

TPP Ignores "Global Warming" and Allows "Murder" of Labor Union Organizers

By Eric Zuesse

Global Research, November 07, 2015

Theme: Environment, Global Economy

U.S. President Barack Obama's capstone to his Presidency, his proposed megalithic international 'trade' treaties, are finally coming into their home-stretch, with the Pacific deal finally being made public on Thursday November 5th.

The final Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) proposed treaty would leave each signatory nation liable to be sued by any international corporation that objects to any new regulation, or increase in regulation, regarding climate change, otherwise known as global warming. In no terminology is that phenomenon even so much as just mentioned in the <u>"Environment"</u> chapter.

Regarding labor issues, including slavery, the <u>"Labour"</u> chapter of the TPP contains merely platitudes. (<u>Obama allowed Malaysia into the compact despite its notoriously poor record of non-enforcement of its ban on slavery</u>, because <u>he wants the U.S. to control the Strait of Malacca in order to impede China's economic and military expansion; it's part of Obama's anti-China policy</u>. Almost everything that he does has different motives than the ones his rhetoric claims.)

Throughout, the treaty would place international corporations in ever-increasing control over all regulations regarding workers' rights, the environment, product safety, and consumer protection. But the environmental and labor sections are particularly blatant insults to the public — a craven homage to the top stockholders in international corporations. The world's richest 80 people own the same amount of wealth as the world's bottom 50%; and Obama represents those and other super-rich and their friends and servants in the lobbying and other associated industries. But he also represents the even richer people who aren't even on that list, such as King Salman of Saudi Arabia, the world's richest person. It's people such as that who will be the real beneficiaries of Obama's 'trade' treaties. The public will beharmed, enormously, wherever these treaties become law.

The full meaning of the terms that are set forth in the TPP agreement won't be publicly known for at least four years, but the explicit terms that were made public on November 5th, and that will be presented to the 12 participating nations for signing, are entirely consistent with what had been expected on the basis of wikileaks and other earlier published information.

The 12 participating nations are: Australia, Brunei, Canada, Chile, Japan, Malaysia, Mexico, New Zealand, Peru, Singapore, United States, and Vietnam. Three countries were excluded by U.S. President Obama, because the U.S. doesn't yet control them and they are instead viewed as being not allied with the main axis of U.S. international power: U.S., Saudi Arabia, Qatar, Turkey, and Israel. Those three outright-excluded countries are Russia, China, and

India. (India, of course, has hostile relations with Pakistan, which is Sunni and therefore part of the Saudi-Qatar-Turkey portion of the U.S. international core, basically the Sunni portion of the core. By contrast, Russia and China have been determinedly independent of the U.S., and are therefore treated by President Obama as being hostile nations: he wants instead to isolate them, to choke off their access to markets, as much as possible. This same motivation also factored largely in his coup to take control of Ukraine, through which Russia's gas passes on its way into the EU, the world's largest gas-market.)

6 nations that Obama had invited into the TPP were ultimately unwilling to accept Obama's terms and so were excluded when the final text was published: Colombia, Philippines, Thailand, Taiwan, South Korea, and Indonesia.

The phrases "global warming" and "climate change" don't appear anywhere in the entire TPP document, nor does "climate" nor "warming" — it's an area that's entirely left to international corporations in each one of the separate participating nations to assault as much as they wish in order to gain competitive advantage against all of the other corporations that operate in the given nation: i.e., something for each corporation to sacrifice in order to be able to lower the given company's costs. That raises its profit-margin. This also means that if any international corporation claims to be subjected in any participating nation, to global-warming regulation or enforcement which poses a barrier or impediment to that corporation's profits, then that corporation may sue that given nation, and fines might be assessed against that nation (i.e., against its taxpayers) for such regulation or enforcement. National publics are no longer sovereign.

The "Labour" chapter is a string of platitudes, such as, "Article 19.7: Corporate Social Responsibility: Each Party shall endeavor to encourage enterprises to voluntarily adopt corporate social responsibility initiatives on labour issues that have been endorsed or supported by that Party."

President Obama's Trade Representative, his longtime personal friend Michael Froman, organized and largely wrote Obama's proposed trade treaties: TPP for the Pacific, and TTIP and TISA for the Atlantic. Froman told the AFL-CIO and U.S. Senators that when countries such as Colombia systematically murder labor-union organizers, it's no violation of workers' rights — nothing that's of any concern to the U.S. regarding this country's international trade policies or the enforcement of them. On 22 April 2015, Huffington Post, one of the few U.S. news media to report honestly on these treaties, bannered "AFL-CIO's Trumka: USTR Told Us Murder Isn't A Violation," and Michael McAuliff reported that, "Defenders of the White House push for sweeping trade deals argue they include tough enforcement of labor standards. But a top union leader scoffed at such claims Tuesday, revealing that [Obama] administration officials have said privately that they don't consider even the killings of labor organizers to be violations of those pacts."

In other words: This is, and will be, the low level of the playing-field that U.S. workers will be competing against in TPP etc., just as it is already, in the far-smaller existing NAFTA (which Hillary Clinton had helped to pass in Congress during the early 1990s). (Bill Clinton, Hillary Clinton, and Barack Obama, all campaigned for the Presidency by attacking Republicans for pushing such 'trade' deals. Their actions when they gain power, contradict their words. America and virtually the entire world has become rule of a <u>suckered</u> public, by perhaps as many as a thousand psychopathic aristocrats who own the international corporations and 'news' media, and who regularly do business with each other though they wall themselves

off from the public.

Typically, at their level, it makes no real difference which country their passport is from.) "Trumka said that even after the Obama administration crafted an agreement to tighten labor protections four years ago, some 105 labor organizers have been killed, and more than 1,300 have been threatened with death." The Obama Administration is ignoring the tightened regulations that it itself had managed to get nominally implemented on paper. "Pressed for details about Trumka's assertion that murder doesn't count as a violation of labor rules, Thea Lee, the AFL-CIO deputy chief of staff, told HuffPost that USTR officials said in at least two meetings where she was present that killing and brutalizing organizers would not be considered interfering with labor rights under the terms of the trade measures."

Furthermore: "'We documented five or six murders of Guatemalan trade unionists that the government had failed to effectively investigate or prosecute,' Lee said. 'The USTR told us that the murders of trade unionists or violence against trade unionists was not a violation of the labor chapter.'"

That U.S. Trade Representative, Michael Froman, is the same person Obama has negotiating with foreign governments, and with international corporations, both Obama's TPP, and his TTIP & TISA.

The most important chapter in the TPP treaty is "Dispute Settlement," which sets forth the means by which corporations will sue countries for alleged violations of their stockholders 'rights' to extract profits from operations of those corporations in the signatory countries. The underlying assuption here is that the rights of international stockholders take precedence over the rights (even over the sovereignty rights) of the citizens of any participating country.

Instead of these suits being judged according to any nation's laws, they are allowed to be addressed only by means of private arbitration "Panels." The Dispute Settlement chapter contains "Article 28.9: Composition of Panels." Section #1 there is simply: "The panel shall comprise three members." Each of the two Parties will appoint a member; one for the suing corporation, and the other for the sued nation; and both of those members will then jointly select a third member "from the roster established pursuant to Article 28.10.3"; and this third member will automatically "serve as chair."

Article 28.10.3 says that anyone who possesses "expertise or experience in law, international trade, other matters covered by this Agreement, or the resolution of disputes arising under international trade agreements" may be selected for the roster, so long as the individual meets vague criteria such as that they "be independent of, and not be affiliated with or take instructions from, any Party." No penalty is laid out for anyone on the roster who lies about any of that. Basically, anyone may become a person on the roster, even non-lawyers may, and even corrupt individuals may, especially because there are no penalties for anyone on the roster, none at all is stated.

Then, "Article 28.19," section 8: "If a monetary assessment is to be paid to the complaining Party, then it shall be paid in U.S. currency, or in an equivalent amount of the currency of the responding Party or in another currency agreed to by the disputing Parties."

There is no appeals-process. If a nation gets fined and yet believes that something was wrong with the panel's decision, there is no recourse. No matter how much a particular

decision might happen to have been arrived at in contradiction of that nation's laws and courts and legal precedents, the panels' decisions aren't appealable in any national legal system. Whatever precedents might become established from these panels' subsequent record of decisions will constitute no part of any nation's legal system, but instead create an entirely new forming body of case-law in an evolving international government which consists of international corporations and their panelists, and of whatever other panelists are acceptable to those corporate panelists. Voters have no representation, they're merely sued. Stockholders have representation, they do the suing, of the various nations' taxpayers, for 'violating' the 'rights' of stockholders.

The roster of authorized panelists available to be chosen by any corporation's panelists in conjunction with by any nation's panelists, is <u>customarily composed of individuals who move back and forth between government and private-sector roles, through a "revolving door," so that on both ends of that, the ultimate control is with the owners of the controlling blocs of stock in various international corporations. This is the newly evolving world government. It will not block any nation from legislating protections of workers, or of consumers, or of the environment; it will simply hold a power to extract from any participating nation's taxpayers fines for 'violating' the 'rights' of stockholders in international corporations. Citizens will increasingly be held under the axe, and the top stockholders in international corporations will be holding it. This isn't the type of world government that was anticipated by Franklin Delano Roosevelt, Albert Einstein, the founders of the U.N., and by the other early (pre-1954) proponents of world government. But, since 1954, the plans for this antidemocratic form of emerging world government were laid; and, now, those plans are the ones that are being placed into effect.</u>

Thus, on 26 October 2015, the United Nations Independent Expert on the Promotion of a Democratic and Equitable International Order, the international legal expert Alfred de Zayas, headlined, "UN expert calls for abolition of Investor-State dispute settlement arbitrations." That's the system, otherwise called "ISDS," which already exists in a few much smaller international-trade treaties, and which is now being introduced on the largest scale ever in TPP and in Obama's other proposed treaties. The U.N. press release, calling for its "abolition" or explicit outlawing, said:

In his fourth report to the UN General Assembly, Mr. de Zayas focuses on the adverse human rights impacts of free trade and investment agreements and calls for the abolition of Investor-State dispute settlement mechanism (ISDS) that accompanies most of these agreements.

"Over the past twenty-five years bilateral international treaties and free trade agreements with investor-state-dispute-settlement have adversely impacted the international order and undermined fundamental principles of the UN, State sovereignty, democracy and the rule of law. It prompts moral vertigo in the unbiased observer," he noted.

Far from contributing to human rights and development, ISDS has compromised the State's regulatory functions and resulted in growing inequality among States and within them," the expert stated.

Earlier, on 5 May 2015, I headlined, <u>"UN Lawyer Calls TTP & TTIP 'a dystopian future in which corporations and not democratically elected governments call the shots'."</u> I close now by repeating the opening of that report:

The Obama-proposed international-trade deals, if passed into law, will lead to <u>"a dystopian future in which corporations and not democratically elected governments call the shots,"</u> says Alfred De Zayas, the UN's Special Rapporteur on Promotion of a Democratic and Equitable International Order.

These two mammoth trade-pacts, one (TTIP) for Atlantic nations, and the other (TTP) for Pacific nations excluding China (since Obama is against China), would transfer regulations of corporations to corporations themselves, and away from democratically elected governments. Regulation of working conditions and of the environment, as well as of product-safety including toxic foods and poisonous air and other consumer issues, would be placed into the hands of panels whose members will be appointed by large international corporations. Their decisions will remove the power of democratically elected governments to control these things. "Red tape" that's imposed by elected national governments would be eliminated — replaced by the international mega-corporate version.

De Zayas was quoted in Britain's Guardian on May 4th as saying also that, "The bottom line is that these agreements must be revised, modified or terminated," because they would vastly harm publics everywhere, even though they would enormously benefit the top executives of corporations by giving them control as a sort of corporate-imposed world government, answerable to the people who control those corporations.

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of <u>They're Not Even Close:</u> <u>The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010</u>, and of <u>CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity</u>.

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © Eric Zuesse, Global Research, 2015

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Eric Zuesse

About the author:

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010, and of CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance

a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca