

Undermining EU Sovereignty: The Reason Behind the U.S. Government's "Secret Hatred of Europeans"

By [Eric Zuesse](#)

Global Research, June 22, 2017

[Strategic Culture Foundation](#) 21 June 2017

Region: [Europe](#), [Russia](#) and [FSU](#)

Theme: [History](#), [Intelligence](#), [Oil and Energy](#), [US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

The reason for the U.S. government's hostility — at least since 4 February 2014 — toward Europeans, has been a mystery, until now.

This hostility wasn't even publicly recognized at all, until it leaked out, on that date, from a tapped phone-line of arguably the most powerful person at the U.S. State Department, the person whom American President Barack Obama had personally entrusted with running his Administration's most geostrategically sensitive secret foreign operations (and she did it actually throughout almost the entirety of Obama's eight years in office, regardless of whom the official U.S. Secretary of State happened to be at the time): Victoria Nuland.

Her official title was "Assistant Secretary of State for European and Eurasian Affairs" and she was appointed to that post by the President himself, but nominally she reported to him through the Deputy Secretary of State William Joseph Burns, who reported to the Secretary of State, who, in turn, reported to the President.



Former Ukrainian President Viktor Yanukovich (Source: Wikipedia)

She ran policies specifically on Ukraine (and, more broadly, against Russia). In [the famous leaked phone call that she made on 4 February 2014 to the U.S. Ambassador to Ukraine Geoffrey Pyatt](#), she instructed him to place in charge of Ukraine's government, once America's [coup](#) in Ukraine would be completed (which then occurred 18 days later and overthrew the democratically elected Ukrainian President Viktor Yanukovich, [entirely in violation of Ukraine's own Constitution](#)), "Yats" or Arseniy Yatsenyuk. He did, immediately after the coup was completed, receive this crucial appointment — basically, the power to control all other top appointments in the new Ukrainian government. With this appointment, the coup, [which had started by no later than 2011 to be planned inside the U.S. State Department](#), was effectively completed.

In this phone call, Nuland said "F—k the EU!" and no one, at the time, paid much attention to what this outburst was all about, but only that it sounded shockingly undiplomatic. Finally, however, clear evidence has now emerged, concerning what it was actually about.

This crucial evidence consists of a refusal (at long last) by both Germany and Austria, to ratchet-up further, as the U.S. regime now demands, economic sanctions against Russia, sanctions that are a key part of America's [plan ultimately to conquer Russia — a plan that's been carried out consistently by all U.S. federal governments since the moment, on the night of 24 February 1990](#), when U.S. President George Herbert Walker Bush himself secretly announced it to West German Chancellor Helmut Kohl, and afterward to other U.S. vassal-heads-of-state — that, [though the Soviet Union was already irrevocably in the process of ending the Cold War against the U.S. and its allies, the U.S. and its allies would secretly continue that war, henceforth, against Russia, until Russia itself would be conquered](#). He was implicitly informing them, there, that the Cold War, on the U.S. side, wasn't really about ideology (capitalist versus communist), but instead, was actually a long war for conquest, of the entire world (now it would be to strip Russia of its allies, and then to go in for the kill), by the U.S. aristocracy and its vassal aristocracies (whom those European leaders represented).

On 15 June 2017, the Associated Press headlined ["Germany, Austria slam US sanctions against Russia"](#), and reported that both of those U.S. vassal-nations, while paying obeisance to the imperial master, were not going to proceed further all the way to destruction of their own major oil and gas companies, in order to please that master:

In a joint statement, Austria's Chancellor Christian Kern and Germany's Foreign Minister Sigmar Gabriel said it was important for Europe and the United States to form a united front on the issue of Ukraine, where Russian-based separatists have been fighting government forces since 2014.

"However, we can't accept the threat of illegal and extraterritorial sanctions against European companies," the two officials said, citing a section of the bill that calls for the United States to continue to oppose the Nord Stream 2 pipeline that would pump Russian gas to Germany beneath the Baltic Sea. Half of the cost of the new pipeline is being paid for by Russian gas giant Gazprom, while the other half is being shouldered by a group including Anglo-Dutch group Royal Dutch Shell, French provider Engie, OMV of Austria and Germany's Uniper and Wintershall. Some Eastern European countries, including Poland and Ukraine, fear the loss of transit revenue if Russian gas supplies don't pass through their territory anymore once the new pipeline is built.

Gabriel and Kern accuse the U.S. of trying to help American natural gas suppliers at the expense of their Russian rivals. They said the possibility of fining European companies participating in the Nord Stream 2 project "introduces a completely new, very negative dimension into European-American relations."

Currently, and for a very long time, the leading energy-supplier to the EU has been Russia, in the forms of oil and, especially, natural gas, both of which are transported into the EU [via an extensive network of pipelines, most of which travel through Ukraine, which is a major reason why the U.S. rulers wanted to take over Ukraine — in order to stop that, or at least to cause a necessity for Russia to build alternative pipelines \(which the U.S. regime would likewise do everything to block from happening\)](#) — but now both Germany and Austria are saying no to this U.S. effort.



Salman bin Abdulaziz Al Saud left

The U.S. regime wants fracked U.S. natural gas to fill an increasing portion of Europe's needs, and for natural gas from U.S.-allied fundamentalist Sunni royal regimes to fill as much of the rest as possible, [so as to squeeze-out the existing top supplier, Russia](#). (Until recently, the plan was for U.S. ally Qatar, owned by the Thani royal family, to become Europe's main supplier, via pipelines which would traverse through Syria, for which reason Syria needs to be conquered (so that those pipelines through Syria can be built, perhaps even by American firms). However, the Saudis, who usually run U.S. foreign relations — often with assistance from the Israeli regime, which is far more popular in the United States and also in Europe (and thus serves as the Saudis' agents in the U.S. and Europe) — have now blockaded Qatar because of Qatar's insufficient compliance with the Saudis' demand for total international isolation of Iran and of any other nation where Shia are or might become dominant. (For example, the Saudis bomb Yemen to impose fundamentalist Sunni leadership there and kill the Shia population.) And, so, now, after the break between Saudi Arabia and Qatar, even more than before, the main beneficiaries of cutting off Russian gas-supplies to the EU would be U.S. fracking companies.

However, the big European oil and gas corporations would then play a smaller role in the European market, because those firms have mutual commitments with Gazprom and other Russian giants. The only big winners, now, of increased sanctions against Russia, would thus be U.S. firms.

"Europe's energy supply is a matter for Europe, and not for the United States of America," Kern and Gabriel said.

Europe already has suffered considerable economic harm from complying with the U.S. on taking over Ukraine, and from absorbing millions of destitute and alien refugees from Syria, Libya, and other countries where the U.S. CIA, and other agencies, fomented the "Arab Spring" to unlock, in those countries, the oil and gas pipeline potential, which, if controlled by the U.S., would go to U.S. oilfield-services firms such as Halliburton, and not to European ones such as Schlumberger.

Kern and Gabriel — and the local national aristocracies (respectively Austrian, and German) whom they represent — are now speaking publicly about the limits beyond which they will not go in order to obey their U.S. masters.

Consequently, back in February 2014, when the European aristocracies complied with the U.S. aristocracy's coup in Ukraine [even though knowing full well that it was a barbaric and very bloody coup and nothing 'democratic' such as the U.S.-manufactured story-line alleged it to have been](#), those aristocracies accepted the heist because they thought and expected to be cut in on enough of the looting of Ukraine so as to come out ahead on it. But that's no longer the case. Because of the Saudis' campaign against the Thanis (the owners of Qatar), the gang are starting to break up. The U.S. gangsters are no longer clearly in control, but are being forced to choose between the Saudis and the Thanis, and have [apparently chosen the Saudis](#). The Saudis [financed the 9/11 attacks](#) in the United States, but are the largest foreign purchasers of U.S.-made weapons.

The U.S. aristocracy hate Europeans because the U.S. aristocracy are determined to conquer Russia, and because Europeans aren't fully cooperating with that overriding U.S. government goal — many EU billionaires want deals with Russia, but America's billionaires are determined instead to take over Russia, and so the U.S. (and the Saudis) might be losing its traditional support from the EU.

International affairs — U.S., Russia, Saudis, Thanis, Iran, Germany, UK, etc. — are in unpredictable flux. But Europe seems gradually to be drifting away from the U.S.

And resistant European aristocrats seem even to be digging in their heels on this. Here is a translation of a report dated June 17th from the most reliable source of news regarding international relations, *Deutsche Wirtschafts Nachrichten*, or "German Economic News":

<https://deutsche-wirtschafts-nachrichten.de/2017/06/17/ost-ausschuss-us-sanktionen-gegen-russland-sind-bedrohung-fuer-europa/>

[Eastern Committee: US sanctions against Russia are a threat to Europe](#)


German Economic News | Released:17.06.17 00:36 Clock

The Eastern Committee of the German economy is indignant at the new US sanctions against Russia.

The German companies have sharply criticized the US sanctions against Russia. "The sanctions plans of the US Senate are deeply alarming and, in principle, a threat to the European and German economy," said Klaus Schäfer, Deputy Chairman of the Eastern Committee of the German Economy, on Friday evening in Berlin. "America first is a new dimension to open up international markets to US providers at the expense of European jobs. Furthermore, we consider an extraterritorial application of economic initiatives generally wrong," he said. In the Eastern Committee, the German companies active in Eastern Europe are organized. The federal government had also clearly criticized the sanction decision.

"Every further turn at the sanctioning screw increases the danger of new trade wars and the uncertainty of the world economy," warned Schäfer. The solution of the Ukraine conflict is not a step closer. A de-escalation on all sides was necessary. He pointed out that the US-Russia trade represented only one-tenth of the EU-Russia trade. "We pay the price of sanctions to Europeans", he criticized. "Implementation of the planned sanctions would make Europe more difficult to provide with favorable energy and inevitably lead to higher prices."

The most remarkable thing about this intensification of economic aggression by the U.S. aristocracy against some of the European aristocracies, is that instead of the aggression being spearheaded this time by the U.S. President, it's being spearheaded by [an almost unanimous U.S. Senate: 97 out of the 100 U.S. Senators](#) voted for this bill. One cannot, this time around, reasonably blame "Donald Trump" for this 'nationalism' — it is instead clearly a Cold War, this time, by the U.S. aristocracy (who are represented by the U.S. government and newsmedia), against some European aristocracies, which are paying insufficient obeisance to the demands by the imperial aristocracy: the U.S. gang.



Source: [Fort Russ](#)

Whereas, [at the time of the U.S. coup in Ukraine, the EU swallowed in silence their shock at how brutal and bloody it had been, and stayed with the Americans](#) because the Americans claimed that the takeover would benefit European aristocracies too ('expand the EU'), the lie about that is now clear to all (and Ukraine has been [too wrecked by America](#), to be of much use to anyone but the Americans as a staging base for their missiles against Moscow), and therefore "the Western Alliance" might finally be breaking up.

The vassal-governments have put up with a lot from the U.S. aristocracy, such as when [German Chancellor Angela Merkel's phone was revealed to be tapped by America's NSA](#), and the case was quietly dropped because, ["Prosecutors say they can find no actionable evidence to support claims German chancellor's mobile phone was tapped by US National Security Agency"](#) even though everyone knew that the refusal by Germany's prosecutors was based upon a lie, and that Germany "remains heavily reliant on the US," and that the

U.S. government's knowing everything that German politicians do, provides against those politicians a blackmail-potential against themselves, that cannot be taken lightly. On the other hand, perhaps there now exists a countervailing force that can outweigh even considerations such as that. Maybe Germany's billionaires have, somehow, finally become able to turn the tide on this.

The American public have been whipped into such a fury of hatred towards the Russian government, so that, on June 21st, Morning Consult headlined [“Americans Show Bipartisan Support for Russia Sanctions, Poll Shows”](#), and reported their poll, which showed that overwhelming majorities among all political categories — Democrats, Republicans, and independents — wanted the already-severe economic sanctions against Russia to be made even more severe. The owners of America's oil and gas giants, and of companies such as Lockheed Martin, not to mention of the major newsmedia, and of course the megabanks that are interlocked with those people, might have enjoyed a good chuckle, reading these poll-results. Of course, if one or more of Europe's aristocracies ends up blocking the effectiveness of such sanctions, then the U.S. and EU might have to go their separate ways; but this would then make Brexit seem like merely the antipasto before the main course of The West's break-up.

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of [They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010](#), and of [CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity](#).

Featured image: Strategic Culture Foundation

The original source of this article is [Strategic Culture Foundation](#)
Copyright © [Eric Zuesse](#), [Strategic Culture Foundation](#), 2017

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Eric Zuesse](#)

About the author:

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of [They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010](#), and of [CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity](#).

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance

a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca