

US-North Korea Summit: Hold the Cheers. Inter-Korean Summit to Precede Trump-Kim Meeting?

By [Stephen Lendman](#)

Global Research, April 19, 2018

Region: [Asia](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Militarization and WMD](#)

In-depth Report: [NORTH KOREA](#)

VISIT MY NEW WEB SITE:

stephenlendman.org

([Home - Stephen Lendman](#)).

Contact at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net.

A US summit meeting with North Korean leader Kim Jong-un may or may not happen, Trump saying:

"If we don't think it's going to be successful, we won't have it..." "If I think that if it's a meeting that is not going to be fruitful we're not going to go. If the meeting when I'm there is not fruitful, I will respectfully leave the meeting," adding:

"Just because North Korea is responding to dialogue, there should be no reward. Maximum pressure should be maintained," above all, demanding the DPRK "denuclearizes."

Ahead of a possible Kim/Trump summit, an April 27 inter-Korean one will be the first since 2007, only the third one since the peninsula was divided post-WW II.

Both countries are in talks to formally declare an end to the 1950s war, replacing an uneasy armistice, an important agreement if concluded.

It's unknown if Kim Jong-un and his delegation will walk across the heavily militarized border to meet with South Korea's Moon Jae-in, a symbolic reconciliation gesture if so – something no North Korean leader ever did since the 1953 armistice.

Their summit will be held at Peace House in Panmunjom, on the South Korean side of the border.

On Wednesday, Trump said both countries "have my blessing to discuss the end of the war" – a positive sign if he maintains this position, uncertain given how often he says one thing and does another.

Reportedly Kim and Moon will release a joint statement, saying they intend to formally end

decades of conflict between the North and South.

A successful summit would pave the way for Trump to meet Kim – likely in late May or June if occurs, the first ever between leaders of both countries.

According to Chinese Studies Professor John Delury, “(e)nding the state of conflict is the core of the whole thing...as complicated as denuclearization. There has to be a process of actually delivering the peace.”

Major issues need to be resolved, given a state of war between both countries for decades.

Weeks earlier, secretary of state designee Mike Pompeo met with Kim in Pyongyang, preparing for a summit with Trump.

Denuclearizing the peninsula won't happen without iron-clad security guarantees for the DPRK, what's been unattainable throughout the post-WW II period – very risky to do given Washington's rage for endless wars of aggression against all sovereign independent nations.

North Korean officials are mindful of US aggression in Iraq and Libya, nations abandoning WMDs, defenseless except for conventional weapons when attacked by Washington and its imperial partners with overwhelming force.

The DPRK is also mindful of America's lack of good faith. Its leadership hasn't forgotten US abandonment of the 1994 Agreed Framework, explained earlier as follows:

Pyongyang agreed to freeze and replace its nuclear power plant program with a light water nuclear reactor, along with steps toward normalizing relations with Washington.

The Clinton administration agreed to build two light-water reactors by 2003. In the interim, it would supply Pyongyang with 500,000 tons of heavy fuel annually.

US sanctions would be lifted. The DPRK would be removed from the State Department's state sponsors of terrorism list. Both countries agreed to provide “formal assurances” against threatened or actual use of nuclear weapons.

Pyongyang agreed to allow Washington to monitor its nuclear sites. It upheld its part of the deal – collapsing after GW Bush declared North Korea part of an axis of evil in his first State of the Union address, breaching the agreement unilaterally.

US administrations consistently betray sovereign independent countries, why Washington can never be trusted under GOP or undemocratic Dem leadership. Rare exceptions prove the rule, none since the Soviet Union dissolved in 1991.

A nuclearized peninsula gives US administrations pause about attacking the DPRK. Abandoning its key deterrent leaves the nation vulnerable.

North Korea wants peace, unacceptable sanctions lifted, and normalized relations with the West.

Abandoning its most effective defense against possible US aggression may be too great a price to pay – given Washington's permanent war policy, its rage for global dominance, its longstanding regime change policy against all sovereign independent nations.

*

Stephen Lendman is a Research Associate of the CRG, Correspondent of Global Research based in Chicago.

VISIT MY NEW WEB SITE: stephenlendman.org (Home - Stephen Lendman). Contact at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net.

My newest book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: How the US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."

<http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html>

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Stephen Lendman](#), Global Research, 2018

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Stephen Lendman](#)

About the author:

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net. His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."

<http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html> Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com. Listen to cutting-edge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network. It airs three times weekly: live on Sundays at 1PM Central time plus two prerecorded archived programs.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca

