

US, UK and France May be Complicit in War Crimes in Yemen

UN Report

By Thomas DeLorenzo

Global Research, September 04, 2019

JURIST 3 September 2019

Region: Europe, Middle East & North Africa,

<u>USA</u>

Theme: Intelligence, United Nations

A UN panel reported Tuesday that the US, UK and French governments may be complicit in war crimes for their assistance to the Saudi-led coalition that is attacking and starving civilians in Yemen as a military tactic.

The panel's <u>report</u>, drawn from interviews with more than 600 victims and witnesses involved with the half-decade long violence in Yemen, describes a pattern of human rights abuses. These include indiscriminate bombing of civilian targets, shelling non-military targets and intentionally impeding international aid including food from reaching civilians. In a <u>press release</u> accompanying the report, the panel stated that "the governments of Yemen and the United Arab Emirates and Saudi Arabia, as well as the Houthis and affiliated popular committees have enjoyed a pervasive lack of accountability for violations of international humanitarian and human rights law." In addition, the panel referred a list of more than a 160 people considered "main actors" who are believed to have intimate involvement with the ongoing war crimes to UN human rights chief Michelle Bachelet for further inspection. The report called on the international community to monitor the situation in Yemen more closely and ensure protection and justice for the people of Yemen.

In addition, the panel said that it was imperative for "other States to refrain from providing arms that could be used in the conflict," alluding to the governments of Britain, France and the US. All three countries continue to sell weapons to Saudi Arabia that have been used in the violence. The US Congress <u>passed</u> a bill in July prohibiting the sale of weapons to Saudi Arabia that would be used in Yemen, but President Donald Trump vetoed the measure. A British court recently <u>held</u> that UK arms sales were unlawful because the British ministers failed to take into account the civilian damage that the weapons could cause.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Featured image is from edgarwinkler / Pixabay

The original source of this article is <u>JURIST</u> Copyright © <u>Thomas DeLorenzo</u>, <u>JURIST</u>, 2019

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Thomas
DeLorenzo

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca