mayor John Tory, and Ontario's Chief Medical Officer Dr. David Williams.

The lawsuit also lists as defendants Toronto's chief medical officer Dr. Eileen De Villa, the County of Wellington-Dufferin-Guelph and their chief medical officer, and Windsor Essex County and their chief medical officer, and the state-funded Canadian broadcaster, the CBC.

The VCC suit lists multiple plaintiffs which include nurses and citizens who argue that the COVID-19 lockdown measures have negatively affected them in both mental and physical health.

In addition to seeking to be paid for the cost of the lawsuit, the VCC is seeking that the

defendants do not force any mandatory COVID-19 vaccine on Canadians as it would violate one's rights under the Canadian constitution.

The VCC is also asking for a declaration that face masks not be mandated, that social distancing measures be retracted as they are "extreme," and that the closures of churches, schools, and playgrounds were unwarranted and "scientifically" not valid.

The VCC is also seeking \$1 million in general and \$10 million in punitive damages from the CBC for spreading "misinformation" and "false news" about COVID-19.

In their *Statement of Claim* summary, the VCC claims that the entire COVID-19 was a "pre-planned" and "false pandemic" perpetrated by the World Health Organization, Bill Gates, and other "Billionaire Oligarchs," to install a "New World (Economic) Order" with the aim of control and putting wealth in the hands of a few.

They are also claiming that Trudeau's shutting down of parliament and Ford's declaration of provincial emergencies was not warranted. The VCC states that the lockdown measures put in place have no basis in scientific fact and therefore, contravene sections of the charter.

In their press release, the VCC states that "during times of emergency," Canadians' Constitutional rights "do not stop being important" but rather "become even more important."

The VCC press release says that Toronto lawyer, Rocco Galati, will serve as their legal counsel.

LifeSiteNews reached out to Ted Kuntz, president of VCC, to ask him about the lawsuit.

Kuntz responded by saying that although they recognize governments can enact laws that limit constitutional rights, it's up to them to prove that the limits are needed.

"We recognize that governments may enact laws and pursue policies that limit Constitutional rights and freedoms, but the onus is on the government to prove that the limit is minimal, necessary, finite, and demonstrably justifiable in a free and democratic society," Kuntz told LifeSiteNews.

VCC held a press conference Thursday at their legal counsel's office in Toronto. Kuntz told LifeSiteNews that it is "telling that none of the mainstream media attended the press conference."

In their news release, VCC says that they have made "numerous formal requests of the Government of Canada and various provincial governments" to provide them with evidence that justifies the COVID-19 measures taken, but they have gone unanswered

"An over-hyped COVID-19 pandemic narrative is being utilized to create unnecessary panic and to justify the systemic violation of the rights and freedoms that form the basis of our society, including our Constitutional rights, sovereignty, privacy, rule of law, financial security, and even our very democracy," states the VCC news release.

The VCC says that there are many “recognized global health and research experts” who have given a valid criticism of “government overreach and the draconian and unjustifiable measures taken in response to COVID-19.”

“The warning bells are being rung about the dire consequences of these unwarranted, irresponsible, and extreme actions that are in violation of the rights and freedoms well established in Canadian and international law. All this continues to fall on the deaf ears of governments,” states the VCC news release.

VCC has been a vocal opponent of government responses to the coronavirus crisis and has [a page dedicated](#) to the virus on their website

[Recently, the VCC heavily opposed](#) a controversial New Brunswick bill that would have mandated kids to be vaccinated to attend public schools.

The bill was defeated, as lawmakers rejected removing “non-medical” religious and philosophical vaccine exemptions from existing law.

In May, Kuntz told LifeSiteNews that any type of forced vaccination, including a COVID-19 vaccine, is “morally repugnant” and unconstitutional after being asked about [Health Canada approving human trial](#) testing of a coronavirus vaccine derived from an aborted fetal cell line.

“The decision by Health Canada to approve human trial testing for a SARS-CoV-2 vaccine should concern us all,” Kuntz told LifeSiteNews.

“Bypassing standard and prudent safety protocols and rushing a vaccine to market not only increases the risk of producing a product that will cause more harm than good, it has the very real potential to severely undermine trust in our health professionals, our health agencies, and in the entire vaccine paradigm.”

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

The original source of this article is [LifeSiteNews](#)
Copyright © [Anthony Murdoch](#), [LifeSiteNews](#), 2020

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Anthony Murdoch](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca