

U.S. to Train Nazi Troops in Ukraine, Starting on April 20th

By <u>Eric Zuesse</u> Global Research, April 05, 2015 Region: <u>Russia and FSU</u> Theme: <u>US NATO War Agenda</u> In-depth Report: <u>UKRAINE REPORT</u>

It has just been <u>announced</u> that, starting on April 20th, U.S. troops will start training troops of Ukraine's Azov Battalion.

The <u>Azov Battalion</u> was founded and its members were selected by <u>Andrei Biletsky</u>, a Ukrainian nazi (that's an ideological term, meaning racist fascist — not a term referring specifically to the first political party with that particular ideology, the National Socialist Party of Germany). When Britain's Guardian <u>interviewed members</u>, the reporter was shocked to find that they're nazis ("neo-Nazis").

Biletsky proudly explains his ideology as follows:

"Social Nationalism is based on a number of fundamental principles that clearly distinguish it from other right-wing movements. This triad is: socialism, racism, imperialism. ... On the principle of socialism [in the sense that Hitler used it] follows our complete negation of democracy and liberalism. ... Instead there is natural selection of the best representatives of the Nation — born-leaders as Ukraine's leaders. ... Racism: All our nationalism is nothing — just a castle in the sand — without reliance on the foundationstone of blood Races. ...

"The historic mission of our Nation, a watershed in this century, is thus to lead the White peoples of the world in the final crusade for their survival. It is to lead the war against Semites and the sub-humans they use. ... Social Nationalism raises to shield all old Ukrainian Aryan values, forgotten in modern society."

Biletsky founded the Azov Battalion soon after Obama's February 2014 <u>coup in Kiev</u>, which was led by <u>Andriy Parubiy</u>, who had <u>co-founded the Social Nationalist Party of</u> <u>Ukraine</u>. Parubiy's masked men in the <u>coup</u> dressed as if they were Ukrainian security forces, and fired onto demonstrators during the Maidan demonstrations against Ukraine's President Viktor Yanukovych. They were paid by the CIA at the U.S. Embassy, and they included both foreign mercenaries and troops who had been trained by <u>Dmitriy Yarosh</u>, who had founded another of Ukraine's nazi parties, this one called Right Sector. Most of the coup's perpetrators were members of Right Sector, which, in addition to being a party, has an estimated 7,000 troops of its own, who were trained under Yarosh's command.

The Azov Battalion was established on 13 April 2014 by the newly appointed post-coup Ukrainian Minister of Internal Affairs, <u>Arsen Avakov</u>, who had been appointed by the interim Ukrainian President Oleksandr Turchynov, who had been appointed by the person anointed (nominally temporarily but actually) permanently as Ukraine's Prime Minister, Arseniy Yatsenyuk, whose anointment came from the U.S. Ambassador Jeffrey Pyatt, after <u>Obama's</u> agent Victoria Nuland had instructed him on 4 February 2014, just 18 days before the coup, to do that.

So, this overthrow was well planned in advance. In fact, it had <u>even been arranged prior to</u> its <u>alleged</u> precipitating-event, which was Yanukovych's having announced on 20 November 2013 that he was turning down the EU's offer to Ukraine. Yanukovych turned it down because <u>his advisors calculated that it would cost Ukraine \$160 billion.</u>

Apparently, the Obama regime had already known, ahead of time, that it would cost Ukraine so much that Yanukovych would have to reject it. And his saying no to it turned out to be a popular political move. Public sentiment in Ukraine on whether the nation was heading in the "right direction" or the "wrong direction" boomed just after the decision: the "right direction" score, which was at a nearly two-year low of only 15.4% just a month before the decision's announcement (which was the period when it seemed likeliest that Ukraine was heading into the EU), shot up to a nearly four-year high of 26.1% just a month after the announcement and while the U.S.-engineered "Maidan" demonstrations against Yanukovych were raging against Yanukovych. But, Obama didn't really care at all about Ukrainian public opinion. He already had the support of Ukraine's nazis, and that's all he actually needed.

So: now he is expressing his appreciation, by providing America's best military training, to Ukraine's best nazi troops.

Going back again to that coup, in order the better to understand this history: Nuland had probably been informed ahead of time, by the lawyer for Yulia Tymoshenko — she was the founder of the third nazi party of Ukraine, which is called "Fatherland" — that Tymoshenko (in prison at the time, on a corruption conviction) said that Yatsenyuk was her most loyal lieutenant and was the person who would be the most cooperative in relinquishing power to her if and when Tymoshenko would be released from prison immediately after the planned coup and after she would then run for the Presidency and win it. She was expected to win, because she had come in a close second to Yanukovych in the last, the 2010, Presidential election, and especially because she was expected to be even more popular after a coup in which the man who had gotten her convicted, Yanukovych, would himself now have been framed by the Obama regime for the violence that the U.S. had planned in order to bring him down.

Yatsenyuk, Turchynov, and Avakov, were all members of Tymoshenko's Fatherland Party; and, so, the entire security apparatus of the new, post-coup, state was under Tymoshenko's control, from the very moment of the coup — which was why she was freed from prison by them on the very day of the coup.

But Tymoshenko, during her Presidential campaign, overplayed her hand: She got <u>taped in a</u> <u>private phone-conversation during the campaign</u>, saying (as this documentary excerpted and is transcribed here, and which was an accurate reflection of what she had said at the time):

1:21:08 Obama's failed choice to become the new Ukrainian President, Yulia Tymoshenko, is on the phone: "Damn, we've got to arm up and go kill these damn kitsaps [their term like for 'niggers' or 'kikes' but actually here

for Russians]." [Question: "What do we do with eight million Russians who are left on the territory of Ukraine?" Tymoshenko's answer:] ... We['ve] got to shoot them."

She wanted to eliminate the voters who had elected Yanukovych, and who were now rejecting the coup-regime, which she was expecting to lead. That's the type of person, an extreme hater of pro-Russians, whom Obama wanted to be elected by Ukrainians as their President, and for whom the U.S. Embassy in Ukraine had tirelessly tried to get freed from prison — unsuccessfully, until they got Yanukovych overthrown by Tymoshenko's fellow rabidly anti-Russian nazis, such as the ones whom Obama's troops will now be training to kill all of the pro-Russians they possibly can. Even the right-wing voters of northwestern Ukraine found her to be too far-right.

Obama had pretended, until he got re-elected in 2012, that he opposed Mitt Romney's <u>idea</u> that, concerning, "Russia, this is, without question, our number one geopolitical foe."

And, so, in Obama's second Administration, he put in place such people as <u>Victoria Nuland</u>, Jeffrey Pyatt, John Brennan, and Philip Breedlove — all rabid haters of Russians — in order to focus all of America's foreign-policy efforts against "our number one geopolitical foe" and against all of its allies: the heads of state in primarily Russia but secondarily Libya, Syria, Iran, Venezuela, China, Brazil, India, Hungary, Czech Republic, and all other nations that oppose being ruled by the U.S. aristocracy, the American Empire.

However, Obama had been <u>setting this up even during his first Administration</u>. The hypothesis in Andrew Krieg's <u>Presidential Puppetry</u> is that Obama has been secretly an agent of the CIA for decades, and was virtually raised in a CIA-and-U.S.-aristocracy-serving family, on both his mother's and father's sides — and that he's probably the most deceiving President our nation has ever had.

In any case, the evidence thus far is that <u>Obama prioritizes weakening Russia</u>, even over <u>weakening ISIS</u>. And he was sufficiently obsessive about his war against Russia, for him to resort to getting the Ukrainian Government to shoot down the MH17 Malaysian airliner and to frame the pro-Russian separatists for doing it, just in order to be able to win the support of the EU for hiked sanctions against Russia. He is bending everything to <u>his hatred of Russia</u>. So, nobody should now be surprised that he is arming and training Ukrainian nazis for his anti-Russian war.

The question for the American people is whether they will tolerate this fraud? They tolerate, and tolerated, the fraud by his predecessor, that got America to invade Iraq in 2003. So, probably they will *continue* tolerating this fraud <u>that can bring on World War III</u>. But if not, then now is the time for the American people to change, which will require popular demonstrations far larger than those that were held against the Vietnam War. Because time, for the world, <u>could well be running out</u>, this time around.

Such phrases as this, probably sound like an extreme way to refer to what's happening. But how extreme is it, actually, for the U.S. now to be training and arming nazi troops? And how extreme is it for the U.S. President to <u>resort to shooting down a civilian airliner in order to</u> <u>turn the crank a bit more on economic sanctions</u> against Russia — which are <u>entirely based</u> <u>on frauds</u>? Will it stop in any way, short of WW III stopping all of us? How obtuse, and how unconcerned, and how deceived, are the American people? And what about the people in Europe — why aren't they demanding their countries to abandon NATO, America's anti-Russian military club, which should have ended in 1991, when the Soviet Union's equivalent, the Warsaw Pact, terminated. Isn't it enough that the U.S., alone, already has military forces stationed in 185 countries throughout the world? Maybe they should be kicked out, until that number is brought down to Russia's number: 9. Maybe the nations of the world should be demanding this, and voting against the U.S. in every UN vote until it is achieved. Maybe that would do far more to advance and protect world peace than the UN itself currently does. Or, maybe, there should be international boycotts of all U.S.-based firms, until the U.S. Government (which represents those firms, no longer the American people) stops its proxywars against Russia.

Instead, America's most-watched cable-news channel, Fox 'News,' interviews their 'military expert,' who tells America's fascists and nazis — which are their audience (it's called "the Republican Party") — that America's policy in Ukraine needs to harden, and that it must be this: "Start killing Russians" until Russia runs out of "body bags." Imagine if Russia's most popular 'news' medium were to say that the 'solution' to the 'Cuba problem' is to "Start killing Americans" until America runs out of "body bags." Shouldn't Obama have apologized to Russians for that? Shouldn't he have expressed outrage at it that he did not express? Shouldn't he have had his FCC investigate Fox 'News' Channel, and its controlling owner the nazi Rupert Murdoch — perhaps to yank their licenses? Why do Americans tolerate such a President as this, one who instead eggs-on such hatred, by his using the word "aggression" 18 times in his "National Security Strategy 2015" and 17 of those times are referring to Russia? How insane is that? He even rubs it in, by saying, repeatedly in speeches, that the U.S. is "the one indispensable nation," which means that every other nation (including, but not only, Russia) is "dispensable." Hitler said virtually the same thing about Germany: "Deutschland über alles." Obama is as similar to that, in his nationalistic outlook, as his good-cop bad-cop partner, Rupert Murdoch, is — and that's why the yanking of Murdoch's licenses isn't even being looked into.

It's no wonder, then, why the U.S. is considered, throughout the world, to be by far the most dangerous nation. But when it's training nazis to fight Russians, isn't it far *too* dangerous? How long will this outrage, today's far-right America, continue to be tolerated — by the American people, and by other national governments? It should be isolated, and shunned, until it no longer *is* the world's leading fascist nation.

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of <u>They're Not Even Close:</u> <u>The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010</u>, and of <u>CHRIST'S</u> <u>VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity</u>, and of <u>Feudalism</u>, <u>Fascism</u>, <u>Libertarianism and Economics</u>.

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Eric Zuesse</u>, Global Research, 2015

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Eric Zuesse

About the author:

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010, and of CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca