

U.S. Will Have Spent \$100 Billion on Ukraine this Year

By Eric Zuesse

Global Research, November 16, 2022

Region: Europe, USA

Theme: Intelligence, Militarization and

WMD

In-depth Report: **UKRAINE REPORT**

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

On November 15th, U.S. President Joe Biden <u>requested Congress to allocate another \$37.7</u> <u>billion to Ukraine</u>, and the Democratic Chairman of the House Foreign Affairs Committee, the neoconservative Gregory Meeks of New York, said it was "urgent to make sure that we get them everything that we can ... so that they have the weapons to continue the momentum moving through the winter," against the Russians. According to the AP's report, \

"U.S. aid to Ukraine has already included tens of thousands of missiles and rockets for air defense and anti-armor systems, and more than 84 million rounds of ammunition, as well as drones, tanks, trucks, radars, body armor and other gear."

Calculations published on October 11th by the Kiel Institute for World Economy's "Ukraine Support Tracker" totaled up \$52B from the U.S. to Ukraine this year, as-of that time, and by now around \$60B has been allocated, so that if the current request for \$37.7B is granted — which seems almost certain (since the U.S. Congress is now virtually 100% neoconservative and never turns down an opportunity to spend more money for weapons and warfare) — America will have spent this year on helping Ukraine defeat Russia in the battlefields of Ukraine, something in the neighborhood of \$100 billion.

Russia is likewise planning to intensify its military operation in Ukraine. A Russian news-report that is <u>censored-out in The West</u> but <u>available in Russia</u>, is dated November 15th and headlines "EW specialists continue to carry out tasks within special military operation" and says that Russia's electronic warfare devices in Ukraine have "already neutralized around 50 AFU [Armed Forces of Ukraine] drones." That seems inconsequential in comparison with the immense flood of U.S. weaponry that is pouring into Ukraine.

Also on November 15th, CEPA, the neoconservative Center for European Policy Analysis in Washington DC, bannered <u>"Doomed to Failure — Russia's Efforts to Restore its Military</u>

<u>Muscle</u>", and reported that "Much is revealed by examining Russia's defense budget. The planned 2022 <u>national defense (ND) budget</u> was 3.51 trillion rubles (\$57.4bn), which rose to 3.85 trillion rubles after the all-out invasion began." So: Russia's total military spending now, which includes both personnel (troops) and weapons, might be less than what America is spending on weapons for Ukraine plus only training of Ukraine's troops.

Nonetheless, CEPA says that in Russia, "Officials and defense sector managers declare that the defense industry is ready to make up all losses as the government increases its arms procurement budget." The report says that achieving that will be virtually impossible, not only because Russia can't afford unlimited military expenditures such as are routine in America, but because, due to America's anti-Russia sanctions, "[Russian] officials are now traveling intensively from one defense factory to another trying to manage multiple problems arising on production lines. ... As a result, the losses of Russia's military during its invasion of Ukraine are irreversible."

Whereas Russia normally would be spending around \$60B per year on its military, America has been spending over \$1.2 trillion per year (some of it in non-military federal departments, such as the Treasury Department, so as to hide from the public the roughly \$400 billion per year of America's military spending that ISN'T being paid from the "Defense Department"). So: in a normal year, the U.S. spends about 20 times what Russia spends on its defense.

Perhaps the Biden Administration's main strategy to defeat Russia in the battlefields of Ukraine, and so to overthrow Vladimir Putin (which is Washington's step-one to conquering Russia and making it another part of the U.S. empire), is precisely that 20-to-1 military spending advantage.

Russia's great advantage is the enormous amount of sheer corruption that is in America's \$1.2T+ annual military spending. That, for example, is the reason why "U.S. GAO Finds Failure Is the Norm in U.S. Military Aircrafts". No nation can compete with America in the category of the corruptness of its military spending. But since Russia spends only about 5% per year as much as America does on the military, the U.S. regime's plan to conquer Russia might not be unrealistic, after all. Whatever will be coming in this war will contain some big surprises, and a WW III that obliterates all life as we know it might be one of them.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

This article was originally published on <u>The Duran</u>.

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse's new book, <u>AMERICA'S EMPIRE OF EVIL: Hitler's Posthumous Victory</u>, and Why the Social Sciences Need to Change, is about how America took over the world after World War II in order to enslave it to U.S.-and-allied billionaires. Their cartels extract the world's wealth by control of not only their 'news' media but the social 'sciences' — duping the public. He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image: Ukrainian President Volodymyr Zelensky, left, shakes hands with U.S. Defense Secretary Lloyd Austin during their meeting in Kyiv, Ukraine, Tuesday, October 19, 2021. [Source:

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Eric Zuesse</u>, Global Research, 2022

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Eric Zuesse

About the author:

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010, and of CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca