

"Vaccination, Social Violence, and Criminality: The Medical Assault on the American Brain"

A book review

By <u>Toby Rogers</u> Global Research, August 02, 2022 <u>uTobian</u> 31 July 2022 Region: <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Science and Medicine</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

I want to draw your attention to an extraordinary but little-known book titled <u>Vaccination</u>, <u>Social Violence</u>, and <u>Criminality</u>: <u>The Medical Assault on the American Brain</u>. It was published in 1990 and it's out of print but there are still a few used copies available on Amazon and eBay. It was written by <u>Harris L. Coulter</u> (1932 – 2009) a visionary medical historian (with a Ph.D. in Philosophy from Columbia University) who also co-authored A Shot in the Dark with Barbara Loe Fisher in 1986.

Vaccination, Social Violence, and Criminality is as close as our movement gets to a Unified Field Theory. Coulter argues that everything from the social upheaval of the 1960s to the sharp rise in autism, cranial nerve palsies, depression, suicide, eating disorders, learning disabilities, seizures, allergies, family dissolution, demyelinating disorders, sexual violence, and other forms of psychopathy & sociopathy — all stem, at least in part, from pervasive subclinical encephalitis (and post-encephalitic syndrome) as a result of vaccines. Coulter provides extensive references from the scientific and medical literature at the end of each chapter to document his claims (this was before science and medicine started censoring all discussion of vaccines).

I disagree with Coulter's claim that vaccines led to the civil unrest in the 1960s. The U.S. war

in Vietnam, Laos, and Cambodia combined with the draft and the introduction of high dose progesterone birth control pills are much better explanatory factors. The fact that the CIA/FBI/deep state systematically assassinated the most important political leaders of that era added to the chaos.

But there is growing evidence that the rest of Coulter's theory is on target. Of course I would add many other disorders — ADHD, Alzheimers, childhood cancers, diabetes, Gulf War Syndrome, <u>ME/CFS</u>, etc. that have since been linked with vaccines. I would point out that emissions from coal fired power plants, plastics, pesticides, herbicides, nuclear weapons tests, fire retardants, EMF, and other pharmaceuticals (notably Tylenol and SSRIs) also likely play a role. And human actions are not driven solely by toxicants, there is still human agency and social factors always play a role as well. But the story of our era is a mass poisoning event wrought by vaccines (and other seeming technological miracles that have tremendous, rarely acknowledged, downsides).

What's remarkable is that Coulter came to this conclusion so early — 1990 was just the fourth year of the genocide (if one starts counting from the 1986 National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act) or the 25th year of the genocide (if one starts counting from the 1965 Immunization Assistance Act). The book was written before the widespread deployment of the internet enabled people to quickly share information.

The book is also an interesting time capsule. In the book, Coulter is still contending with psychoanalytic theories (that have since become passé), he still believes that appeals to science will somehow convince the gatekeepers to do the right thing (we now know that they are psychopaths), and he has no idea how much worse things were about to become (he's describing a small minority of the population with these disorders and now they are *everywhere*).

I think Coulter does a brilliant job of mapping the various pathologies associated with vaccine-induced pervasive subclinical encephalitis. But what fascinates me — and what I want to write about today — are the ways in which this mass poisoning event reshapes what is normal and what is *desirable* in a society. If 95% of the population is poisoned (they are), including nearly all of the elites (they are), then EVERYTHING in society will be warped by these toxicants — including hopes, dreams, norms, and ideals.

Let's work through some examples:

I think a <u>strong case case be made</u> that post-modernism ('there are no universals') — is the result of vaccine injury. Everything from Foucault now needs to be interpreted in light of this possibility. It is strange to suggest that one could not possibly come to understand another person's perspective — and that all we can do is celebrate difference. But if the <u>dendrites in</u> <u>the brain</u> necessary to process empathy are damaged by mercury poisoning (from vaccines and other sources) — it would make sense that lots of people might conclude that Foucault and post-modernism have it exactly right.

State Senator Richard Pan in California is clearly a criminal psychopath. Devoid of normal human feelings, he grins like a Cheshire Cat for hours as THOUSANDS of parents testify every year about the vaccine injury suffered by their children. And this criminal psychopath is ADORED by his Democratic colleagues who see him as an ideal pediatrician politician. But of course many of the other members of his party in the state legislature are similarly

afflicted. Like is attracted to like.

Facebook was invented by severely vaccine-injured Harvard University student, Mark Zuckerberg, to publicly humiliate a female student who rejected his advances. This is not a normal way to respond to disappointment. Facebook is now used by 2.9 billion people worldwide. When Facebook first launched, remember how weird we all thought it was that people were expected to just blurt out what they were doing in their status update? That is not how normal people communicate. Heretofore, communication was based on *dialogue*. Now publicly announcing, into a digital void, what we are doing throughout the day is seen as normal (because of course it is to a planet full of people dealing with pervasive subclinical encephalitis).

Bill Gates appears badly vaccine-injured. He's spent millions of dollars on coaching to cover it up but his pathologies have a way of slipping out in nearly every interview. Melinda too. (It's interesting that they appear to have spent no money on detox nor healing.)

Bill Gates' obsessive focus on monopolies and control; the fact that everything he touches becomes so much worse (he invests in farms and fertilizer, then there are food shortages; he invests in Common Core and our educational system collapses; he invests in public health and suddenly there is a pandemic); and his fondness for known pedophile sex trafficker Jeffrey Epstein — these are not the actions of a healthy person. But Bill and Melinda Gates are seen as exemplary citizens (*the best of the best*) by people like Anderson Cooper and Sanjay Gupta who are similarly afflicted.

Giving the 2020 Nobel Prize in Chemistry to the inventors of <u>CRISPR</u> — during the middle of a global pandemic caused by CRISPR — is not normal. But it feels normal and desirable to the members of the Nobel Prize committee because they too are damaged by chronic brain inflammation and other vaccine-induced harms. CRISPR was exciting, the pandemic was exciting, the Nobel Prize committee was excited, they apparently did not care how many people died.

Klaus Schwab at the World Economic Forum is a textbook psychopath — he dresses like a psychopath, talks like a psychopath, and thinks like a psychopath ("you will own nothing and be happy"). He doesn't hide it, he writes books telling everyone exactly how he plans to enslave them. But he is *celebrated* by business and political leaders throughout the world because they are damaged just like him.

Zuckerberg, Gates, and Schwab are such obvious examples, but the harms go well beyond them to impact all facets of modern society.

Elite universities are *unable* to conduct proper risk benefit analysis in connection with Covid-19 vaccines. They gleefully turn the students in their care over to the pharmaceutical industry and seem unconcerned when these students later develop myocarditis and drop dead. This is a strange way for universities to conduct themselves.

Pediatrics has seen a <u>277-fold increase</u> in autism over the course of the last fifty years and nearly the entire profession is unable to see it or acknowledge it. Yes, that's a story of cultural and financial capture, shame, and self-deception. But the entire profession is also affected (to varying degrees) by the same harms that they inflict.

All public health institutions in the U.S. - CDC, FDA, NIH - have failed in response to a

relatively straightforward problem over the last two years. When I watch Peter Marks, Amanda Cohn, or Paul Offit speak, I believe that I am staring at psychopaths who have been elevated to the highest echelons of their profession by other like-minded individuals.

Our taste in art and <u>music</u> is likely affected as well. The fact that Limp Bizkit ever got played on the radio is a sign of a society in catastrophic decline.

The corruption, chaos, coarsening, and unravelling of our society since 1965 may all stem, at least in part, from the fact that our brains and bodies are so inflamed. And the fact that all of this dysfunction is celebrated, normalized, and sought after is a sign of a society in the grips of a mass poisoning event.

I'm sure you can think of 100 more examples (and I look forward to reading your thoughts in the comments).

The reason it feels like we are living in an insane asylum is because we are. Upwards of 95% of the population may be dealing with pervasive subclinical encephalitis and postencephalitic syndromes. So logic, reason, empathy, desire, connection, and all other human attributes are affected by the way that this interferes with brain and bodily functions.

One of my takeaways from all of this is that it has been a waste of time to try to convert the gatekeepers. Pan, Zuckerberg, Gates, Schwab etc. will never come to their senses because they are not physically capable of it — quite literally their brains and body are too damaged right now. If they are involved in any way, they will only makes things worse. That doesn't excuse their actions, it just suggests that we should put our energies into replacing them rather than convincing them.

Also, if we are going to survive as a species we need to block the introduction of new toxicants into our bodies and detox, if we can, the toxic junk that is currently inside us.

The movement for medical freedom has become the monks and nuns who are fighting to save civilization. While the vaccine-injured Neros set fire to the world we are trying to preserve a sense of what normal human functioning looked like before everyone got poisoned. But what I'm realizing is that the scale of what we are up against is so much larger than we are usually willing to admit. My concern is that vaccine injury has become so pervasive in our society that now it just seems like culture (which is exactly how Pharma wants us to experience it). Healing is possible but that can only begin if we acknowledge what is happening.

The humbling thing about this is that we are nearly all affected — even those of us who are fighting to stop this toxic tyranny. So it's a useful reminder to hold our own thoughts lightly and practice grace with ourselves and others.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Toby Rogers

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

<u>www.globalresearch.ca</u> contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca