

# Trump Transition: As Secretary of State, Tulsi Gabbard offers potential for Peace with Syria, Russia

By [Patrick Henningsen](#)

Global Research, November 24, 2016

[21 Century Wire](#) 23 November 2016

Region: [USA](#)

In-depth Report: [U.S. Elections](#)

*So far as world peace and prosperity goes, most of the names currently being kicked around for America's top diplomatic position do not inspire a great deal of confidence. It's easy to feel uneasy when you see [recycled neoconservative hawks](#) like John Bolton and Rudy Giuliani, or old hands like Mitt Romney who previously [stated](#) that 'Russia is America's greatest threat.'*

As far as potential picks go for Secretary of State, none is more interesting than the potential that Democratic Congresswoman [Tulsi Gabbard](#) brings to the table. President-Elect Donald Trump invited Hawaii's Gabbard to New York City this week for a meeting regarding possible involvement in the new Presidential Administration. She's got a lot going for her – as an Iraq War veteran with deep understanding of the perils of US interventions overseas, she also brings with her some common sense geopolitical context – a refreshing change from the off-the-shelf, neoliberal interventionist juggernaut previously driven by the hawkish Hillary Clinton, or the flippant public tirades and baseless insults leveled at Russia by the like of Samantha Power.

With an apparently more experienced Romney ahead of her in the queue, Gabbard is certainly a long shot, but considering the mess left behind by eight years of clandestine fee-for-all and the chronic absent-minded Obama era interventionist policy blunders, not to mention (but we will) the [hysterical public meltdowns](#) by US State Dept spokespersons like John Kirby, Jen Psaki and Marie Harf – you can't help but consider the fresh approach that someone like Gabbard might offer.



Gabbard (photo, left) also understands the dangers posed by salafist and Wahabi extremists like ISIS, and most certainly would push back against the Obama Administration and the CIA's dubious (and technically illegal, by way of US and international law) policy of arming and supporting various and sundry 'moderate

rebel' extremists (terrorists) in Syria – like Al Nusra Front, Arar al Sham, Nour al-din al-Zenki and many others – all favored by western covert subterfuge teams as instruments of 'regime change' in Syria.

In terms of independence, Gabbard has already made it clear that her stint would not involve capitulating to her GOP cabinet cohorts, adding that if she was to disagree with President Trump, "I will not hesitate to express that disagreement."

Previously, Gabbard was Vice Chair of the Democratic National Committee (DNC), but suddenly resigned earlier this year in protest after pointing out her party's unfair favoring of Hillary Clinton over Bernie Sanders during their party's primaries. Following the *Wikileaks* disclosures, it turns out that Gabbard was on the right side of history, while her detractors in the DNC leadership hierarchy like Chairwoman [Debbie Wasserman-Schultz](#) (forces to resign over the Sanders affair was exposed) and [Donna Brazile](#) (caught cheating with CNN by passing questions to the Clinton campaign) ended up on the wrong side of history. Here we can see a positive pattern emerging for Gabbard, and it gets better.

SEE ALSO: [Trump's Foreign Policy: Russia, Syria, Iran and Cabinet Neocons](#)

Regarding foreign policy, Gabbard's stance on Syria is remarkable, and holds great potential for a resolution to the 5 year conflict (not a civil war), as well as a chance to make positive inroads with Russia and other countries who have been perennial foes of both the Obama and Bush Administrations. Because of this, Gabbard has many enemies from on both the Republicans and Democratic chambers alike. Washington, with all of its untoward influence from the cartel of military defense contractor giants, and foreign lobbies like Israel and Saudi Arabia, will not go quietly overnight.

In a major departure from the abject failure of the Obama-Clinton-Kerry proxy war debacle in Syria, Gabbard said this about her alignment with Trump policy objectives and diffusing a tense situation in Syria:

"However, I believe we can disagree, even strongly, but still come together on issues that matter to the American people and affect their daily lives. We cannot allow continued divisiveness to destroy our country... I shared with him my grave concerns that escalating the war in Syria by implementing a so-called no fly/safe zone would be disastrous for the Syrian people, our country and the world," she said. "It would lead to more death and suffering, exacerbate the refugee crisis, strengthen ISIS and al-Qaeda and bring us into a direct conflict with Russia, which could result in a nuclear war. We discussed my bill to end our country's illegal war to overthrow the Syrian government and the need to focus our precious resources on rebuilding our own country and on defeating al-Qaeda, ISIS and other terrorist groups who pose a threat to the American people."

Critics have remarked about her young age (35 years old) and lack of political experience. However, consider how much experience both of the previous Secretary of States had in John Kerry and Hillary Clinton – and yet, they have presided over one unmitigated foreign policy disaster after another, whether in Libya, Syria, Yemen or the Ukraine. Quite possibly, history will look back on these two Obama appointees as the worst ever Secretary of States to hold that position. This is no exaggeration when you pause and take a sober look around the Middle East today.

Aside from the novelty of her relative youth in relation to typically older diplomats,

Gabbard's authenticity and character comes across in her public speaking, and thus she could be very effective, and no doubt very popular internationally. She's also gone against the party line on Syria. Once again, there she is on the right side of history, while her more experienced party leaders are on stuck on the *wrong* side. While boldly challenging the party line won't win you any favors in the partisan cesspool of the DC swamp, it shows the sort of character required to lead a team for successful bilateral consensus-building at the international negotiations table. In terms of the US public relations image internationally, this is an intangible benefit that you simply cannot put a price on, because right now, Washington's chief priority should be mending some of the serious rifts left behind by a previously derelict State Dept. Gabbard has the potential to paint US diplomacy in an entirely new light, and a positive one at that.

The biggest mistake a Trump White House could make is to place a neoconservative, or hawkish Secretary in place who might help to reboot talking up a war with Iran, or use NATO to press against Russia at its doorstep.

So the real question should not be about Gabbard's relative experience, but rather can she be what the previous Secretaries could not – a *diplomat*.

It's a long shot, but she has the potential to mend a fractured Middle East and also revamp relations with Russia – which means a more peaceful and stable world. Not a bad a thought.

The original source of this article is [21 Century Wire](#)  
Copyright © [Patrick Henningsen](#), [21 Century Wire](#), 2016

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Patrick Henningsen](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)