

## US and Allies Step Up War Preparations against Syria, Lebanon, Iran

By Chris Marsden

Global Research, May 26, 2013
World Socialist Web Site

Region: Middle East & North Africa

Theme: <u>US NATO War Agenda</u> In-depth Report: <u>IRAN: THE NEXT WAR?</u>,

SYRIA, THE WAR ON LEBANON

The United States and its allies continue to escalate their military aggression against Syria, behind the smokescreen of a proposed international peace conference scheduled for June in Geneva.

In official public discourse, the conference is seeking a political solution to end Syria's civil war, involving a negotiated settlement between the Ba'athist regime and the Islamist-dominated opposition forces that are funded and armed by the US, Turkey and the Gulf States.

Russia's foreign ministry has endorsed the conference and has persuaded the Syrian government, "in principle", to attend in the hope of shaping the outcome in its interests.

Based on the final communiqué of the United Nations-backed Action Group for Syria meeting in Switzerland in June 2012, the stated aim of "Geneva II" is to secure a ceasefire, paving the way for a transitional regime charged with ensuring a "democratic and pluralist" Syria in which the "people" will "determine the future of the country."

All of which is transparent nonsense.

Some leading representatives of the Syrian regime are being offered a place in a new political constellation that includes Islamist and other bourgeois opposition groups and which will be dictated and determined by Washington and its allies and to some extent by Moscow. The alternative to doing so—as has been made abundantly clear—is stepped up aggression up to and including direct military intervention.

Of the two options, a negotiated agreement is by far the least likely. Every day brings fresh indications of preparations for war against not only Syria, but also Lebanon and Iran, threatening a region-wide conflagration that could yet involve Russia and China.

On Thursday, the National Coalition for Syrian Revolutionary and Opposition Forces began a three day meeting in Istanbul, Turkey, to discuss its possible participation in the Geneva conference. Much was made of a proposal for a transition tabled by the coalition's previous leader, Sheik Moaz al-Khatib.

Its 16 points included absolving all combatants in "legal military action" from prosecution and allowing Assad, 500 people of his choosing and their families to seek refuge in any country willing to welcome them. Assad would hand power to Vice President Farouk al-Sharaa or Prime Minister Wael al-Halgi within 30 days of accepting the plan. The current

government would then continue to govern for 100 days, restructure the security and military apparatus, and release political prisoners.

However, there is little or no support for this proposal in the fractured opposition group, let alone more broadly in Syria.

A better indication of what is planned was provided by the attendance of the conference's most important guest, US Secretary of State John Kerry. He threatened Thursday that if Assad was not prepared to make "a commitment to find peace in his country," Washington and its allies would consider increasing backing for his opponents.

The US has been arming the opposition from the start, channelling weapons and money through Saudi Arabia, Turkey, Qatar and other regional allies. The US and the European Union have in addition stationed Patriot anti-ballistic missiles in Turkey. But what Kerry is threatening is something far bigger, as indicated by the decision of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee to support directly arming opposition militias. In line with this decision, Kerry has spent the past week lobbying for escalating military involvement in Syria by Europe and the Middle East powers.

On Wednesday, May 22, the Friends of Syria group held a meeting in Amman, Jordan again attended by Kerry. Britain's Foreign Secretary William Hague was particularly bellicose in Amman, but only because he was acting as a frontman for Kerry. Speaking at a press conference Tuesday with his Jordanian counterpart Nasser Judeh, he warned: "we must make clear that if the regime does not negotiate seriously at the Geneva conference, no option is off the table."

Iran and Hezbollah were "propping up" Assad and thereby "increasing the threat to regional stability," he added, calling on the European Union to put Hezbollah's armed wing on its list of terrorist organisations.

A spokesman for German Foreign Minister Guido Westerwelle publicly stated support for the proposal.

The Friends of Syria meeting formally backed the call for a transitional government, but opposed any negotiation with Assad unless it was about him giving up power.

Immediately after the meeting, the 11-member Arab Ministerial Committee on Syria decided to assign the current head of the Arab League, Qatari Prime Minister Sheikh Hamad Bin Jassim, to represent it at the mooted Geneva conference. Qatar is among the states most implicated in militarily backing Islamist fighters and will represent the foreign ministers of Qatar, Algeria, Egypt, Sudan, Oman, Iraq, Kuwait, United Arab Emirates, Bahrain and Saudi Arabia. The foreign ministers denounced Iran and Hezbollah's support for the Assad regime as a threat to regional stability.

In Europe, Kerry has been lobbying hard for European states to drop their opposition to Britain and France's plan to lift legal restrictions on arming the opposition. So far, Italy and Spain have backed the lifting of the arms embargo, while Germany has effectively done so, taking a "neutral" stand.

Spain's Foreign Minister Jose Manuel Garcia Margallo spoke cynically of allowing the delivery of "defence material for people's protection" that apparently includes arms and anti-aircraft

batteries. He even spoke at a joint press conference with Mouaz al-Khatib.

A decision will be taken on lifting the embargo on Monday, May 27.

Israel too is becoming increasingly involved in the conflict, with three direct strikes on Syria so far this month.

The Israeli media has been discussing the advisability of establishing a new buffer zone on the Syrian side of the 43-mile cease-fire line established in 1973, following an attack on an Israeli military vehicle that had crossed the line in the disputed Golan Heights. To do so would require a full-scale invasion. There are also reports of efforts to establish a proxy force, based upon the minority Druze population near the border.

On May 23, Major General Amir Eshel, commander of the air force, warned that unrest in the Middle East could result in Israel becoming involved in a "surprise war" with Syria. He cited the possibility of Islamist forces gaining control of chemical and other weapons as a casus beli for "having to act on a very broad scale."

War with both Syria and Lebanon could be imminent, he said. "It's not as if we can say we have two weeks to prepare. I am not sure we have two weeks to prepare," he added, given that advanced Russian S-300 anti-aircraft missiles are on their way to Syria.

The previous day, Israeli Chief of Staff Lt. Gen. Benny Gantz warned Assad that he would "bear the consequences" in the event of further attacks on Israeli forces. "If Assad destabilizes the Golan Heights, he will pay a heavy price," he said. "He needs to know that we will know how to defend ourselves and we will respond should the need arise."

Paralleling the denunciations of the Assad regime, a key aide to Prime Minister Binyamin Netanyahu, Minister Yuval Steinitz, warned that Iran's nuclear industry was "many times larger than that of either North Korea or Pakistan." He said that Tehran was intent on becoming a "nuclear superpower."

The original source of this article is <u>World Socialist Web Site</u> Copyright © <u>Chris Marsden</u>, <u>World Socialist Web Site</u>, 2013

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Chris Marsden

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>