

The Ukrainian Boondoggle as a Black Hole

By William J. Astore Global Research, August 15, 2022 Bracing Views 10 August 2022 Region: <u>Europe</u>, <u>Russia and FSU</u> Theme: <u>Intelligence</u>, <u>Militarization and</u> <u>WMD</u> In-depth Report: <u>UKRAINE REPORT</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), <u>click here</u>.

Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Back on June 1st, I <u>noted that Ukraine</u> couldn't possibly absorb more than \$54 billion in U.S. aid, most of it related to weaponry and munitions, given the country's lack of infrastructure as well as the chaos inherent to a shooting war.

As I wrote back then:

The entire defense budget of Ukraine before the war was just under \$6 billion. How can Ukraine possibly absorb (mostly) military "aid" that represents NINE TIMES their annual defense budget? It simply can't be done...

From a military perspective, the gusher of money and equipment being sent to Ukraine makes little sense because there's no way Ukraine has the infrastructure to absorb it and use it effectively. The U.S. approach seems to be to flood the zone with weaponry and assorted equipment of all sorts, irrespective of how it might be used or where it might ultimately end up. I can't see how all this lethal "aid" will stay in the hands of troops and out of the hands of various criminal networks and black markets.

And so it goes. Recent reports suggest that only 30-40% of U.S. military aid is actually reaching Ukrainian troops. The rest is being siphoned off, lost, stolen, what-have-you. The response in U.S. media is to suppress this truth, per dictates from Ukraine!

Caitlin Johnstone does an excellent job of summarizing the case, and since she generously encourages her readers to share her posts, I thought I'd avail myself of her generosity. Without further ado:

Caitlin Johnstone, CBS Tries Critical Journalism; Stops After Ukraine Objects

Following objections from the Ukrainian government, CBS News has <u>removed a short</u> <u>documentary</u> which had reported concerns from numerous sources that a large amount of the supplies being sent to Ukraine aren't making it to the front lines. The Ukrainian government has <u>listed its objections</u> to the report on a government website, naming Ukrainian officials who objected to it and explaining why each of the CBS news sources it dislikes should be discounted. After the report was taken down and the Twitter post about it removed, Ukrainian Foreign Minister Dmytro Kuleba said this was a good start but still not enough.

"Welcome first step, but it is not enough," Kuleba <u>tweeted</u>. "You have misled a huge audience by sharing unsubstantiated claims and damaging trust in supplies of vital military aid to a nation resisting aggression and genocide. There should be an internal investigation into who enabled this and why."

Welcome first step, but it is not enough. You have misled a huge audience by sharing unsubstantiated claims and damaging trust in supplies of vital military aid to a nation resisting aggression and genocide. There should be an internal investigation into who enabled this and why. <u>https://t.co/kkA0boaLXX</u>

- Dmytro Kuleba (@DmytroKuleba) August 8, 2022

The CBS News article about the documentary was renamed, from "<u>Why military aid to</u> <u>Ukraine doesn't always get to the front lines: 'Like 30% of it reaches its final destination'</u>" to the far milder "<u>Why military aid in Ukraine may not always get to the front lines</u>." An editor's note on the new version of the article explicitly admits to taking advisement on its changes from the Ukrainian government, reading as follows:

"This article has been updated to reflect changes since the CBS Reports documentary 'Arming Ukraine' was filmed, and the documentary is also being updated. Jonas Ohman says the delivery has significantly improved since filming with CBS in late April. The government of Ukraine notes that U.S. defense attaché Brigadier General Garrick M. Harmon arrived in Kyiv in August 2022 for arms control and monitoring."

CBS News does not say why it has taken so long for this report to come out, why it didn't check to see if anything had changed in the last few months during a rapidly unfolding war before releasing its report, or why it felt its claims were good enough to air before Kyiv raised its objections but not after.

Someone uploaded the old version of the documentary <u>on YouTube here</u>, or you can watch it <u>on Bitchute here</u> if that one gets taken down. It was supportive of Ukraine and very oppositional to Russia, and simply featured a number of sources saying they had reason to believe a lot of the military supplies being sent to Ukraine aren't getting where they're supposed to go.

The original article quotes the aforementioned Jonas Ohman as follows:

"All of this stuff goes across the border, and then something happens, kind of like 30% of it reaches its final destination," said Jonas Ohman, founder and CEO of Blue-Yellow, a Lithuania-based organization that has been meeting with and supplying frontline units with military aid in Ukraine since the start of the conflict with Russia-backed separatists in 2014.

"30-40%, that's my estimation," he said in April of this year.

"The US has sent tens of thousands of anti-aircraft and anti-armor systems, artillery rounds, hundreds of artillery systems, Switchblade armored drones, and tens of millions of rounds of small arms ammunition," CBS's Adam Yamaguchi tells us at <u>14:15 of the documentary</u>. "But in a conflict where frontlines are scattered and conditions change without warning, not all of those supplies reach their destination. Some also reported weapons are being hoarded, or worse fear that they are disappearing into the black market, an industry that has thrived under corruption in post-Soviet Ukraine."

"I can tell you unarguably that on the frontline units these things are not getting there," the <u>Mozart Group</u>'s Andy Milburn <u>tells Yamaguchi at 17:40</u>. "Drones, Switchblades, IFAKs. They're not, alright. Body armor, helmets, you name it."

"Is it safe to characterize this as a little bit of a black hole?" Yamaguchi asked him, perhaps in reference to an <u>April report from CNN</u> whose source said the equipment that's being sent "drops into a big black hole, and you have almost no sense of it at all after a short period of time."

"I suppose if you don't have visibility of where this stuff is going, and if you're asking that question, then it would appear that it's a black hole, yeah," Milburn replied.

"We don't know," Amnesty International's Donatella Rovera tells Yamaguchi at <u>18:45</u> when asked if it's known where the weapons being sent to Ukraine are going.

"There is really no information as to where they're going at all," Rovera says. "What is more worrying is that at least some of the countries that are sending weapons do not seem to think that it is their responsibility to put in place a very robust oversight mechanism to ensure that they know how they're being used today, but also how they might and will be used tomorrow."

A news outlet pulling a report because their own government didn't like it would be a scandalous breach of journalistic ethics. A news outlet pulling a report because a *foreign* government didn't like it is even more so.

We've already seen that the western media will uncritically report literally any claim made by the government of Ukraine in bizarre instances like the <u>recent report</u> that Russia was firing rockets at a nuclear power plant it had already captured, or its <u>regurgitation of</u> <u>claims</u> that Russians are raping babies to death from a Ukrainian official who ended up <u>getting fired</u> for promoting unevidenced claims about rape. Now not only will western media outlets uncritically report any claim the Ukrainian government makes, they will also *retract* claims of their own when the Ukrainian government tells them to.

It's not just commentators like me who see the western press as propagandists: that's how they see themselves. If you think it's your job to always report information that helps one side of a war and always omit any information which might hinder it, then you have given yourself the role of propagandist. You might not call yourself that, but that's what you are by any reasonable definition of that word.

And a great many western Zelenskyites honestly see this as the media's role as well. They'll <u>angrily condemn anyone</u> who inserts skepticism of the US empire's narratives about Ukraine into mainstream consciousness, but then they'll also yell at you if you say we're not being told the truth about Ukraine. They demand to be lied to, and call you a liar if you say that means we're being lied to.

You can't have it both ways. Either you want the mass media to serve as war propagandists or you want them to tell the truth. You cannot hold both of those positions simultaneously. They are mutually exclusive. And many actually want the former.

This can't lead anywhere good.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Featured image is from InfoBrics

The original source of this article is <u>Bracing Views</u> Copyright © <u>William J. Astore</u>, <u>Bracing Views</u>, 2022

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: William J. Astore

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

<u>www.globalresearch.ca</u> contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca