

# 'US does not want a War - they want Regime Change in North Korea'

By [Brian Becker](#)

Global Research, July 30, 2013

[RT Op-Edge and Global Research](#) 11 April  
2013

Region: [Asia](#)

Theme: [US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [NORTH KOREA](#)

[Download video](#) (122.23 MB)

The Obama administration has adopted a policy of provocation and escalation when it comes to North Korea, Brian Becker, the director of the anti-war coalition ANSWER told RT, the ultimate goal of the approach is not war but regime change.

RT: *North Korea continues to maintain it's on the brink of war with the South. How close are we now to a nuclear show down?*

Brian Becker: There is no place in the world that's more militarized and more of a tinder box than the Korean Peninsula. The US has 25,000 troops there, the US has brought in the B-52 nuclear capable planes to simulate the bombing of N. Korea. The US, two weeks ago brought the B-2 bomber which can drop 30,000 pound bombs as well as nuclear bombs. It just gave 200 bunker buster bombs to South Korea. It's changed the military shape of its alliance with S. Korea in a way making it more ominous to N. Korea.

And every time N. Korea does anything to show its determination to defend itself or to make the perception at least that it can defend itself, then the US treats N. Korea as the ultimate provocateurs. So both sides have climbed the escalation ladder in a very tense part of the world and I think we're at a very dangerous point. Right now anything could trigger some limited military conflict which could quickly escalate.

RT: *Who's responsible for the situation ending up where it is now?*

BB: I think we can see, and I think the American people don't know it, because the thorough going propaganda campaign has been quite effective in the United states, but the Obama administration, unlike the Clinton administration in the last months of the second term where it tried to normalize relations with Korea, sent Madeline Albright then Secretary of State there to meet Kim Jung-il. There seemed to be a thaw. The Obama administration has taken the Bush administration policy of provocation, escalation, increased tensions to a whole new level.

And I think the Obama administration has designed a policy that's intent on carrying out a regime change in N. Korea, and I think this is crazy because the N. Korean government has made it clear that it won't go the way of East Germany to be incorporated, to be swallowed up by the pro- Western, second part of its country. And now we see a growing confrontation.

The Obama administration does not want a war they want to carry out a regime change that is why they had these enhanced economic sanctions.

RT: *How do they think it is going to happen without war?*

BB: They want to create pressure on China to break China away from its historic relationship with N. Korea. By creating a military confrontation or near-confrontation, the United States is saying to the Chinese, look the stakes are very high, time for you to change, time for you abandon your ally in North Korea. And I think they think that could be the prescription, the precursor to regime change in North Korea, if they could break China away. China does not want to do it, although you can see a debate right now going on within the Chinese establishment.

RT: *Should the North Koreans be concerned about a preemptive strike?*

BB: We can see from the S. Korean military, there're divisions and debates and factions within the S. Korean military too. It was a military dictatorship for 30 years. It killed all the progressives, the communists. It said it would always liberate N. Korea from the communists. There're sections of the S. Korean military that are egging things forward. They have an economic interest and a philosophical or ideological interest in creating more tensions. Of course there is a big part of the population that would prefer peace or a peaceful road to a reunification, rather than endless war.

RT: *Isn't it in America's interests to keep a 'dangerous' North Korea there on the Peninsula to have a reason for re-balancing to the Asia-Pacific region?*

BB: Well indeed. Ever since President Obama went to Australia and announced the so-called Asia Pivot, the US is loading up the Pacific with its aircraft, its nuclear-capable aircraft and a large part of its navy. Of course they need provocations, they need tension. It is good for business, it keeps the mega profits coming in into the military industrial complex but it gives the Pentagon the excuse, the pretext to do what it wants to do which is basically to encircle China.

The original source of this article is [RT Op-Edge and Global Research](#)  
Copyright © [Brian Becker](#), [RT Op-Edge and Global Research](#), 2013

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Brian Becker](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the

copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)