

Trump to Netanyahu: Palestinians Must be Completely Conquered

By Eric Zuesse

Global Research, August 16, 2018

Region: <u>Middle East & North Africa</u>, <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Law and Justice</u>, <u>Militarization and</u>

WMD, Police State & Civil Rights

In-depth Report: PALESTINE

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above

The Washington correspondent of Israel's Haaretz newspaper, Amir Tibon, headlined on the night of Tuesday, August 14, "Trump Administration Wants to See a Gaza Cease-fire 'With or Without the Palestinian Authority'," and he reported that, "The Trump administration wants to see a long-term cease-fire in Gaza, with or without the support of the Palestinian Authority, a spokesperson for the White House's National Security Council told Haaretz on Monday."

In other words: U.S. President Donald Trump is not angling for Palestinians to become ruled by the more moderate of the two political entities that are contesting for control over Palestine — he's not favoring The Palestinain Authority, Mahmoud Abbas, over Hamas, Ismail Haniya. He is, instead, aiming for Jews inside Israel to conquer completely the non-Jews, not *only* inside Israel, but *also* in the adjoining areas, Palestine.

Trump has now officially placed the United States on the side of Israel's Jews, for them to conquer and subdue Palestine, for Jews to rule over Palestinians, and for the residents in Palestine not to be allowed to participate in Israel's elections.

This will be very good for American firms such as Lockheed Martin, Northrop Grumman, Boeing, and General Dynamics, which depend wholly or primarily upon sales to the U.S. Government and to its allied governments, including Israel, for their profits and their net worths, their stock-market valuations. More war is essential for these firms, which sell only to these governments — governments which seek to control more land, regardless of what the residents there want, and which need to buy more weapons in order to do it.

Trump's foreign policies have been very effective.

Trump's biggest success, thus far into his Presidency, has been his sale of \$400 billion (originally \$350 billion) of U.S.-made weapons to the Saudi Arabian Government, which is owned by its royal family, after whom that nation is named. This sale alone is big enough to be called Trump's "jobs plan" for Americans. It is also the biggest weapons-sale in all of history. It's 400 billion dollars, not 400 million dollars; it is gigantic, and, by far, unprecedented in world-history. Consequently, anyone who would allege that he has been anything other than an extraordinary success for his constituency, the people who will be funding his 2020 re-election campaign, would be wrong. America is controlled by dollars, not by people; everything is geared to maximizing the return on investment, for the people who

have invested in Trump. Increasing their net worths is his goal, and he has been stunningly successful at achieving it.

The individuals who control those corporations are also in control of those governments, via political corruption, such as the "revolving doors" between 'government service' and the private sector. If they can't control those governments, then they can't control their own finances. But if they do control those governments — and especially their own Government, the U.S. Government — then they control the very source of their own wealth. They are totally dependent upon the U.S. Government. Trump has, regarding U.S. military and diplomatic policies — the Pentagon and the State Department, and the intelligence agencies — been just as effective as the neoconservatives, the people who actually run both Parties on behalf of those firms, for those firms' owners, could have hoped.

This does not mean that they won't in 2020 back an opponent of Trump, but only that Trump is issuing as many IOUs to these people as he can, and as fast as he can, and that he has been remarkably successful (unprecedented, actually) at doing that. Whereas Democrats such as Joe Biden and Eric Swalwell might contest against him for their support, no one can reasonably say that Trump has been a disappointment to the proponents of American conquest and control over the entire world — the people commonly called "neoconservatives," and all other agents of what Dwight Eisenhower called "the military-industrial complex." While those people might criticize him in order to push him even farther to the right on foreign affairs than he has been, he has been very effective for them, and he clearly is hoping that, at least regarding military policies, in America's militarized economy, those people will be satisfied for him to remain in power. That's his hope. That's his goal. It's shown by his actions, not by his mere words.

America's alliance with Israel is almost as important as America's alliance with the owners of Saudi Arabia, the Saud family. Both of those allies want the Palestinians to be conquered. And so does Trump. And, of course, so too do the people who are rotating constantly through those revolving doors, the other agents for America's rulers.

On August 9th, as reported by Amjad Jaghi of 972 Magazine,

"the Israeli Air Force bombed Al-Meshal, one of the Gaza Strip's most important cultural facilities. They claim that the building — which comprises two theaters, three large halls, and a department serving the Egyptian community living in the Strip — was being used by Hamas."

On August 14th, Reuters headlined <u>"Israeli minister confirms Netanyahu met Sisi over Gaza"</u> and reported that

"The two leaders discussed the easing of an Israeli-Egyptian blockade of Gaza, rehabilitation of its infrastructure and terms for a ceasefire."

Israel said that

"everything that will happen in Gaza will be done with Egyptian mediation and involvement."

This means that the setting-up of Israel's control over Gaza will "be done with Egyptian mediation and involvement," but the operation of Israel's control over Gaza won't be — it'll be 100% Israeli.

For example, Sisi might be able to get Netanyahu to agree to increase the current, 85 truckloads of food daily into Gaza so as to raise Gazans' food-intake above its current "subsistence" level. Although he might try, Israel's record of violating its international agreements is even stronger than America's record for that is. But to serve PR purposes, Sisi might try. Ever since 2007, when Israel was allowing into Gaza 106 truckloads daily, that number was reduced down to this "subsistence" level.

On 1 January 2008, was secretly issued from Israel's Ministry of Defense, a document <u>"Food Consumption in the Gaza Strip - Red Lines"</u>, in which the Ministry of Health informed them that the then-current 106 trucks daily was too much for "subsistence":

"The Ministry of Health is conducting work for calculating the minimal subsistence basket based on the Arab sector in Israel. The 'minimum basket' allows nutrition that is sufficient for subsistence without the development of malnutrition."

"The Ministry of Health estimates that the new basket will be 20% lower than the current basket [85 trucks instead of 106]."

And so it was, until 2010, when <u>"Israel has not imposed any restrictions on the entrance of food to the Gaza Strip."</u> And, after that, as of at least 2012, <u>"the current policy remains shrouded in secrecy."</u> However, (as shown at that link, where is printed a "Table 1. Entrance of trucks into Gaza"), the actual count of trucks, during the second half of 2010, was around 150 per day.

A U.N. publication <u>"Gaza Ten Years Later"</u>, issued in July 2017, reported that:

Import of goods to Gaza also dropped significantly with the imposition of the blockade in mid-2007. By 2008, the monthly average of truckloads entering Gaza had decreased by 75%17. The amount of imports slowly increased as import restrictions were gradually relaxed, with the number of trucks entering in 2015 and 2016 reaching levels similar to those prior to 2007. It is difficult to draw a parallel between 2015/2016 and 2007 however, given that due to the vast needs for post-hostilities reconstruction as well as recovery of Gaza's deteriorating infrastructure, coupled with rapid population growth, demand for import into Gaza was much higher in 2015/16 than it was prior to 2007.

The needs today are even higher than that.

Sisi might be able to win some voters if he can brag to them that he has gotten Israel to increase that number above whatever it currently has been, but it will be only for show, anyway.

Egypt is heavily committed both to the Saudi regime and to the American regime. To say that the fate of the Gazans is in the hands of Israel and of Egypt, would be to say that it's in the hands of the rulers of America and of the rulers of Saudi Arabia (the Saud family, who own that country). The rulers of Israel won't have any international backing, at all, if they

don't have America's rulers supporting them. For Donald Trump to tell Benjamin Netanyahu that not only will Israel be allowed to ignore Hamas but it will even be allowed to ignore the Palestinian Authority, means that Netanyahu now has America's support no matter what Israel might do to the Gazans — and to the non-Jewish inhabitants of the West Bank.

This is excellent news for the holders of U.S. 'Defense' stocks. The more that America's 'enemies' suffer, the better it is for <u>America's owners</u>. This is how capitalism actually functions. Inequality is natural. That's true not only between nations, but within nations. In the natural world, losers get eaten. Justice doesn't naturally occur anywhere. To the extent that it exists anywhere, it is imposed, by the public, against the aristocracy. Within nations, justice is almost non-existent. Between nations, it is entirely non-existent. For examples: were George W. Bush and Tony Blair executed for invading and destroying Iraq in 2003? Of course not. Neither of them was even imprisoned. Nor were Obama and Sarkozy and Cameron executed for invading and destroying Libya in 2011. Those are only examples, of the basic reality.

This news-report is written so as to place a news-event into its actual context, not divorced from that, as is normal. In other words: it's news instead of propaganda (the latter of which, avoids the relevant context behind the reported event).

*

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of <u>They're Not Even Close:</u> <u>The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010</u>, and of <u>CHRIST'S</u> <u>VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity</u>. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Eric Zuesse</u>, Global Research, 2018

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Eric Zuesse

About the author:

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010, and of CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in

print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca