

Twenty-Eight Republicans Tell Biden They're Against More Ukraine Aid Amid Zelensky Visit

POLITICO reports Ukraine aid 'skeptics' in Congress were unswayed by Zelensky

By [Dave DeCamp](#)

Global Research, September 22, 2023

[Antiwar.com](#) 21 September 2023

Region: [Europe](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Military](#), [Military](#) and [WMD](#)

In-depth Report: [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

*A group of 28 Republicans in the House and Senate [released a letter to the White House on Thursday](#) saying they're opposed to authorizing more spending on the Ukraine war as Ukrainian **President Volodymyr Zelensky** visited Washington.*

The Republicans said there were too many unanswered questions related to what the US goals were in Ukraine and how long the conflict will last.

"For these reasons — and certainly until we receive answers to the questions above and others forthcoming — we oppose the additional expenditure for war in Ukraine included in your request," they wrote.

President Biden has asked for an additional \$24 billion for Ukraine, which would bring total US spending on the proxy war to about \$137 billion. The White House and Democrats in Congress want to include the money in a funding bill that needs to be passed before September 30 to avert a government shutdown.

But the prospect seems unlikely as the Republican-controlled House is battling over spending levels and has failed to move forward with its Pentagon funding bill. House Speaker Kevin McCarthy (R-CA) also did not include the \$24 billion in new Ukraine aid in the House stop-gap funding bill that would avert a shutdown, which is not expected to pass as it is.

The aid is expected to ultimately get approved, but not as quickly as the White House would like. Even some Republicans in the House who support spending more on the Ukraine war

say [it's not a priority](#) since the Pentagon still has billions to use to ship weapons that was made available by a Pentagon "accounting error" that overvalued previous arms shipments.

The situation in Washington is much different than when Zelensky last visited in December 2022, when he was given a hero's welcome and spoke before Congress. McCarthy said that he declined a request for the Ukrainian leader to address a joint session of Congress this time around [because "we just didn't have time."](#)

Instead of a dramatic speech, Zelensky held a closed-door meeting with dozens of Senators and separate talks with some members of the House. *POLITICO* [reported](#) that Ukraine aid "skeptics" were not swayed by the Ukrainian leader's visit.

"His comment was, 'It's a totally frozen conflict,'" said **Senator Josh Hawley** (R-MO), who favors [prioritizing arming Taiwan](#) instead of Ukraine. "And I think his other comment was 'Everything depends on the United States.' Sounds more and more sort of like Vietnam in the day to me, if I'm going to be honest. So what he said was basically a recipe for just shy of needing to land American troops."

After meeting with Congress, Zelensky headed to the Pentagon and the White House, where he received pledges for [a new weapons package](#) and long-term support. Despite Ukraine's faltering counteroffensive, the Biden administration is determined to continue fueling the proxy war for as long as possible.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Dave DeCamp is the news editor of Antiwar.com, follow him on Twitter @decampdave.

Featured image is from Russia Insider

The original source of this article is [Antiwar.com](#)

Copyright © [Dave DeCamp](#), [Antiwar.com](#), 2023

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Dave DeCamp](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the

copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca