

Trump's Dystopian Budget Proposal. Slashing Social Programs. More Money for War

By Stephen Lendman

Global Research, March 12, 2019

Region: <u>USA</u> Theme: History

Trump's dead on arrival budget proposal to Congress is all about funding greater militarism and belligerence, along with serving corporate interests and high-net worth households – while gutting vital social programs.

It's a proposal only Wall Street, the military, industrial, security complex, Big Oil, and monied interests could love.

Totaling \$4.75 trillion, Trump wants an increase of \$34 billion in war spending, euphemistically called "defense" – at a time sharp cuts are needed.

Washington's only enemies are invented ones. No real ones exist. He wants \$8.6 billion more for wall construction along the southern border with Mexico.

His priorities include slashing Medicare, Medicaid, food stamps, housing assistance, student loans, and other essential social programs – along with big cuts in environmental protection programs.

He wants about \$1.5 trillion cut from Medicaid spending in the next decade, \$845 million less for Medicare over the same period, \$25 billion from Social Security and disability spending, a 9% reduction in non-defense spending across the board – funds shifted to "defense" priorities, corporate handouts, and other initiatives benefitting high-net worth households.

Other proposed cuts over the next decade include \$220 billion less for food stamps, \$21 billion from Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF), \$207 billion less for student loans, along with billions more cut from housing assistance and other social programs.

Note: In February 2017 during his first address to a joint congressional session, Trump pledged "no changes" to Social Security and Medicare.

He said

"America must put its own citizens first...Above all else, we will keep our promises to the American people...Our obligation is to serve, protect, and defend the citizens of the United States."

He broke virtually every positive promise made to ordinary Americans, serving monied interests exclusively. His FY 2020 budget proposal calls for more of the same, disdainful of the general welfare he doesn't give a hoot about.

He wants funding for renewable energy initiatives slashed by 70% – from around \$2.3 billion to \$700 million. He favors eliminating the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy altogether, wanting energy innovation left entirely to the private sector at its discretion.

According to the Center on Budget and Policy Priorities,

"(e)ach of the past two Trump budgets has targeted benefits and services for individuals and families of modest means for deep cuts, even as it has supported tax cuts conferring large new benefits on those at the top of the income scale," adding:

"If (his proposed FY 2020 budget is) enacted, these cuts would have increased poverty and hardship, leaving more people struggling to afford basics like food and rent."

The type society Trump and hardline ideologues in his regime favor is all about serving privileged interests exclusively, wanting ordinary Americans left on their own sink or swim – social safety net spending abolished in their ideal world.

One way he aims to increase military spending for warmaking is by increasing a so-called overseas operations (slush) fund from \$69 billion this year to \$165 billion in 2020.

The budget includes \$33 billion in greater "defense" spending to counter the "malign influence" of Russia China, Iran, North Korea, and other sovereign independent states. It calls for development of new land-based, sea, and aerial weapons, along with around \$10 billion for cybersecurity.

Trump wants increased funding for Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), along with more for Customs and Border Protection.

Article I, section 9, clause 7 of the Constitution states: "No money shall be drawn from the Treasury, but in Consequence of Appropriations made by Law..."

Spending bills originate in the House and Senate Appropriations Committees. They need majority House and super-majority (60-vote) Senate approval to pass.

Presidents have veto power over appropriations bills, not so-called line-item authority – so congressional-adopted budgets must be signed into law entirely or vetoed. A two-thirds majority in each house is needed to override it.

Congress and the president have until September 30 (the fiscal year's end) to agree on a budget for the following FY. Often used continuing resolutions keep government funded for a specified time period when agreements aren't reached.

Otherwise, government shutdowns are triggered until bipartisan differences are resolved.

Trump's request for \$8.6 billion more for border wall construction alone assures another spending fight if he's unbending on this issue.

According to a senior regime official, his budget proposes "more reductions in spending than any (previous US) president in history" – taking pride in what demands shame.

House Appropriations Committee chairwoman Nita Lowey slammed Trump's proposal, saying the following:

He "managed to produce a budget request even more untethered from reality than his past two. With such misguided priorities, (his) budget has no chance of garnering the necessary bipartisan support to become law."

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Award-winning author Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at <u>lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net</u>. He is a Research Associate of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG)

His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."

http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html

Visit his blog site at <u>sjlendman.blogspot.com</u>.

Featured image is from Strategic Culture Foundation

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Stephen Lendman</u>, Global Research, 2019

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Stephen Lendman

About the author:

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net. His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III." http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com. Listen to cuttingedge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network. It airs three times weekly: live on Sundays at 1PM Central time plus two prerecorded archived programs.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca