

Trump Is Trying to Take Down Latin America's "Troika of Tyranny". Venezuela, Cuba and Nicaragua

By [Andrew Korybko](#)

Global Research, February 02, 2019

Region: [Latin America & Caribbean, USA](#)

Theme: [History](#)

Bolton's description of Venezuela, Cuba, and Nicaragua as a "Troika of Tyranny" a few months ago was a declaration of Hybrid War against the last three geopolitically relevant multipolar countries in the hemisphere, and now Trump's tasked himself with taking down these states by the end of 2019 in order to facilitate the construction of "Fortress America" and boost his reelection prospects.

The New "Axis Of Evil"

Observers should have known that the drums of [Hybrid War](#) would be beating louder than ever before in the Western Hemisphere after Bolton described Venezuela, Cuba, and Nicaragua as a "[Troika of Tyranny](#)" a few months ago, hinting that Trump's most militant foreign policy priority of 2019 will be [Latin America](#) as he attempts to carry out regime changes against its last three geopolitically relevant multipolar countries. The end goal being pursued is the construction of "[Fortress America](#)" – the reestablishment of the US' full and unparalleled dominance over the hemisphere – in order to serve as Washington's ultimate geostrategic fallback plan in the event that it loses some of its standing in Afro-Eurasia throughout the course of the [New Cold War](#), to say nothing of its interest in simply exploiting this transcontinental region as a resource base and a "captive marketplace" for its companies' goods.

The Three Amigos

The US' multipolar Eurasian Great Power rivals of Russia and [especially China](#) have been making impressive inroads in this part of the world over the past decade, which is why Washington wants to remove their "access points" by overthrowing the last three remaining geopolitically relevant governments that opened up Latin America's doors to them. "Operation Condor 2.0", the author's term for the region-wide regime change plan that was put into action by the Obama Administration and continued by Trump's, succeeded in reversing the so-called "Pink Tide" of the mid-2000s and "recapturing" most of the hemisphere, with BRICS-member Brazil being the latest "prize". All that's left for the US to do to regain total control over Latin America is to remove Venezuela, Nicaragua, and Cuba from the strategic equation, seeing as how [Ecuador "sold out"](#) and landlocked Bolivia is "[easily manageable](#)".

Vanquishing Venezuela

The escalating [Hybrid War on Venezuela](#) is rapidly approaching the dangerous point where a [military invasion can't reasonably be ruled out](#) unless the US, Russia, and China strike a

secret deal with one another to organize a “phased leadership transition” there under the aegis of an [Astana-like conference](#) in exchange for self-appointed “interim president” Juan Guaido [respecting](#) his [country’s obligations](#) to its [multipolar creditors](#). Considering that the US and its allies are approaching this situation from a position of strategic strength and are able to shape events much more powerfully than Russia or China, the most realistic scenarios at this point in time and given the information available to the public (which admittedly might not present the most accurate picture) appear to be a civil-international war or regime change by force or diplomacy.

Both scenarios imply that Venezuela will probably cease to function as the [springboard of multipolar influence in the hemisphere](#) that it once was, essentially neutralizing the intangible regional benefits that Russia and China were obtaining from their strategic partnerships with the Bolivarian Republic. Because of its enormous energy reserves, impressive mineral deposits, large population, and extensive soft power sway, Venezuela is the center of multipolar gravity in Latin America and its fall would likely catalyze a chain reaction of regime changes among its remaining allies, or at the very least make it extremely difficult for them to sustain their previously hard-fought sovereignty. Even in the event that the Hybrid War on Venezuela drags on for longer than expected, the US’ weaponization of sanctions against state oil company PDVSA will cause serious problems for Nicaragua and Cuba.

Knocking Down Nicaragua

Those two states are dependent on subsidized Venezuelan oil to uphold their socialist systems, and the US has already decided to [impose “secondary sanctions”](#) against Nicaragua’s Albániza for its relationship with PDVSA. It shouldn’t be forgotten that the Central American country recently came under serious Hybrid War pressure that was only temporarily alleviated last summer after it agreed to allow the US, Taiwan, and several other countries (including Russia, Venezuela, and Cuba) the [right to train their militaries](#) on its territory, though the asymmetrical conflict there could easily heat up again if the [Costa Rican-based “Los Atabales” terrorist group](#) soon takes on a similar function as the Honduran-based “Contras” of the Old Cold War. Taken together, the disruption of Venezuelan oil supplies, more “secondary sanctions”, and the possibility of a “Contra” redux could be all that it takes to make Ortega tap out.

Cuba: The Last One Standing?

As for Cuba, the island nation is a much tougher nut to crack after having over half a century of experience foiling the US’ regime change plots against it, though the exploitation of factional divisions within the communist party and an exacerbation of the delicate economic situation there (through “secondary sanctions” against PDVSA’s partners and the [possible re-designation](#) of the country as a “state sponsor of terrorism”) might be enough to plant the seeds of unrest during this sensitive time in its transitional post-Castro history. Nevertheless, out of the three countries that comprise the US’ so-called “Troika of Tyranny”, Cuba is the one with the great resilience for socio-political and historical reasons, though even it shouldn’t be assumed to be immune to the regime change intrigue that accompanies “Operation Condor 2.0”.

Concluding Thoughts

The US is dead-set on making Latin America its “backyard” once again after losing a lot of its hegemonic influence ever since the end of the Old Cold War and especially after the rise of the “Pink Tide” in the mid-2000s, though its “Operation Condor 2.0” campaign of rolling regime changes against multipolar states in the hemisphere has greatly enabled it to regain its prior geostrategic supremacy in this transcontinental region as it advances its grand vision of constructing “Fortress America”. Fortuitously for Trump, his country’s latest gains are coinciding with his 2020 reelection campaign, meaning that he has a personal political stake in the outcome of this final phase of the hemispheric Hybrid War. If all goes “according to plan”, then Trump will trumpet the overthrow of the “Troika of Tyranny” as his first term’s most prominent foreign policy “success”.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

This article was originally published on [Eurasia Future](#).

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China’s One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is from State of Globe

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Andrew Korybko](#), Global Research, 2019

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Andrew Korybko](#)

About the author:

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China’s One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the

copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca