

Treason and the Supreme War Crime Committed by The British Government

Open Letter to Mr Mel Stride MP for Devon Central

By Dr. David Halpin

Global Research, July 02, 2013

Region: **Europe**

Theme: Crimes against Humanity, Law and

<u>Justice</u>

Mr Mel Stride MP for Devon Central

Dear Mr Stride,

I need to define 'treason' as used below.

Firstly we start with the definition of a supreme war crime as defined at Nurnberg. That is to engage or conspire to engage in aggressive war, 'from which all other crimes flow'.

The UK's part in Iraq was exactly that. So too is the 'war' in Afghanistan, and Libya since. Not one of these wars were/are defensive. In Syria, the war or rebellion, is being fought by surrogates, starting with those champions of democracy, Saudi Arabia and Qatar. That they are being fought by surrogates does not absolve us from the charge. Secondly

"All Members shall refrain in their international relations from the threat or use of force against the territorial integrity or political independence of any state, or in any other manner inconsistent with the Purposes of the United Nations... Nothing contained in the present Charter shall authorize the United Nations to intervene in matters which are essentially within the domestic jurisdiction of any state or shall require the Members to submit such matters to settlement under the present Charter." UN Charter – 1: Purposes and Principles

And now treason.

- a. Nothing that Messrs Hague and Cameron have said or done in regard to Syria can be construed as being in defence of the UK or its interests. Given the threats made by them towards President Assad and Syria, that is treason.
- b. It is common knowledge (PNAC) and via Oded Yinon (adviser to the Israeli Ministry of Foreign Affairs in the '80s) that Syria was to be destroyed or decimated, as the pathway to the same fate for Iran. Netanyahu, who with many world leaders shows plain features of psychopathy, has spoken with other Israelis of a first strike on Iran if it continues its alleged attempts to add one atomic bomb in the ME where Israel has hundreds. The steady escalation we see now with Russian S300 and S400 missiles on station or on their way

threatens world war. That Messrs Cameron and Hague are stirring this cauldron without any advantage for the UK, and every risk, is treason also.

yours sincerely

David Halpin MB BS FRCS

ps I add a quotation from Milton Mayer which should give you pause for thought. His German Jewish family emigrated from Germany to the US before the onslaught. I want people healed, not burned, shredded or killed.

Dear Mr Stride,

Today in Helmand on the 'front line' – Mr Cameron said the political process should mean "those people are prepared to give up the bomb, the bullet, can actually be part of that process, part of that future Afghanistan". Huffington Post But the bomb, the bullet, the SAMs, the heavy machine guns, the mortars for the rabbles.

The rank hypocrisy we hear from this nation's leaders causes millions of us to feel the deepest shame for the lack of principle and law in our nation.

You Mr Stride barred me from writing a second time to the FCO to find out what basis Britain in international law was using in its support for the rabbles and the armed rebellion within a sovereign country. I had considered the letter from Mr Alistair Burt entirely insufficient in its response to me. Perhaps the FCO had prevailed upon you in this.

I want you to recognise that in defending the FCO in its unlawful policies in Syria, you are complicit in that lawlessness.

You need to see this 9 minute film which shocked even this surgeon. Some claim that it is black propaganda; if it is the deception is most elaborate. One question mark is that the Arabic spoken is not as by a native tongue. Another is that one leader in this most gruesome record is wearing traditional Afghan dress. Another is an Uzbek face.

http://www.catholic.org/international/international_story.php?id=51537 linked link to >

http://www.liveleak.com/view?i=ead 1372329728

I ask that you 1. support Mr Baron in his demand for a debate and 2. that you confirm Mr Cameron's statement that he does not think a Commons debate to be necessary is wrong.

For the PM to be using, in effect, the royal prerogative, in a lawless campaign is treason in my book. I repeat – treason.

yours sincerely

David Halpin MB BS FRCS

cc to my web site to join the previous correspondence

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Dr. David Halpin

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca