

UK Court Decision on Venezuela Gold Deals, Blow to Regime Change Efforts. One Billion Dollars of Country's Gold to be Released

By Alan MacLeod

Global Research, October 07, 2020

MintPress News 5 October 2020

Region: Europe, Latin America &

Caribbean, USA

Theme: <u>Intelligence</u>

A UK court ruled that the administration of Boris Johnson's position that Juan Guaidó is the legitimate ruler of Venezuela is far from equivocal, paving the way for over \$1 billion of the country's gold to be released.

AUnited Kingdom court has handed the Venezuelan government of Nicolas Maduro a major win today, overturning a previous ruling from a lower court that legitimized the British government's decision to freeze Venezuelan government gold reserves held in the Bank of England. The English Court of Appeal ruled that the Conservative administration of Boris Johnson's position that Juan Guaidó is the country's legitimate ruler was far from equivocal, potentially paving the way for some \$1.95 billion of the Central Bank of Venezuela's gold to be accessed.

Following President Trump's lead, in July, the U.K. government took the extraordinary step of derecognizing President Maduro in favor of the self-declared Guaidó, despite the fact that for nearly six months, he had not even been a member of his Popular Will party, let alone its leader. The move was <u>labeled</u> "highway robbery" by supporters of the Venezuelan government.

A nearly unheard of politician before his ascension to the role of head of the Venezuelan National Assembly (a post given out on a yearly rotational basis among all parties in the institution) in January 2019, Guaidó shocked the country by using his appointment to unilaterally declare himself president of the country. He then led a series of coup attempts throughout 2019 and 2020, the last of which involved paying Trump-linked American mercenaries to shoot their way into the presidential palace. However, the plan ended in complete disaster, with the Americans subsequently sentenced to 20 years of prison time.

Guaidó based his claim to power on <u>Article 233</u> of the Venezuelan Constitution, which allows a president to be removed if he "abandons his position" or becomes "permanently unavailable to serve" for whatever reason. Maduro, however, had clearly not left his post. Regardless, if he had, Article 233 states that the vice-president would take charge until a new election by universal suffrage was held. Guaidó's party was not even registered to stand in elections, having boycotted them the year previously under U.S. orders. The Trump administration had attempted to organize a total boycott from opposition parties, thereby undermining the process' legitimacy, even threatening to sanction opposition presidential

candidate Henri Falcón. Despite the partial boycott, turnout was relatively high. A larger percentage of the total electorate still cast their ballot for Maduro than Americans did for Trump in 2016 or Obama in 2012. The U.S. government is <u>currently trying</u> the same tactic in the upcoming December elections to the National Assembly, the State Department releasing a memo in September <u>declaring</u> that all opposition parties taking place were considered "puppet parties" participating in an "electoral charade," and would therefore be sanctioned.

The United Kingdom and the United States have been leaders in a years-long economic and political campaign to oust Maduro from power, hitting the country with sanctions and attacking it politically. When Maduro attempted to use the impounded gold to buy humanitarian aid from the United Nations to deal with the coronavirus pandemic, the Johnson administration blocked it. Meanwhile, American sanctions, declared illegal by the U.N., have been responsible for over 100,000 Venezuelans' death. The U.S. government is also continually provoking Venezuela militarily. Last week, it sent a warship — the U.S.S. William P. Lawrence — into the Caribbean, just 16 nautical miles from Venezuela's coast. Defense Minister Vladimir Padrino described the action as "erratic and childish," implying Trump was attempting to foment an "October Surprise" conflict to boost his reelection chances.

The U.S. has also funded and supported Guaidó throughout his coup attempts, grooming him since he was a student leader. Recently, they have been <u>channeling</u> money confiscated from the Venezuelan government to Guaidó so that he can personally pay every healthcare worker a huge stipend.

While the Maduro administration is very unpopular, the opposition has had little success shaking their image as elitists interested only in returning Venezuela to its former status as a U.S. client state. Guaidó is presented in Western media as a breath of fresh air and a break with that tradition. However, as the privately-educated son of an international airline pilot, and somebody who attended George Washington University (an impossible task for those who do not come from the elite), he has had little success persuading his countryfolk to get behind his vision for the country. A recent poll found that 3 percent of Venezuelans recognize him as president. Despite this, he has received virtually unanimous support in Washington and London. However, there is no doubt that today's court ruling is a loss for him and a win for Maduro.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

<u>Alan MacLeod</u> is a Staff Writer for MintPress News. After completing his PhD in 2017 he published two books: <u>Bad News From Venezuela</u>: <u>Twenty Years of Fake News and Misreporting</u> and <u>Propaganda in the Information Age: Still Manufacturing Consent</u>. He has also contributed to <u>Fairness and Accuracy in Reporting</u>, <u>The Guardian</u>, <u>Salon</u>, <u>The Grayzone</u>, <u>Jacobin Magazine</u>, <u>Common Dreams</u> the <u>American Herald Tribune</u> and <u>The Canary</u>.

Featured image:Self-declared Venezuelan President Juan Guiado meets with UK PM Boris Jonson in London in January, 2020. Photo | Public Release

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Alan MacLeod

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca