

US-NATO “Fast-Track War Plans”. After Failed MH17 False Flag, Washington Attempts Bold New Moves to Frame Russia

By [21st Century Wire](#)


Global Research, July 29, 2014
[21st Century Wire](#)

Region: [Russia and FSU](#)

In-depth Report: [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

After their total [failure to blame eastern Ukrainian Rebels and Moscow](#) for what appears to be Kiev forces shooting down Flight MH17 on July 17th, Washington and its NATO allies have shifted their propaganda strategy in a new attempt to implicate Russia for international war crimes...

Over the weekend, Washington used its US ambassador to the Ukraine, Geoffrey Pyatt, to launch another fabricated social media post designed to blame Russia for “firing artillery over its border into the Ukraine”.

 *Twitter Bombed: Pyatt’s tweeting turned out to be a bad joke. It’s a wonder he still has a job.*

Moscow’s Defense Ministry came out immediately to demonstrating that the images posted by Pyatt on his [Twitter](#) account were in fact “faked”, as ITAR-TASS reported. It was simple to see that Pyatt had simply grabbed a series of images off of the Google World-style satellite mapping website [Digital Globe](#), claiming it was “evidence” of Russia artillery fired into eastern Ukraine. Here is Jeff’s rather flamboyant, and ultimately embarrassing Tweet:

New releases of imagery of Russian artillery attacks against Ukrainian military |
[@TIME: http://t.co/yKdmZ7m0oq pic.twitter.com/gPdZQi3aw6](#)

— US Mission to NATO (@USNATO) [July 27, 2014](#)

It turns out, Pyatt’s Twitter gaff, was part of an “disinformation merry-go-round” currently on heavy rotation in Kiev. Unlike to too-eager media drones in the US and Europe, [Russian officials](#) have been able to explain the mechanics of the Washington-Kiev fiction mill:

“This scheme is called “an informational merry-go-round,” Konashenkov added, using an expression commonly uttered in Russia relating to feeding the information to the media.

“It’s no secret to anyone that fakes like this are made by a group of US counselors staying in the Kiev building of the Security Council, led by General Randy Kee,” he noted.

The general outlined the cycle as follows: the US counselors in the Kiev feed the disinformation to the Ukrainian media, with the news being taken by the Washington official

representatives and presented as statements.

Afterwards, the Ukrainian media cite the US authorities and publish articles like as “objective.”

Washington Raises the Stakes

Clearly, war planners in Washington are determined to fabricate a case against Russia in order to enable one of two outcomes:

1. Create a ‘global’ mandate for wider international sanctions against Russia.
2. Create a UN Security Council Crisis by implicating Russia via an “international violation”.

The first outcome is already in motion, but has failed to gain any real traction, not least of all because the US last expended most of its credibility and goodwill as a result of lying its way into successive wars and being caught doing, as was the case in Syria last September.



With the first outcome set firmly in place, the second desired outcome was set into motion by ‘Lawyer-n- Chief’, President Barrack Obama, who has accused Russia of Violating a 1980’s international missile treaty:

“In an escalation of tensions, the Obama administration accused Russia on Monday of conducting tests in violation of a 1987 nuclear missile treaty, calling the breach ‘a very serious matter’ and going public with allegations that have simmered for some time.” [New sanctions](#) from world leaders are imminent “amid worries that Moscow is stepping up its intervention in Ukraine and may be setting the stage for an [outright invasion](#).” Moscow appears to be preparing for “[deeper international isolation](#).” [AP]

Evidently, this is just the latest desperate lunge by Washington, dipping back into Soviet era legalese in order to bolster and intensify the idea of a ‘Russian Threat’ to the US.

What Obama fails to mention is that Washington is able to conveniently side-step any of the US treaty obligations by going via the NATO route. Obama himself has been a key driver in pushing NATO ‘defensive missiles’ into eastern European countries right on Russia’s own doorstep. When the US began this push to militarize the European border with the Russian Federation, it used the rather incredulous excuse that their new encirclement was to protect against, “an Iranian intercontinental ballistic missile threat”, even though *no such threat exists*. Obama’s [phase two](#) is set to be implemented this year:


- Phase Two (in the 2015 time frame) – After appropriate testing, deploy a more capable version of the SM-3 interceptor (Block IB) in both sea- and land-based configurations, and more advanced sensors, to expand the defended area against short- and medium-range missile threats.

Expect more of the same for Washington over the next 60 days, as it angles for an open door to restart its military engagement of Syria, beginning from the fall of 2014. Already, the US have its Naval assets and air strike assets firmly in position around the Black Sea and the Mediterranean, and only require another false flag incident, or random encroachment over either Turkish, or Israeli borders by some entity in Syria, in order to initiate plans already made to bomb Syria last September 2013.

US, NATO Are Fast-Tracking War Plans

Following [21WIRE's initial independent investigation into the downing of Malaysian Airlines Flight MH17](#), hundreds of thousands of readers now have a clearer insight into what appears to be a failed false flag operation designed to assign blame for the tragedy – to eastern Ukrainian rebels and Moscow. Fortunately, the world is waking up fast to this latest tale of overt deception by neo-fascists in Kiev, Washington and its NATO allies, but the danger stakes are now higher than ever, as western planners are even more desperate to expedite their plans to re-polarize the globe into a binary conflict.

Order Directly From Global Research

 *The US has embarked on a military adventure, “a long war”, which threatens the future of humanity. US-NATO weapons of mass destruction are portrayed as instruments of peace. Mini-nukes are said to be “harmless to the surrounding civilian population”. Pre-emptive nuclear war is portrayed as a “humanitarian undertaking”.*

While one can conceptualize the loss of life and destruction resulting from present-day wars including Iraq and Afghanistan, it is impossible to fully comprehend the devastation which might result from a Third World War, using “new technologies” and advanced weapons, until it occurs and becomes a reality. The international community has endorsed nuclear war in the name of world peace. “Making the world safer” is the justification for launching a military operation which could potentially result in a nuclear holocaust.

[Towards a World War III Scenario: The Dangers of Nuclear War](#)

By Michel Chossudovsky

The original source of this article is [21st Century Wire](#)
Copyright © [21st Century Wire](#), [21st Century Wire](#), 2014

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [21st Century Wire](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca