

# Asylum Seekers and the Rwanda Flights: UK to Introduce New 'Bill of Rights' After Migrant Deportation Defeat

Britain will begin legislating for a new 'bill of rights' on Wednesday, giving the government the authority to disregard European Court of Human Rights judgments.

By [Al Mayadeen](#)

Global Research, June 26, 2022

[Al Mayadeen](#) 22 June 2022

Region: [Europe](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Visit and follow us on [Instagram](#), [Twitter](#) and [Facebook](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

\*\*\*

*Britain will begin legislating for a new bill of rights on Wednesday, giving the government the authority to disregard European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) judgments, which last week thwarted ministers' plans to transfer migrants to Rwanda.*

Last Tuesday, the ECHR issued last-minute injunctions to prevent a handful of [asylum seekers from being deported to the East African country](#), meaning Britain's first scheduled deportation flight did not take place as planned.

The new bill of rights, which will be debated in parliament on Wednesday, would state unequivocally that Britain's Supreme Court, which approved the Rwanda flights, had legal supremacy and that ECHR judgments did not necessarily have to be followed by British courts.

According to the Ministry of Justice, it would certify that injunctions ordered by the ECHR under Rule 39, which halted the Rwandan flight, were not binding.

"These reforms will reinforce freedom of speech, enable us to deport more foreign offenders, and better protect the public from dangerous criminals," British Deputy Prime Minister Dominic Raab said.

According to the administration, the proposed bill would limit foreign criminals' ability to utilize their right to family life to avoid deportation and would prevent "trivial" human rights issues from reaching court. It will also enshrine more journalistic freedom and expression in

law, according to the statement.

However, lawyers and campaigners warned that the idea would weaken people's rights and give ministers more control. As things are, British courts are not bound by ECHR decisions.

Stephanie Boyce, president of the Law Society of England and Wales, said it would create an acceptable class of human rights violations, while Sacha Deshmukh, Chief Executive of Amnesty International UK, said it was unsurprising that politicians held accountable by human rights laws wanted them removed.

Following last week's decision, some Conservative lawmakers wanted Britain to withdraw entirely from the European Convention on Human Rights, but Raab indicated there were no plans to do so.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, Twitter and Facebook. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

*Featured image is from Al Mayadeen*

The original source of this article is [Al Mayadeen](#)  
Copyright © [Al Mayadeen](#), [Al Mayadeen](#), 2022

---

**[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)**

**[Become a Member of Global Research](#)**

Articles by: [Al Mayadeen](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)