

Is the U.S. Blood Supply Tainted? Do COVID-19 Vaccines Cause Blood Abnormalities?

By [Children's Health Defense](#)

Global Research, October 17, 2022

[Children's Health Defense](#) 6 October 2022

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Science and Medicine](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate This Article button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

First published on October 7, 2022

Despite reports that COVID-19 vaccines cause blood abnormalities, the American Red Cross and the U.S. Food and Drug Administration continue to brush off concerns that the massive vaccine campaign may have contaminated the country's blood supply.

After the U.S. Food and Drug Administration's (FDA's) Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) of [COVID-19](#) vaccines, [blood clots](#) were some of the earliest adverse events observed, and abnormal coagulation continues to be one of the most frequent and serious problems reported.

As of mid-September, the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) — notorious for capturing only a [minuscule proportion](#) of adverse events — had received notification of more than [43,000 blood clotting disorders](#), including acute-onset problems in young children.

Clotting disorders make the blood clot "[too easily](#)," generating clots that can travel through the bloodstream and increase the risk of heart attacks and strokes, among other potential complications.

Funeral directors and embalmers in the U.S. and U.K. have gone public with shocking descriptions of highly unusual blood clots in [up to 85%](#) of the bodies coming under their care — a "massive increase" compared to pre-COVID-19 vaccine times when ordinary-looking clots might be found in 5% to 10% of the deceased.

"In all my years of embalming, we would run across clots from time to time," said Richard Hirschman, an experienced funeral director in Alabama, "but since May last year [2021], [something about the blood has changed](#). It's not normal. It's drastic."

The rampant clotting and the clots' disturbing sci-fi appearance — “long fibrous entities that can completely block a vein or artery,” which Hirschman likens to calamari, rubber bands, spaghetti, worms or parasites — are just some of the concerns prompting questions about [blood supply safety](#).

No ‘safety risks?’

About 55% of [blood is plasma](#) — which, among other functions, supplies proteins “for blood clotting and immunity” — with the remaining 45% consisting of red blood cells, white blood cells and [platelets](#) suspended in the plasma.

Depending on their blood type, individuals who [give blood](#) can choose to donate whole blood, plasma or platelets, or they can make a “Power Red” donation (a “concentrated dose” of red blood cells).

The American Red Cross says it will not accept blood from someone whose blood “[does not clot normally](#),” but — following [guidance](#) from the [same branch of the FDA](#) that oversees vaccines — welcomes immediate donations from anyone who [received](#) one of the mRNA or other COVID-19 vaccines available in the U.S., as long as the person says he is “symptom-free and feeling well.”

The Red Cross claims to be independent but openly celebrates its “[special relationship](#)” with the federal government — a relationship that includes periodic appropriations and contracts.

In a recent [tweet](#) directed at potential blood transfusion recipients, the Red Cross clarified:

We don't label blood products as containing vaccinated or unvaccinated blood as the COVID-19 vaccine does not enter the bloodstream & poses no safety risks to the recipient. If you have safety concerns about potential blood transfusions, please speak with your medical care team.

— American Red Cross (@RedCross) [September 14, 2022](#)

The tweet generated numerous responses from the public accusing the Red Cross of disseminating “misinformation” and directing the organization’s attention to peer-reviewed publications contradicting its languid attitude.

In one of the most alarming [studies](#), published in August in the International Journal of Vaccine Theory, Practice, and Research, Italian surgeons described atypical clumping of red blood cells and the presence of “extraordinarily anomalous structures and substances” of “various shapes and sizes of unclear origin” in over 94% of symptomatic, COVID-19-vaccinated individuals whose blood they examined.

The 1,006 study participants, ranging in age from 15 to 85, received a first (14%), second (45%) or third (41%) dose of a [Pfizer](#) or [Moderna](#) mRNA vaccine about a month before the analysis of their blood.

Pointing to other studies that found [foreign materials](#) in the blood of COVID-19 vaccine recipients and in COVID-19 [vaccine vials](#) — materials “that the CDC [Centers for Disease Control and Prevention] and the many promoters of the experimental injections claimed were not in them at all” — the Italian authors concluded the vaccine-induced blood

alterations were “likely ... to be involved in producing the coagulation disorders commonly reported after anti-COVID injections.”

Putting the matter even more plainly, they stated:

“[S]uch abrupt changes as we have documented in the peripheral blood profile of 948 patients have never been observed after inoculation by any vaccines in the past according to our clinical experience. The sudden transition ... from a state of perfect normalcy to a pathological one ... is unprecedented. ...

“In our collective experience, and in our shared professional opinion, the large quantity of particles in the blood of mRNA injection recipients is incompatible with normal blood flow especially at the level of the capillaries.”

Another study by Romanian researchers, sent to the Red Cross by the tweeting public, not only reported that Pfizer’s “vaccine-associated synthetic mRNA [persists in systemic circulation](#) for at least 2 weeks” but also noted, “extended plasma clearance times compared to estimates presented by mRNA vaccine manufacturers.”

Meanwhile, a [case report](#) from Germany presenting autopsy results for a man who died after receiving three “gene-based” COVID-19 vaccine doses (one [AstraZeneca](#), two Pfizer) over a seven-month period conclusively revealed the presence of COVID-19 vaccine [spike protein](#) in both brain and heart — and particularly in small blood vessel cells.

These and other studies may be why members of the public like “Mary” incredulously [tweeted](#) back to the Red Cross, “Are you kidding? There is proof it enters other body cells like the heart, causing myocarditis; how do you think it gets to the heart from the injection site???”

The FDA has [refused to release autopsy](#) results in its possession for people who died following COVID-19 vaccination.

Out, damned clot

As early as May 2021, [vaccine researchers](#) were disclosing the “unexpected” entry into the bloodstream of the vaccines’ synthetic spike protein, while other pharmaceutical industry consultants admitted, “Some of the vaccine dose is going to [make it into the bloodstream](#), of course.”

Around the same time, figures like Canadian physician Dr. Charles Hoffe were warning that technologies like CT scans and MRIs, which can identify large blood clots, would not find the “[microscopic](#)” clots affecting many of the COVID-19-vaccinated, who might “have no idea they are even having these microscopic blood clots.”

Hoffe was able to ascertain the widespread presence of micro-blood clots in his mRNA-vaccinated patient population using [D-dimer tests](#) that look for protein fragments associated with clots.

The Canadian doctor also cautioned that when blood clots damage the brain, spinal cord, heart or lungs, “those tissues ... are permanently damaged.”

A year after these admissions, in May 2022, the FDA finally acknowledged the risk of

“potentially [life-threatening blood clots](#)” in recipients of the Janssen/Johnson & Johnson (J&J) COVID-19 vaccine.

The European Medicines Agency (EMA) issued similar advisories about [AstraZeneca’s](#) COVID-19 vaccine.

Other countries such as India and Denmark admitted to blood clot risks while trying to blame them on “[faulty injection technique](#).”

Neither the FDA nor the EMA said a word about the clotting risks of the more widely used Pfizer and Moderna mRNA COVID-19 shots, even though nearly 7 in 10 (69%) of the clotting disorders reported to VAERS as of mid-September were attributed to Pfizer’s shot, with another 22% linked to Moderna’s and only 9% to the J&J jab.

Although no VAERS reports thus far blame blood clots on the more recently authorized [Novavax](#) vaccine, the far-from-traditional nanoparticle concoction not only delivers premade spike proteins — “consistently shown to create clotting issues” — but also residual insect and viral proteins and [DNA contaminants](#).

Large risks from nanoparticles?

[Nanoparticle](#) technology is a prominent feature of the two mRNA injections and the [Novavax](#) vaccine, and [biodistribution](#) of the injected nanoparticles has been a growing cause for concern.

Well before COVID-19, mainstream news outlets alerted the public to nanoparticles’ tendency to “get into the bloodstream and accumulate elsewhere in the body” following [oral ingestion](#) — with “unintended effects on cells and organs” — and described how [inhaled nanoparticles](#) “work their way through the lungs and into the bloodstream where they can raise the risk of heart attack and stroke.”

On a website for laypeople, the European Commission discloses that nanoparticles “will [move with the circulation](#) into all the organs and tissues of the body,” also noting animal model evidence showing “that very small nanoparticles can transfer from a pregnant rat to the fetus.”

In their [analysis](#) of vaccinated individuals’ blood, the Italian authors quoted earlier noted their suspicion that some of the foreign materials they detected are “graphene-family particles,” materials that “have been intensively studied by researchers for decades and increasingly so since COVID-19.”

A comprehensive and hardly reassuring [2016 study](#) in Particle and Fibre Toxicology described “toxic side effects” of graphene-family nanomaterials in many biological applications, reporting that they “can induce acute and chronic injuries in tissues by penetrating through the blood-air barrier, blood-testis barrier, blood-brain barrier, and blood-placenta barrier etc.”

That study also noted that long-term toxicity data are lacking.

Many unanswered questions

Recently, a Washington State couple, Cornelia Hertzler and Ron Bly came forward to tell the

tragic story of their hospitalized infant son's death-by-blood-clot last February.

The death occurred two weeks after the hospital administered an unauthorized [blood transfusion to the baby](#), despite claims that, "Patients are free to refuse transfusions [for any reason](#)."

According to the parents, who had clearly articulated their wish to use blood from directed blood donors, the hospital pooh-poohed their concerns and used "random blood" instead.

The infant's eventually fatal blood clot became evident the very next day, with the clot, by his mother's account, getting "worse and worse and slowly ... inching closer to his heart."

Although there is no way to know the COVID-19 vaccination status of those who donated the blood used in the baby's transfusion, the fact that "[most of the nation's blood](#) supply is now coming from donors who have been inoculated [against COVID-19]" raises many [questions](#).

Existing blood banks may prefer to dismiss those questions as the fevered imaginings of "COVID skeptics" — arguing that requests for blood from unvaccinated donors "would be an operational can of worms for a medically unjustifiable request" — but farsighted entrepreneurs interested in providing such a service might not have to worry about battling for clients.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Featured image is from CHD

The Worldwide Corona Crisis, Global Coup d'Etat Against Humanity

by Michel Chossudovsky

Michel Chossudovsky reviews in detail how this insidious project “destroys people’s lives”. He provides a comprehensive analysis of everything you need to know about the “pandemic” — from the medical dimensions to the economic and social repercussions, political underpinnings, and mental and psychological impacts.

“My objective as an author is to inform people worldwide and refute the official narrative which has been used as a justification to destabilize the economic and social fabric of entire countries, followed by the imposition of the “deadly” COVID-19 “vaccine”. This crisis affects humanity in its entirety: almost 8 billion people. We stand in solidarity with our fellow human beings and our children worldwide. Truth is a powerful instrument.”

ISBN: 978-0-9879389-3-0, Year: 2022, PDF Ebook, Pages: 164, 15 Chapters



Price: \$11.50

[Purchase directly from the Global Research Online Store](#)

You may also purchase directly at [DonorBox “Worldwide Corona Crisis” Campaign Page!](#) (NOTE: User-friendly)

The original source of this article is [Children’s Health Defense](#)

Copyright © [Children’s Health Defense](#), [Children’s Health Defense](#), 2022

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Children’s Health Defense](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca