

# The U.N. Is Planning to Seize Global 'Emergency' Powers with Biden's Support. "The Privatization of the UN?"

The proposal might be the biggest attempted power grab in the history of the United Nations.

By Justin Haskins

Global Research, July 08, 2023

The Federalist 4 July 2023

Region: <u>USA</u>
Theme: United Nations

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

\*\*\*

Important article.

Bear in mind the UN Secretary General is a figurehead, invariably a proxy, who is acting on behalf of by powerful financial interests.

The UN system is in crisis. It is overshadowed by US foreign policy.

Amply documented, there is a US hegemonic agenda behind this proposal. The broader issue is the derogation of the national sovereignty of more than 190 UN member states.

GR, July 8, 2023

\*\*\*

In September 2024, less than two months before the next U.S. presidential election, the United Nations will host a landmark "Summit of the Future," where member nations will adopt a <u>Pact for the Future</u>. The agreement will solidify numerous policy reforms offered by the U.N. over the past two years as part of its sweeping <u>Our Common Agenda</u> platform.

Although there are numerous radical proposals included in the agenda, perhaps none are more important than the U.N. plan for a new "emergency platform," a stunning proposal to give the U.N. significant powers in the event of future "global shocks," such as another worldwide pandemic.

Many of the details of the U.N. emergency platform were laid out in a March 2023 policy paper titled "Strengthening the International Response to Complex Global Shocks — An Emergency Platform." In the paper, the U.N. secretary-general writes,

"I propose that the General Assembly provide the Secretary-General and the United Nations system with a standing authority to convene and operationalize automatically an Emergency Platform in the event of a future complex global shock of sufficient scale, severity and reach."

Once triggered, the emergency platform would give the U.N. the ability to "actively promote and drive an international response that places the principles of equity and solidarity at the centre of its work." The U.N. would bring together the "stakeholders" of the world, including academics, governments, private sector actors, and "international financial institutions" to ensure there is a unified, global response to the crisis.

The emergency platform would also give the United Nations the power to

"Ensure that all participating actors make commitments that can contribute meaningfully to the response and that they are held to account for delivery on those commitments."

In other words, the United Nations would be given unprecedented authority over the public and private sectors of huge swaths of the world, all in the name of battling a yet unknown crisis.

### It Gets Worse

As difficult as it might be to believe, the story gets even worse from here. Although the duration of the emergency platform would initially be set for a "finite period," at "the end of that period, the Secretary-General could extend the work of an Emergency Platform if required," <u>according to the United Nations' own policy proposal.</u>

That means the secretary-general would have the authority to keep the emergency platform in place indefinitely, all without reauthorization from member nations.

What kind of "global shock" would trigger the emergency platform? The U.N. provides several possible examples in its formal proposal, including a "major climatic event," "future pandemic risks," a "global digital connectivity disruption," "major event in outer space," and, my personal favorite, "unforeseen risks, ('black swan' events)."

This isn't to say that these incredibly broad categories would be the only potential justifications allowed to trigger the emergency platform. The proposal makes clear that it "would allow the convening role of the United Nations to be maximized in the face of crises with global reach and should be 'agnostic as to the type of crisis,' as we do not know what type of global shock we may face in the future."

Further, "The Secretary-General would decide when to convene an Emergency Platform in response to a complex global shock."

Or, put in simpler terms, a "global shock" is whatever the U.N.'s leadership says it is, triggered whenever the U.N. desires.

## Biden Admin Supports the Proposal

The emergency platform proposal might be the biggest attempted power grab in the history of the United Nations, but as shocking as it is, it pales in comparison to the Biden administration's treatment of this extremist proposal.

Rather than assert America's independence and sovereignty, the White House has expressed its support for the emergency platform. U.S. Ambassador Chris Lu noted in at least two March 2022 speeches that the Biden administration backs the emergency platform, along with numerous other proposals included in "Our Common Agenda."

The emergency platform would centralize an immense amount of power and influence, giving the United Nations greater control over the lives of Americans than it has ever had before. And rather than stand up for Americans' rights, President Biden has already agreed to sell us out.

If the emergency platform is approved, the United States as we know it could cease to exist. That sounds dire, but it's true. We either stand for freedom now or risk everything come September 2024.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Justin Haskins (Jhaskins@heartland.org) is the director of the Socialism Research Center at The Heartland Institute and a New York Times bestselling author.

Featured image is from <u>USAID U.S. Agency For International Development/Flickr/Cropped/CC BY 2.0</u>

The original source of this article is <u>The Federalist</u> Copyright © <u>Justin Haskins</u>, <u>The Federalist</u>, 2023

# **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

### **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Justin Haskins

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted

material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>