

## U.S. Conference of Mayors may Vote Monday Against Wars

By Sherwood Ross

20 June 2011

Region: <u>USA</u>
Theme: <u>Global Economy</u>, <u>Militarization and</u>

Global Research, June 20, 2011

WMD

Not that the White House will listen, but the U.S. Conference of Mayors tomorrow (Monday, June 20th) may actually conclude its proceedings in Baltimore with an historic vote affirming a resolution to spend at home the \$125-billion now being lavished annually on the Middle East wars. "That we would build bridges in Baghdad and Kandahar and not Baltimore and

Kansas City, absolutely boggles the mind," the AP quotes Mayor Antonio Villaraigosa of Los

Angeles as saying.

The Conference, which speaks for 1,200 mayors, expresses the pain felt by city officials as urgent domestic needs have been long scuttled so that America's imperial presidents can wage wars in the Middle East to control the region's oil. President Obama is no exception as he vastly expanded the war in Pakistan and began fighting two other wars in Yemen and Libya illegally without Congressional authorization.

By the way, if the Iraq war was not about the threat of Saddam Hussein's WMD, what was it about? The answer may be found in a New York Times report of July 3, 2008, which described the deal between Hunt Oil of Dallas and Kurdistan's regional government in September, 2007, over the infuriated protests of Iraq central government officials in Baghdad.

Hunt's chief executive officer, The Times noted, is Ray Hunt, a close political ally of Bush, and who "briefed an advisory board to Bush on his contacts with Kurdish officials before the deal was signed." Another big winner, of course, was Bush Vice President Dick Cheney's Halliburton, which landed lucrative no-bid contracts in Iraq over the objections of Army Corps of Engineers officials and others. Etc., etc., etc., ln short, the nation was mobilized to waste three trillion dollars for war and pay the terrible price of 5,000 U.S. dead and 30,000 wounded to enrich defense and oil sector corporations. No matter that some 1 million Iraqis were killed, probably at least as many wounded, and two million made refugees and the country left in ruins.

Can it be just coincidental that the first oil contract signed in conquered Iraq enriched Bush's close friend? Or that Halliburton, formerly headed by Bush's Vice President, reaped no-bid contracts worth billions?

Better late than never, but where was the protest of the U.S. Conference of Mayors ten years ago when the predatory hawks turned their threats into hot wars and began destroying Baghdad and Basra? Only now, after their own cities have been driven to the brink of ruin (and some, like Camden, N.J., over it) do they politely lash out at Federal spending for war. If Washington had not cut back on aid to the cities would they be taking

this vote? Where were they when the Secretary-General of the United Nations called the Iraq invasion illegal? Do they object now because the loss of Federal funds diverted to war played a big role in forcing local governments to lay off 446,000 jobs since Sept., 2008?

On June 18th, The New York Times reporter Michael Cooper ticked off a litany of municipal woes that included:

- #Providence, R.I., and Hollywood, Fla., just issued layoff notices to police officers.
- # Lansing, Mich., and New York City are threatening to close fire stations.
- # Philadelphia teachers are getting pink slips and schools in Montgomery, Ala., are being closed.
- # Providence, R.I., may have to lay off 78 of its 468 police officers at the end of this month.
- # Atlanta is considering reducing the pensions of current employees.

As Mayor R.T. Rybak of Minneapolis told The Times, cities are being forced to make "deeply painful cuts to the most core services while the defense budget continued to escape scrutiny."

To sum up, there are two Americas here: there's the powerful military-industrial-CIA complex that dictates policy and is designed to enrich the Pentagon and the misnamed "Defense" (i.e., Aggression) sector contractors. Their profits have never been higher. Same for the run-up in profits by ExxonMobil and Shell, etc., to stunning, all-time highs. (Indeed, the trillion a year it takes to operate the Pentagon is costlier than what all 50 states combined spend to meet the real needs of the public.)

Then there's the America made up of the miserable majority who yearn for peace (as shown by every poll) and a chance to educate their children and to earn a good day's pay for an honest day's work—and, by the way, not to have their youth sacrificed on the altar of "defense." As James Carroll wrote in "House of War" (Houghton Mifflin) about the former U.S.-Soviet confrontation: "The American national security elite had no real interest in bringing either the Cold War or its engine, the arms race, to an end. But that was not true of the people as a whole, and it was the people who forced the change..."

That's still true today—and that means it's up to each and every one of us to speak out louder than ever against President Obama's imperial policies. We wait to hear how the mayors will vote tomorrow. It could be an historic occasion. #

Sherwood Ross is a publicist for good causes and director of the Anti-War News Service. Reach him at sherwoodross10@gmail.com.

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © Sherwood Ross, Global Research, 2011

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

## Articles by: Sherwood Ross

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>