

Trump's Exit Door Is Open on Syrian Attack

By <u>M. K. Bhadrakumar</u> Global Research, April 14, 2018 <u>Oriental Review</u> 13 April 2018 Region: <u>Middle East & North Africa</u> Theme: <u>Militarization and WMD</u>, <u>US NATO</u> <u>War Agenda</u> In-depth Report: <u>SYRIA</u>

This article was published on April 13, prior to the airstrikes directed against Syria

The US President Donald Trump's fateful decision on a military strike against Syria is imminent and it will impact not only Syria's future and Middle Eastern politics but also the US' capacity to impose its global hegemony in the emergent world order.

As expected, the day on April 11 began with Trump's tweet. He said:

×

×

Trump claims <u>he's about to order the attack</u>. But it is also a Trumpean message. The second part is addressed to the Kremlin and speaks about potential US-Russia cooperation to mutual benefit. Trump offers the bait of negotiations on curbing arms race, which is a priority issue for Russia.

Trump apparently thinks he's 'negotiating' a 'win-win' solution by dangling a carrot and expecting Moscow to stand aside and letting the US attack on Syria go ahead. It's tragicomic, to say the least, that US diplomacy has come to such a pass – POTUS negotiating Syria as if it's a property deal in Manhattan.

A flood of Russian statements, on the other hand, underscore that <u>Moscow will defend Syria</u> <u>no matter what it takes</u>. Which means not only that the US missiles will be shot down but also that American launch pads will be targeted. This latter message has been <u>conveyed</u> <u>through the Hezbollah TV channel</u>, which is of course a devastating snub to Israel.

Following the Israeli attack on the T4 air base in Syria on Monday, Putin deputed his special envoy on Syria Alexander Lavrentiev to go to Tehran on an 'unscheduled and unexpected' visit to meet Iran's powerful national security czar and point person on Syria, Rear Admiral Ali Shamkhani, who is the executive head of the national security council and reports directly to Supreme Leader Ali Khamenei. Evidently, Russian-Iranian coordination is at a very high level.

<u>As for Iran, it is playing its cards close to the chest</u>, which is as it should be. Tehran understands perfectly well that the false flag operation alleging chemical attack may be used as alibi by the US to create new facts on the ground in Syria aimed at eroding the commanding position that Russia and Iran enjoy. Putin availed of a Kremlin ceremony for the new foreign envoys' presentation of credentials today to make an oblique reference to Trump's war cry. Putin said:

The state of world affairs invokes nothing but concerns, the situation in the world is becoming more chaotic. Nevertheless, we still hope that common sense will eventually prevail and international relations will enter a constructive course, the entire world system will become more stable and predictable.

The remark can be construed as an appeal to Trump's 'common sense'. But then, Putin also stressed that Moscow will continue to advocate strengthening of "global and regional" security, and will fully adhere to its "international responsibilities and develop cooperation with our partners on a constructive and respectful basis."

Earlier on April 11, at a media briefing, the <u>Kremlin presidential spokesman Dmitry Peskov</u> was explicit. He said,

"We are not participating in 'Twitter-diplomacy'. We are supporting serious approaches. We still firmly believe that it is important to abstain from taking steps, which may be detrimental to the already fragile situation (in Syria)."

×

The aircraft carrier USS Harry Truman leads a formation of ships to Mediterranean Sea

In reality, Trump finds himself in an unenviable situation. Russia has made it abundantly clear that it will counter any US attack on Syria and, God forbid, if there is any loss of Russian lives in the American attack, all hell will break loose. On the other hand, if Trump backtracks, it will dent his credibility. This is not like calling Kim Jong Un a "Little Rocket Man" and getting away with it.

Is there an exit door available for Trump? Yes, there is. The <u>US Defence Secretary James</u> <u>Mattis said today</u> that the work to assess the intelligence on the alleged use of chemical weapons in Syria's Douma is still in progress. To quote him, "We're still assessing the intelligence – ourselves and our allies. We're still working on this." Mattis said this when asked pointedly whether there is sufficient evidence to accuse the Syrian government of using chemical agents in Douma.

To my mind, the chances of the western intelligence giving a 'Nil' report are fairly good. (The <u>First Deputy Chief of the Russian General Staff's Main Operations Department Lieutenant General Viktor Poznikhir</u> told reporters in Moscow today that the notorious White Helmets, which is an 'NGO' outfit of intelligence agencies collaborating with terrorist groups in Syria, had staged and filmed a chemical weapons attack on civilians in the town of Douma.)

Indeed, if the Russian general's assessment of a false flag operation is upheld, Trump might heave a sigh of relief. After all, if there was no chemical attack, why should there be retribution?

M. K. Bhadrakumar is a Career diplomat in the Indian Foreign Service for over 29 years, who served as India's Ambassador to Uzbekistan (1995-1998) and Turkey (1998-2001).

The original source of this article is <u>Oriental Review</u> Copyright © <u>M. K. Bhadrakumar</u>, <u>Oriental Review</u>, 2018

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: <u>M. K.</u> <u>Bhadrakumar</u>

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca