

# Transform the Labour Party into a Vehicle to Promote a New Socialist Vision?

By [William Bowles](#)

Region: [Europe](#)

Global Research, September 21, 2015

[WilliamBowles.info](#) 19 September 2015

*I ended my [last piece](#) with this:*

*But assuming JC [Jeremy Corbyn] makes all the right calls, could it, a reborn Labour Party lead to a new call for an end to the madness of capitalism and exactly 130 years after Morris made his plea?*

Think about it. Transform the Labour Party into a vehicle to promote a new socialist vision? Who is kidding who here?

Of course I welcome his victory but it may well be a pyrrhic one, already the corporate media is predicting JC's end with a survey showing, allegedly, that only one fifth of something or other will vote for him in the next election. For more on this, see [Our feral, lying, good for nothing media](#) by Richard Seymour who deals very effectively with today's front page story in the *Independent* which alleges that "Corbyn 'loses fifth of Labour voters'". So what else is new? Clearly those who voted for Corbyn could care less what the corporate/state media say about him. In fact I suspect many voted for him just *because* the corporate/state media did such an evil hatchet-job on him, especially so given how blatant the *ad hominem* attacks have been and continue to be.

In this sense, it just reveals what an uphill struggle we've got and frankly, Jeremy Corbyn is not the solution, if anything he's a symptom of the malaise that is our degenerate, late capitalism. Sitting in Parliament for thirty years and aside from attending the odd demo and signing petitions, he has grandstanded the rise of the corporate-security state (Fascism) in a political party that long ago gave up any pretense at being socialist, or even dreaming about the idea. JC is fully paid member of the professional political class.

I hope you see *my* dilemma? For on the one hand JC does speak the truth to power but on the other he does it within the straight-jacket of corporate, party politics precisely where the power he challenges, resides. How are these two mutually exclusive positions to coexist? The truth is, they can't. One will have to go. All it takes is for JC to make just one, 'ill-considered remark' and it'll be another 'Night of the Long Knives' for JC. In a media-saturated environment people are made and broken overnight. Taking care of this throwback to the past, won't be a problem.

Let's face it, Corbyn is an 'aberration' in the scheme of things. Agreed, he is a spanner in the works of faux democracy, but any loyal (to the Party) Labour MP would not have run for the office in the first place (we saw one rumour, again in the *Independent*, alleging a close advisor to JC advocating that he pull out of the race, no doubt 'in the interests of Party

unity'). But what does JC have to lose? He's 71 and coming to the end of his political life, so hopefully even if he crashes and burns, his corpse will light a fire elsewhere.

Whatever. What's important about Corbyn is the fact that for the first time in public, issues are being raised that the corporate/state media will not go near, hence the vicious attacks on JC which are all designed not only to discredit him but perhaps even more important, divert our attention away from these issues.

So as far as I'm concerned it's not really about the Labour Party at all, although it may play a role in Corbyn's thinking, one which is echoed in other lefty [writing](#) on the subject that somehow sees Corbyn as a catalyst for a resurgence of the Labour Party as it once was, namely a reformist party working hand-in-glove with capitalism in return for some kind of welfare state for its voters. Dream on...

The question is; can the support for Corbyn be transformed from a flash-in-the-pan into something more deep-rooted and sustainable? Is the current left capable of taking on this task? Jeremy Corbyn is secretary of Stop the War Coalition, itself effectively an extension of the Socialist Workers Party, the largest party on the left. I'll be blunt, I have no time for Stop the War or the SWP, they have both played opportunistic games and worse, they have failed miserably to utilise the support they gained in the initial opposition to Blair's invasion of Iraq. And elsewhere on the left, well frankly there's nothing aside from a denuded and fragmented Communist Party and other odds and sods. In actuality, the bulk of the British left is little more than a figment of the imaginations of a few lefty academics, who have made a living out of writing about each other, or am I being too cruel?

No, I don't think so. For the most part, opposition is fragmented into possibly hundreds of single issue campaigns of one kind or another, some of which are anti-capitalist, most of which are not. But mention the word socialism though and you're dead in the water which makes it very difficult to connect issues to their source: capitalism. The left even has a problem in identifying on whose behalf it's allegedly conducting class war. Thus endless battles are fought over what constitutes the 'real' working class we should (or shouldn't) be fighting for?

Our left, in all its various forms, exists in a world it was never made for. Born in the 19th century out of the struggles of the industrial working class, it now lives on in a world that no longer has an industrial working class to speak of, advanced or otherwise.

And don't forget we are still citizens of Empire which 'bestows' certain favours upon us. Not all of us to be sure but enough of us to make it matter when it comes to supporting or at the very least acquiescing to our murderous ways with the world.

When my previous essay was republished on [Dandelion Salad](#) the other day, one response asked, "an impressively honest piece. The question is what the political strategic solution is[?]"

I wish I knew. Why did Syriza cave in to the international capitalist mafia? Did it not trust the folks who elected them to support them in their struggle with the money men? Or perhaps those at the top of Syriza are just too damn comfortable to really care about the people that elected them? Maybe they needed a few nights of sleeping on the street?

As to a 'solution', well clearly it has to be extra-parliamentary which means not only

organising but developing a coherent alternative to offer. Not an especially difficult objective I would have thought. In the meantime, we could do well to start to reestablish our relationship with the world, not as Masters of the Universe but as part of a common humanity that capitalism has degraded and commodified, alienating us from Nature, from the world and could possibly end up exterminating our species through its greed. Is this too vague? Well maybe...

The original source of this article is [WilliamBowles.info](http://WilliamBowles.info)  
Copyright © [William Bowles](http://WilliamBowles.info), [WilliamBowles.info](http://WilliamBowles.info), 2015

---

**[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)**

**[Become a Member of Global Research](#)**

Articles by: [William Bowles](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)