

# Trump Regime/Russia New START Talks at Impasse

By Stephen Lendman

Global Research, October 14, 2020

Region: Russia and FSU, USA

Theme: Law and Justice, Militarization and

**WMD** 

In-depth Report: Nuclear War

As long as nuclear weapons exist, the chance of their use ahead — as once before unjustifiably — is ominously high.

Humanity has a clear choice. Eliminate these weapons entirely or risk their eliminating us.

The history of warfare shows that warring sides use whatever weapons exist in their arsenal, if necessary, to win.

If two nuclear armed nations clash one day — notably the US v. Russia or China — these WMDs may be used by both sides to defeat the other.

Nuclear wars if waged are unwinnable. If these weapons used in future warfare, planet earth and humanity will lose.

Arms control is essential for humanity's survival.

New START is the last remaining Russia/US agreement to prevent unconstrained expansion of nukes that can kill us all if detonated in enough numbers.

In 1959, Stanley Kramer's chilling film "On the Beach" portrayed the aftermath of nuclear war — deadly radiation spreading worldwide.

The film's location is Australia, the last part of the world to be irradiated. Its last scene is chilling.

Earlier Melbourne streets showed normal life.

The end shows them empty, devoid of life and windblown.

A church banner ironically reads: "There is Still Time...Brother."

No longer. Radiation poisoning is unforgiving. It has final say.

Kramer's film explains the madness of nuclear war. Yet it's almost never shown in the US.

Its lesson is that if nuclear war is waged, there's no undoing what happens, no second chance, no salvation from slow painful irradiated death.

Famed dancer, singer, actor, choreographer Fred Astaire played an Australian scientist in the film — the role far removed from his usual ones.

He commits carbon monoxide suicide to avoid the agony of death by radiation poisoning.

Extending New START for another five years with no preconditions — as Putin proposed — should be a no-brainer.

Things aren't working out this way. On February 5, 2021, the landmark agreement will expire as long as unacceptable Trump regime demands remain unchanged.

In February 2017 during a Putin/Trump phone conversation, Russia's president urged DJT to extend New START.

Reportedly, Trump paused the discussion to ask aides what New START is all about. He didn't know.

With scant understanding of its details, he subsequently called it a "bad deal" — his faulty indictment similar to other landmark agreements he abandoned, notably the JCPOA and INF Treaty.

Russia/US New START talks are at impasse. As things now stand, the agreement will expire unextended in February.

As a result, the world will be far less safe than already. The risk of nuclear war one day will be greater than now.

Reportedly last month, Trump asked the US Strategic Command to assess how quickly nuclear weapons could be readied for use via bombers, submarines, and missiles (short and long-range) if New START expires.

Trump's envoy for arms control Marshall Billingslea said if Russia doesn't agree to US demands pre-election, "the costs (will) go up."

Russia's chief arms negotiator Deputy Foreign Minister Sergey Ryabkov called chances for extending the agreement "minuscule" because of unacceptable US demands, adding:

"It is up to the United States. The ball is in their court."

"Either they give up their ultimatums and then we can start the talks about something or there's no deal."

Impasse between both sides continues because of the Trump regime's unbending position.

Ryabkov stressed that "there are no grounds for any kind of deal in the form proposed" by Washington.

Trump regime unwillingness to extend New START for another five years with no preconditions, as Putin proposed, shows it's not serious about preserving the landmark agreement.

The cost of its expiration is a hugely dangerous arms race.

It's unclear what a Biden/Harris regime will do if succeeds Trump in January.

Their campaign website says Dems will pursue New START's extension, "us(ing) that as a foundation for new arms control arrangements."

Time and again, politicians say one thing — notably when campaigning — then do something entirely different if elected.

In September, Billingslea said if Russia doesn't agree to Trump regime demands, the US will increase its strategic arsenal "immediately after the expiration of (New START) in February."

Last July, Sergey Lavrov said if the US doesn't agree to extend the agreement, "we will not insist."

Billingslea's undefined short-term extension proposal is unacceptable to Moscow because it would give the US wiggle-room around maintaining what New START stipulates.

Russia wants the agreement preserved in its current form.

With New START as a foundation, Moscow calls for including nuclear armed Britain and France in future arms control talks.

It want related issues negotiated for maintaining strategic stability, including missile defense, ground-based short-and intermediate-range missiles, space and hypersonic weapons.

Billingslea rejected talks on these issues, including removal of US nuclear weapons from Europe, some close to Russia's border.

China expressed unwillingness to join in nuclear arms control talks unless the US decreases its arsenal to match numbers the PLA maintains.

The US has around 6,000 nuclear weapons, China two to three hundred, the latter according to a DOD estimate.

Deputy US Strategic Command's head Admiral David Kriete disagreed with Billingslea's claim about significant New START verification loopholes, saying:

"(V)erification procedures (in place give the US) great insight into Russia's capabilities, numbers, and all kinds of things associated with their nuclear weapons."

Without them, "we would have to go look for other ways to fill in the gaps."

Chief New START negotiator Rose Gottemoeller said its verification procedures used what worked in previous treaties.

The US "got what it wanted in the New START verification regime: streamlined inspection procedures at a sufficient level of detail to be effectively implemented."

Trump regime claims otherwise are false.

If things don't change well before February, New START will likely expire.

If Biden succeeds Trump, it's unclear if he'll urge renewal with no pre-conditions or pursue an arms race instead.

\*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Award-winning author Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at <a href="mailto:lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net">lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net</a>. He is a Research Associate of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG)

His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."

http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html

Visit his blog site at silendman.blogspot.com.

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Stephen Lendman</u>, Global Research, 2020

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

### **Become a Member of Global Research**

#### Articles by: Stephen Lendman

#### About the author:

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net. His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III." http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com. Listen to cuttingedge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network. It airs three times weekly: live on Sundays at 1PM Central time plus two prerecorded archived programs.

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>