

Clashed Visions at the 2020 UN General Assembly: The Speeches of Donald Trump, Vladimir Putin and Xi Jinping

By Andrew Korybko

Global Research, September 23, 2020

Region: <u>Asia</u>, <u>Russia and FSU</u>, <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>History</u>, <u>United Nations</u>

The speeches given by Presidents Trump, Putin, and Xi via video during the 2020 UN General Assembly predictably revealed that the American leader's assessment of the contemporary international situation and related vision of the future markedly differ from his Russian and Chinese counterparts'.

The functions of the 2020 UN General Assembly are being conducted almost entirely by video this year because of <u>World War C</u>, the author's term for describing the full-spectrum paradigm-changing processes unleashed by the world's uncoordinated efforts to contain COVID-19. The highlight of Tuesday's event was the speeches given by Presidents <u>Trump</u>, <u>Putin</u>, and <u>Xi</u>, which predictably revealed that the American leader's assessment of the contemporary international situation and related vision of the future markedly differ from his Russian and Chinese counterparts'. For reference, readers can review the transcripts of their speeches in the previously hyperlinked sources embedded in each leaders' name in the previous sentence. What follows is a summary of their views and visions, after which the most important contrasts between Trump's and Putin & Xi's will be briefly analyzed.

Trump

Beginning with Trump, he boasted about the US' anti-COVID mobilization while blaming China for this global pandemic. He also condemned the People's Republic for purportedly controlling the World Health Organization, its reported environmental devastation, and alleged trade abuses. Other highlights included mention of what he controversially described as the "peace deal" between Serbia and its NATO-occupied Province of Kosovo & Metohija, as well as the Abraham Accords, the latter of which he regarded as "the dawn of a new Middle East". He also reminded the world of his commitment to withdraw from Afghanistan, as well as his assassination of Iranian Major General Soleimani who he called "the world's top terrorist". In addition, Trump claimed full credit for defeating ISIS. His vision of the future is one in which all countries put themselves first, and he predicted "that next year, when we gather in person, we will be in the midst of one of the greatest years in our history."

Putin

Putin's speech, by contrast, was much more constructive than Trump's. He lauded the UN and its principle of multilateralism while reaffirming his belief that this global body's Security Council must become more inclusive and international law more fully respected by all. The global economic crisis brought about by World War C necessitates urgent cooperation

between the UN, G20, and other organizations. In this context, Putin promoted Russia's vision of a Greater Eurasian Partnership and reiterated his country's commitment to the creation of so-called "'green corridors' free from trade wars and sanctions". He also spoke in favor of "freeing the world trade from barriers, bans, restrictions and illegitimate sanctions". The Russian leader then recommended renewing the New START with the US, offered the Sputnik V vaccine for free to all UN personnel, and called for a G5 meeting of the UNSC. At the end of his speech, Putin repeated his call for multilateralism.

Χi

Compared to Trump's and Putin's speeches, Xi's was the simplest of the three, though that's not a criticism. He succinctly summarized the socio-economic and technological advancements of mankind over the past 75 years since the UN's founding, and like Putin, Xi urged the international community to return to the principle of multilateralism so that "after the storm comes the rainbow". He advised the UN to "stand firm for justice", "uphold the rule of law", "promote cooperation", and "focus on real action". Some relevant points that the Chinese leader made were thinly veiled condemnations of American bullying and unilateralism, as well as recommendations "to replace conflict with dialogue, coercion with consultation and zero-sum with win-win" and for the UN's Agenda 2030 to give priority "to non-traditional security challenges" like public health. In his optimistic vision of the future, everyone will "work to promote a community with a shared future for mankind".

Clashing Visions

The contrast between the American leader's vision of the future and that of his Russian and Chinese counterparts is clear. Claiming that "American prosperity is the bedrock of freedom and security all over the world", Trump portrayed the past three and a half years in glowing terms, supposedly enriched by the success of his campaign to "Make America Great Again". Putin and Xi obviously disagree since they each in their own way criticized what they both regard as Trump's dangerous unilateralism, be it through waging the so-called "trade war", imposing sanctions, or threatening not to renew the New START. Trump is confident that he'll return to address the UN next year as the American President, while one can interpret Putin and Xi's optimism of the future as premised on their presumed belief that Biden might ultimately replace him. All in all, these three speeches simply confirmed that the New Cold War is still mainly about the US vs. Russia and China.

Concluding Thoughts

There are other details in these three speeches such as Trump's call for regime change in Cuba, Nicaragua, and Venezuela and Putin's suggestion to regulate artificial intelligence and ban space weapons that weren't touched upon for the sake of keeping this article simple and straight to the point. Readers should therefore take the time to review each of the earlier hyperlinked transcripts if they're interested in learning about the entirety of each leader's views. Nevertheless, the point of this piece was to summarize their assessments and outlooks in order to confirm that that the current New Cold War trajectory will likely remain on track if Trump wins re-election. In the event that Biden beats him, however, there's a chance that the present dynamics of the US' dual Great Power competitions with Russia and China might somewhat change, though it's unclear whether it would be for the better like Putin and Xi might hope or for the worse like his opponents at home predict.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

This article was originally published on **OneWorld**.

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is from OneWorld

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © Andrew Korybko, Global Research, 2020

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Andrew Korybko

About the author:

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: $\underline{publications@globalresearch.ca}$