

Trump Still Contesting Stolen Election 2020

By [Stephen Lendman](#)

Global Research, December 15, 2020

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#)

In-depth Report: [U.S. Elections](#)

"We wuz robbed" applies to US Election 2020 — decided by brazen fraud for losers Biden/Harris over winner Trump.

An illegitimate process prevailed over an open, free and fair one — the latter prohibited in all US federal elections for high office.

Despite little chance of reversing things in his favor, Trump and his legal team continue trying to set the record straight.

I reject both right wings of the US one-party state — the war party, money party, property party, supporting privileged interests exclusively over governance, of, by, and for everyone equitably.

I support open, free, and fair elections. What happened in the run-up to, on November 3, and its aftermath was orchestrated selection of Biden/Harris, not their election.

When inaugurated on January 20 as things now stand, they'll be installed in office illegitimately

On Friday, Trump attorney Rudy Giuliani said the following in response to the Supreme Court's refusal in hear arguments of Election 2020 fraud — supported by hard evidence:

"The case wasn't rejected on the merits. The case was rejected on standing."
See below.

"So the answer to that is to bring the case now to the district court by the president, by some of the electors, alleging some of the same facts where there would be standing."

"There's nothing that prevents us from filing these cases immediately in the district court in which the president of course would have standing."

"And let's see what excuse they can try to use to avoid having a hearing on that."

"Some of the electors would have standing in that their constitutional rights have been violated."

"We're not finished. Believe me."

Separately he said: "We move immediately, seamlessly, to plan B, which is to bring lawsuits now in each one of the states" in question.

"We had them ready. They're just a version of the one that was brought in the Supreme Court."

"So last night, (Trump) made the decision" to proceed.

"Nobody wants to face the reality that this election was stolen."

"This is outrageous what they're doing. The American people should have the benefit of hearing these facts...The facts have been kept from them."

"Not a single court decision has had a hearing yet. They haven't heard from a single witness."

"They haven't looked at a single tape. They haven't listened to a single recording. There are thousands of them."

"They haven't even bothered to look at the tape in Atlanta, Georgia, which is dispositive."

"It shows an ongoing voter theft of 30,000 votes, enough to change the election."

Standing refers to the legal right to sue under federal and individual state laws.

A party may not sue for someone else who is not before the court.

In federal courts, suits cannot be brought over disagreement with government laws or actions.

If the Supreme Court is unwilling to hear for and against arguments of federal election fraud for the nation's highest office by around 80% of US states, how does it justify its existence?

Dozens of Trump team state and federal lawsuits were dismissed out of hand — for alleged lack of standing, including by the US Supreme Court.

The nation's highest court refused to hear and rule on credible evidence of election theft — delegitimizing itself and the federal judicial process at the same time.

The words "Equal Justice Under Law" adorn the Supreme Court Building's west facade.

Facing east is the motto "Justice, the Guardian of Liberty."

Since the Court's 1789 establishment, these words belie many of its rulings. We the people and the rule of law don't matter.

Like many times before, the above mottos were ignored by the High Court for refusing to hear Texas et al v. Pennsylvania et al arguments.

From inception, the US was ruled (largely) by men, not laws or high ethical and moral standards.

The Supreme Court's inaction on a crucial issue last week was the latest example of a nation off-the-rails.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Award-winning author Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net. He is a Research Associate of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG)

His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."

<http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html>

Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com.

Featured image is by viarami / Pixabay

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Stephen Lendman](#), Global Research, 2020

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Stephen Lendman](#)

About the author:

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net. His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."

<http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html> Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com. Listen to cutting-edge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network. It airs three times weekly: live on Sundays at 1PM Central time plus two prerecorded archived programs.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca