

Ukraine: No-fly Zone Proposal Rejected by Americans

By Lucas Leiroz de Almeida

Global Research, March 18, 2022

Infobrics

Region: Europe, Russia and FSU, USA

Theme: Intelligence

In-depth Report: **UKRAINE REPORT**

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Visit and follow us on Instagram at @globalresearch_crg and Twitter at @crglobalization. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Today, the dangers of military escalation are beyond description.

What is now happening in Ukraine has serious geopolitical implications. It could lead us into a World War III Scenario.

It is important that a peace process be initiated with a view to preventing escalation.

Global Research does not support Russia's invasion of Ukraine.

The history of this war must be understood.

The bombing and shelling led by Ukraine's Armed Forces directed against the people of Donbass started eight years ago, resulting in the destruction of residential areas and more than 10,000 civilian casualties.

A bilateral Peace Agreement is required.

One of the most debated topics in recent days, related to the conflict in Ukraine, is the "no-fly zone" issue. The measure was initially proposed by Kiev to establish an aggressive response to Russia, but it has been treated with some resistance by NATO itself, although it receives absolute approval from the western media. In practice, the creation of such a zone only means giving Kiev and the West the "right" to shoot down Russian planes and helicopters, which would mean the beginning of a new world war. These factors make the measure irresponsible and unnecessary, but the hegemonic media continues to ignore this and point to the idea as the correct path to follow. On the other hand, recent polls indicate

that the project is not popular, receiving strong disapproval among ordinary people.

From the beginning, the US government and NATO have rejected the Ukrainian project to create a no-fly zone in order to allow the shooting of Russian planes. This kind of escalation sounds too aggressive even for Western governments, which fear that the conflict in Ukraine will trigger a world war – which would harm all sides without distinction. The position is not shared by the main media agencies, which insist that the creation of the zone is an urgent and necessary measure, despite all the risks it entails. And, in this sense, one of the main arguments of such agencies is to claim that there is a strong popular support for the measure.

In fact, in major polls, when asked whether or not they support the formation of a no-fly zone in Ukraine, most Americans have answered "yes." But a curious fact has been revealed in a more recent survey by YouGov: most people just do not seem to know what a no-fly zone means in practice. In this survey, YouGov agents asked people two questions. In the first, they simply asked, "Would you support or oppose the U.S. enforcing a no-fly zone over Ukraine?". And in the second, they asked more broadly: "Would you support or oppose the U.S. enforcing a no-fly zone over Ukraine, which would mean the U.S. military would shoot down Russian military planes flying over Ukraine, possibly triggering a war between the U.S. and Russia?"

For anyone who knows what a no-fly zone means, these questions mean absolutely the same thing. But, surprisingly, there was a discrepancy in results. For the first question, 40% of respondents stated that they would support a US-enforced no-fly zone, while only 25% opposed it and another 35% responded that they were unsure about the topic. On the other hand, for the second question, 23% of respondents said they would support such a zone. 43% percent opposed it, with 34% unsure.

The result brings us a series of interesting reflections. First of all, it is possible to conclude that popular support for the creation of the no-fly zone is a big farce. What happens is that public opinion, influenced by Western media's discourse, tends to support the existence of anti-Russian measures. And from the moment that the media agencies say that it is necessary to create a no-fly zone, people tend to automatically agree. But, in the opposite direction, American citizens fear the emergence of a new conflict, which is natural for a people as accustomed to wars as the American, who have been suffering the consequences of Washington's interventionist policy for decades. When they become aware that a no-fly zone would be the trigger for a new conflict, Americans stop supporting the measure, because nothing can seem worse to an American than war.

When Western media agencies claim that there is popular support for the creation of the nofly zone, they are simply lying irresponsibly, trying to pressure the government to implement a bellicose measure, which will possibly generate a world war, based on a fallacious argument that has been "confirmed" with biased and flawed surveys. Indeed, there is no real support from the American people for any step that could culminate in a war.

It is necessary that the entire population of western countries be aware that "no-fly zone" means an air space of prohibited or restricted flights by the local State, with the right – and sometimes the obligation – for local forces to shoot down aircraft that fly over there. Creating such a situation in a scenario of conflict in the Russian strategic environment

means making NATO shoot down Russian military aircraft, which will certainly not be passively accepted, generating a war between Russia and NATO.

So, with people knowing all the consequences of such a zone, there will be no support for this type of irresponsible measure. It is a project that only pleases the Ukrainian government itself and other states with a high degree of anti-Russian nationalism – such as Lithuania, Estonia and Slovenia, which are officially supporting the zone. There is no room to defend this kind of idea in the US and Western democracies.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @globalresearch_crg and Twitter at @crglobalization. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Lucas Leiroz is a researcher in Social Science sat the Rural Federal University of Rio de Janeiro; geopolitical consultant.

Featured image is from Yves Engler

The original source of this article is <u>Infobrics</u>
Copyright © <u>Lucas Leiroz de Almeida</u>, <u>Infobrics</u>, 2022

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Lucas Leiroz de

Almeida

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca