

U.S. Spent Over \$21 Billion on Afghan Police, Got 'Barely Qualified Mall Guards'

By Fiona Harrigan

Global Research, June 14, 2022

reason 10 June 2022

Region: <u>Asia</u>, <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Intelligence</u>

In-depth Report: **AFGHANISTAN**

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Visit and follow us on <u>Instagram</u>, <u>Twitter</u> and <u>Facebook</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

New SIGAR findings shine a light on America's dysfunctional efforts to train the Afghan National Police, which "actually contributed to increasing criminality" in Afghanistan.

After spending over \$21 billion trying to train a national police force in Afghanistan, the United States splurged on equipment rather than focusing on institutional reform and churned out police trainees who were effectively "barely qualified mall guards," per an international observer. That's according to a sprawling new report published by the Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction (SIGAR) that examines what went wrong in America's costly and ineffective management of the Afghan National Police (ANP).

The SIGAR report <u>notes</u> that "two decades of conflict had left little to reform in Afghanistan" by the early 2000s. "The entire criminal justice system—from police to courts to prisons—had to be rebuilt, and with the help of a largely illiterate Afghan population." The U.S. has no national police force and lacked any centralized standards or precedent to apply to the ANP. Training and advising fell to a piecemeal collection of departments and agencies, creating inefficient bureaucratic processes that butted up against deeply corrupt institutions in Afghanistan.

No effective, rights-protecting national police force has ever existed in Afghanistan. Both before and after U.S. involvement in the country began, the police had a reputation for arbitrary detentions, torture, and human rights abuses, which affected the Afghan population's receptiveness to newly trained officers. But the Afghans trained in American facilities were ill-equipped to perform tasks necessary to their jobs. It was so bad that in 2007, one international observer remarked, many graduates of U.S. training facilities were like "barely qualified mall guards."

Recruitment standards were lax and often colored by the corrupt Ministry of Interior, which <u>prioritized</u> personal and factional allegiance over legitimate qualifications. Further, "between

70 and 90 percent of the graduates of U.S. police training centers were illiterate." They were incapable of taking complex notes, reading warrants, or jotting down license plate numbers. Militia fighters also filled the ranks of the new police force, with one U.S.-contracted trainer noting, "we train who we can get." All the while, the yearly attrition rate for trainees floated around 15 percent (but may have been up to 30 percent).

The SIGAR report criticizes an approach to police assistance that "resembled failed efforts by the Soviet Union, other international donors, and former Afghan government administrations." The U.S. and its partners came to <u>focus</u> on "the hardware of police-building—equipment, infrastructure, organizational restructuring—over less tangible goals." That ultimately led to heavy investments in increased strength and a relative lack of attention to corruption and institutional abuse. But simple needs were still overlooked: In 2005, it was <u>estimated</u> that the ANP required 3.4 million basic items like communication equipment and cold-weather clothing.

This ultimately helped create a militarized police force, which was only exacerbated by the nature of instruction for trainees. "Police training courses put almost 90 percent of their emphasis on military skills such as weapons handling, roadblock establishment, and improvised explosive device identification. Only about 10 percent of the curriculum" focused on things like human rights or Afghanistan's constitution, while no time was spent on domestic violence or women's rights.

Eventually the Department of Defense <u>took over</u> the police-training initiative from the Department of State, though it lacked expertise in managing a civilian police force. That was largely done at the behest of former Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld, who lobbied for special funding from Congress as no such money existed in the Pentagon budget. "Since fiscal year 2005," the SIGAR report <u>notes</u>, "Congress has appropriated over \$21 billion...specifically to support the ANP."

Despite all the money and time the U.S. devoted to building the ANP, the SIGAR report finds that the police force "actually contributed to increasing criminality." It was at least partially because of this dysfunction that the Taliban began to regain support among some Afghans, who were simply looking for someone to enforce law and order. State police had been "extorting and beating locals" and "regularly abducting and raping young boys." By the time President Joe Biden announced the U.S. troop withdrawal from Afghanistan in 2021, it was clear that the ANP was incapable of staving off disorder. When the Taliban took Kabul in August, they did so with effectively no resistance from Afghanistan's military or police.

Misconceptions and misplaced optimism plagued U.S. efforts to build a police force in Afghanistan. But sheer ignorance doomed the program too. "No one even knew how many police were actually on duty in Afghanistan" by 2006, years after the American training initiative began. As with so many other SIGAR reports, these findings drive home how futile nation-building efforts are when carried out by government bureaucrats who refuse to take stock of prohibitive realities in the country they hope to transform.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, Twitter and Facebook. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

<u>Fiona Harrigan</u> is assistant editor at Reason.

The original source of this article is <u>reason</u> Copyright © <u>Fiona Harrigan</u>, <u>reason</u>, 2022

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Fiona Harrigan

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca