

Turkey's Erdogan Government: The Ukrainian Conflict "Has Reached a Stalemate"

By <u>Andrew Korybko</u> Global Research, January 15, 2023 Region: <u>Europe</u>, <u>Russia and FSU</u> Theme: <u>Intelligence</u> In-depth Report: <u>UKRAINE REPORT</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

There's no denying the accuracy of the official assessment shared by Turkish President Erdogan's foreign policy advisor Ibrahim Kalin that the Ukrainian Conflict has reached a stalemate, which is "politically incorrect" to talk about since it contradicts the Golden Billion's "official narrative" and thus proves how futile further arms shipments are. The fact of the matter is that Russia has successfully held its own throughout the course of its nearly year-long special operation in the face of slightly less than three dozen of its NATO opponents fighting it by proxy there.

The US-led West's <u>Golden Billion</u> and its <u>proxies in Kiev</u> continue to claim that they're on the brink of winning the <u>Ukrainian Conflict</u>, yet this assessment was just officially contradicted by Turkish President Erdogan's foreign policy advisor Ibrahim Kalin. <u>According to him</u>, "Neither party is in a position to win the war militarily, on the ground", ergo why Ankara proposed helping them negotiate "local ceasefires and small localised de-escalations" with a view towards ultimately reviving last spring's peace process.

For as well-intended as this suggestion may be, it's unlikely to bear any fruit considering that "Kiev Rejected Russia's Orthodox Christmas Truce On False Pretexts" earlier this month. Furthermore, that former Soviet Republic's Western overlords plan to continue exploiting it as their de facto <u>New Cold War</u> bloc's proxies for fighting Russia to the last Ukrainian in a desperate attempt to delay the decline of the US' unipolar hegemony. Nobody should therefore get their hopes up about a series of ceasefires.

Nevertheless, there's also no denying the accuracy of Kalin's assessment that the Ukrainian Conflict has reached a stalemate, which is "politically incorrect" to talk about since it contradicts the Golden Billion's "official narrative" and thus proves how futile further arms shipments are. The fact of the matter is that Russia has successfully held its own throughout the course of its nearly year-long <u>special operation</u> in the face of slightly less than three dozen of its NATO opponents fighting it by proxy there.

That's beyond impressive even if one acknowledges the possibility that it's received some clandestine military support from Iran and possibly also North Korea, which would have in any case paled in comparison to that which Kiev has received from NATO and its partners across the world. For Russia to have brought the conflict to a stalemate in spite of the military odds being drastically aligned against it this entire time speaks both to the professionalism of its forces as well as its foes' lack thereof.

With this objectively existing and easily verifiable reality in mind, which was just extended credence by the top foreign policy advisor to the same leader who commands NATO's second-largest military, it can therefore be concluded that further arms shipments to Kiev won't make much of a difference. All that such moves will do is artificially perpetuate the conflict at the cost of countless more lives, including those civilians that are caught in the crossfire upon Kiev <u>exploiting them as human shields like usual</u>.

Those top Ukrainian and former US officials who've been lobbying for even more military aid and thus implying that <u>the approximately \$100 billion that Kiev already received isn't</u> <u>enough</u> are likely connected in one way or another to the military-industrial complex (MIC) and thus stand to profit from such shipments. The problem is that <u>Biden's Naval chief just</u> <u>confirmed his country's MIC crisis</u> whereby it might soon be forced to choose between meeting its own minimum national security needs or Kiev's.

<u>Soledar's liberation</u> late last week could in theory serve as the pretext for the US to coerce Kiev into freezing the LOC via Turkish mediation in order to avert more inevitable on-theground losses in the coming future, but the <u>powerful anti-Russian lobby</u> will likely succeed in suppressing such pragmatic voices and thus artificially perpetuate the conflict due to their financial and ideological stakes in that outcome. Be that as it may, their efforts aren't expected to resolve the present stalemate.

That almost certainly being the case, then "<u>Russia Will Still Strategically Win Even In The</u> <u>Scenario Of A Military Stalemate In Ukraine</u>" since the larger dynamics of the <u>global</u> <u>systemic transition</u> to <u>multiplexity</u> are in its favor and not the Golden Billion's. The longer that Moscow holds its own, the faster that the aforesaid transition will accelerate towards its final form of complex multipolarity, which will result in a more democratic, equal, just, and predictable world order wherein the West's influence is weakened.

Turkiye wisely foresaw this outcome long ago and that's why it's <u>practiced such a pragmatic</u> <u>policy</u> towards this proxy war by attempting to balance between the two conflicting sides, which also includes their partners by obvious extension. While <u>nowhere near as perfect as</u> <u>India's multi-alignment</u>, which set the global standard in this respect, it's still worthy of praise when remembering that this geostrategically positioned state is formally a NATO member and commands its second-largest military.

This explains Kalin's assessment that the Ukrainian Conflict is at a stalemate, which can be interpreted as Turkiye's official conclusion by dint of his position as President Erdogan's foreign policy advisor. Looking forward, Turkiye will continue multi-aligning between all pertinent players in this proxy war, which is expected to solidify its position as an increasingly independent pole of influence in the emerging Multipolar World Order much more so than having any tangible effect in brokering peace. Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

This article was originally published on Andrew Korybko's Newsletter.

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is from the author

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Andrew Korybko</u>, Global Research, 2023

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Andrew Korybko	About the author:
	Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca