

Ukraine's Government Is Losing Its War. Here Is Why!

By <u>Eric Zuesse</u> Global Research, January 30, 2015 <u>Oriental Review</u> Region: <u>Russia and FSU</u> Theme: <u>US NATO War Agenda</u> In-depth Report: <u>UKRAINE REPORT</u>

On January 27th, Ukraine's Ministry of Defense headlined <u>"Militants Continue to Suffer</u> <u>Losses,"</u> and reported that four helicopters, and other weapons of the "militants," were destroyed in battle, but no evidence was given to support the assertion.

Just two days prior, the (also *pro*-Government) *Kiev Post* had, in fact, bannered <u>"Ukraine Hides Devastating Losses as Russia-Backed Fighters Surge Forward,"</u> and reported "Ukraine's worst-kept secret — that the Ukrainian army is drastically understating its casualties." After detailing, there, what seems to be outrageous unconcern by the Government, for the welfare of troops it's sending into battle (even by jamming battlefield-injured soldiers into regular civilian hospitals, which aren't equipped to handle their injuries), this report ends with a doctor saying, "It's bad everywhere. Yes, the soldiers are still standing at their positions ready to fight. But we don't see any help coming for them."

The Ukrainian news agency RIAN headlined on January 26th, <u>"Mobilization in Dnipropetrovsk</u> <u>Almost Drowned,"</u> and reported, "In Dnipropetrovsk region [which is run by <u>the U.S. White</u> <u>House's friend, the Ukrainian-Swiss-Israeli billionaire Ihor Kolomoysky</u>, whose longstanding personal mercenary army has, alone, more than 5,000 fighters], thousands of men are hiding from the draft. Enforcement officers recognize that accomplishing their task will be very difficult." More than 2,000 people there who were drafted "did not show up, they evaporated."

Consequently, the Government is dragooning-in, or "ambushing" (as the article says), virtually anyone who seeks help from the Government, "mobilizing the unemployed" and other "desperate" people. "Military enlistment offices complain" that some draftees are too sick to be able to fight at all.

×

"The Trojan," deputy commander of the Ukraine's ultranationalist Azov Battalion.

Also on January 26th, the Fort Russ blog bannered, <u>"Azov Commander Freaks Out, Calls the</u> <u>War 'Lost'. Blames Everybody,"</u> and reported that, "Ukrainian politicians and generals 'already lost the war,' and 'the West did not help.' That's the core of the statement by Azov punitive [meaning: to 'punish' the residents in the anti-Government region, for their *not* supporting the Government] battalion commander's, and currently also Rada [Parliament] Deputy's, Andrey Biletsky, in his 'Address to the Nation.'" According to Biletsky, after the fictitious "thousands of supposedly killed enemies and burned out tanks, the wakeup can be very painful," because of disappointment felt from the Government's lies. RIAN news headlined on January 27th, <u>"The Situation at the Front and Riots Against the</u> <u>Mobilization,"</u> and Andrew Vajra, of the news-site "Alternative," quoted Biletsky there, as saying, "We were not prepared for the current confrontation."

Whereas Ukrainian conscript soldiers are not eager to risk their lives in order to impose the current Ukrainian Government (which had resulted from <u>Obama's coup in Ukraine in</u> <u>February 2014</u>), upon the residents in <u>Ukraine's Donbass region</u>, which had voted 90% for the man whom Obama overthrew, the residents who still survive there are very eager not to allow this new regime to kill them; and, so, the motivation on the part of the people whom Obama's forces are trying to kill, is vastly higher than is the motivation on the part of conscript troops, from the rest of Ukraine, to kill them. The only troops who are that eager to kill them are supporters of Ukraine's two nazi (or racist-fascist) parties, the "Freedom" (renamed by the CIA from their former "Social Nationalist") and the Right Sector, Parties. Those parties have always gotten only a small percentage of the popular vote in Ukraine, though Obama's people have placed them into power. Once in power, they passed laws to lock-in that power.

The Ukrainian Government's problem is that there just aren't enough nazis, and there's also not enough money, to do the amounts of killing that need to be done in order to enable Obama's Ukrainian regime to retain the land in Donbass while eliminating the people there. The <u>90% of those people who had voted for the man (Viktor Yanukovych)</u> whom Obama <u>overthrew</u> are far more numerous, and far more motivated, than are the vast majority of Ukraine's soldiers.

America's and Ukraine's oligarchs cannot come up with the money to finish the job, but Obama's big financial backer George Soros is <u>now globetrotting in order to convince</u> <u>taxpayers throughout the West to provide the money to finish it</u>, and the amount he's coming up with as being necessary for the job is between twenty and fifty billion dollars. His entreaties appear to be falling upon deaf ears.

And that's the real reason why Obama's war in Ukraine is failing: there's just not enough <u>blood-lust</u> for the <u>task</u>, either in <u>Ukraine</u>, or in "the West."

There aren't enough nazis, in either area. Obama had over-reached, when he overthrew Ukraine's democratically elected President, Viktor Yanukovych, in February 2014. Either he'll have to yield-up the land in Donbass, or else he'll have to yield-up the anti-Russian Government that he has <u>imposed</u> upon Ukraine. It's one or the other, and he'll have to choose which.

Either outcome will be embarrassing for him. But perhaps it won't be quite as embarrassing for him as was his predecessor's embarrassment regarding the Iraq War. (Of course, Republicans would be in their glory then, by saying "Obama lost Ukraine," even though it was actually Obama who had seized Ukraine, to begin with — and Republicans would never criticize a President for doing a thing like that: it's the sort of thing that Republicans are expected to do.)

On the other hand, it might turn out to be <u>even more embarrassing than that</u> for Obama, if he should happen to decide to go all the way here, and to push on for a nuclear war against Russia. As regards the Ukrainian Government itself, they were placed into power by Obama's action of coup, followed by this Ukrainian Government's actions removing the Donbass residents from their electorate; and, so, the current members of the Rada, and of the Ukrainian Administration, cannot blame Obama; they are instead blaming Vladimir Putin and Russia the country that Obama (like George Soros and so many other American aristocrats) hates, and that not merely the people whom Obama placed into power in Ukraine hate. Thus, on Wednesday, January 28th, RIAN news headlined, <u>"Full Text of the Appeal for Recognition of Russia as Aggressor,"</u> and they reported:

"The Verkhovna Rada made public the full text of Resolution number 1854 on appeal to the United Nations, the European Parliament, the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe, NATO Parliamentary Assembly, the Parliamentary Assembly of the OSCE, GUAM Parliamentary Assembly, and the national parliaments of the countries in the world, to recognize the Russian Federation as the aggressor state."

Eurthermore, German Economic News reported that this action by the Rada had been passed by "271 of 289 deputies present," and that it could "have international legal consequences." GEN's report also said that Ukraine's leaders claim they now "urgently need new loans" from the EU, because, otherwise, Ukraine's existing loans will go into default. The reader-comments to that news-report at GEN's website seem to be negative on that request, and to be far more inclined to view Ukraine's Government as nazi than as Ukraine's being the victim of Russia or any other country, and least of all as being a victim of the EU's own taxpayers, who have already given plenty to the Ukrainian Government, and who would become the people bearing the burden of those new 'loans,' which would be going to the very back of Ukraine's long line of creditors, if Ukraine goes bankrupt, as is widely expected soon to happen. In other words: there would seem to be little public support in Germany, for giving Ukraine yet more money. If the EU's leaders do decide to comply with Ukraine's urgent request, then the EU will have even less public support in Germany than it currently does. The EU is therefore likely to turn down the request, so as not to place even further into jeopardy the EU's own continued existence.

And, obviously, unless Ukraine gets the further <u>'loans'</u> to prosecute its <u>war</u> against <u>the</u> <u>residents in Donbass</u>, Ukraine won't even possibly be able to win this war.

So, that's the Ukrainian Government's predicament, regarding this war.

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of <u>They're Not Even Close</u>: <u>The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records</u>, <u>1910-2010</u>, and of <u>CHRIST'S</u> <u>VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity</u>.

The original source of this article is <u>Oriental Review</u> Copyright © <u>Eric Zuesse</u>, <u>Oriental Review</u>, 2015

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Eric Zuesse

About the author:

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010, and of CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca