

Top Secret CIA 'Official History' of the Bay of Pigs: Revelations

'Friendly Fire' Reported as CIA Personnel Shot at Own Aircraft

By <u>Peter Kornbluh</u> Global Research, August 16, 2011 <u>National Security Archive</u> 15 August 2011 Region: <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Intelligence</u>

New Revelations on Assassination Plots, Use of Americans in Combat

National Security Archive FOIA Lawsuit Obtains Release of Last Major Internal Agency Compilation on Paramilitary Invasion of Cuba

<u>Newsweek</u> runs article by Historian Robert Dallek based on Archive work

Archive Cuba Project posts Four Volumes; calls for declassification of still secret Volume 5

National Security Archive Electronic Briefing Book No. 355

For more information contact: Peter Kornbluh – 202/374-7281 or by <u>email</u>

Washington, D.C., August 15, 2011 – In the heat of the battle at the Bay of Pigs, the lead CIA field operative aboard one of the transport boats fired 75mm recoilless rifles and .50-caliber machine guns on aircraft his own agency had supplied to the exile invasion force, striking some of them. With the CIA-provided B-26 aircraft configured to match those in the Cuban air force, "we couldn't tell them from the Castro planes," according to the operative, Grayston Lynch. "We ended up shooting at two or three of them. We hit some of them there because when they came at us...it was a silhouette, that was all you could see."

This episode of 'friendly fire' is one of many revelations contained in the Top Secret multivolume, internal CIA report, "The Official History of the Bay of Pigs Operation." Pursuant to a <u>Freedom of Information lawsuit</u> (FOIA) filed by the National Security Archive on the 50th anniversary of the invasion last April, the CIA has now declassified four volumes of the massive, detailed, study-over 1200 pages of comprehensive narrative and documentary appendices.

Archive Cuba specialist Peter Kornbluh, who filed the lawsuit, hailed the release as "a major

advance in obtaining the fullest possible record of the most infamous debacle in the history of the CIA's covert operations." The Bay of Pigs, he noted, "remains fundamentally relevant to the history of the CIA, of U.S. foreign policy, and of U.S. intervention in Cuba and Latin America. It is a clandestine history that must be understood in all its inglorious detail."

In an <u>article published today</u> in the "Daily Beast," Kornbluh described the ongoing "FOIA wars" with the CIA to obtain the declassification of historical documents the CIA continues to keep secret. He characterized the process of pressing the CIA to release the Official History and other historically significant documents as "the bureaucratic equivalent of passing a kidney stone."

The "Official History of the Bay of Pigs Operations" was written between 1974 and 1984 by Jack Pfeiffer, a member of the Agency's staff who rose to become the CIA's Chief Historian. After he retired in the mid 1980s, Pfeiffer attempted to obtain the declassification of Volumes 4 and 5 of his study, which contained his lengthy and harsh critiques of two previous official investigations of the Bay of Pigs: the report of the Presidential Commission led by Gen. Maxwell Taylor; and the CIA's own Inspector General's report written in the aftermath of the failed assault. Both the Taylor Commission and the IG report held the CIA primarily responsible for the failure of the invasion—a position Pfeiffer rejected. The CIA released only the Taylor critique, but Pfeiffer never circulated it.

According to Kornbluh, Pfeiffer saw as his mission to spread the blame for the debacle of "JMATE"—the codename for the operation—beyond the CIA headquarters at Langley, VA. Kornbluh characterized the study as "not only the official history, but the official defense of the CIA's legacy that was so badly damaged on the shores of Cuba;" and he predicted its declassification "would revive the 'who-lost-Cuba' blame game" that has accompanied the historical debate over the failed invasion for fifty years.

The Archive is posting all four volumes today. They are described below:

Volume 1: Air Operations, March 1960 to April 1961 (Part 1 Part 2 | Part 3)

The opening volume examines the critical component of the invasion—the CIA-created air force, the preliminary airstrikes, and the air battle over Cuba during the three day attack. The study forcefully addresses the central "who-lost-Cuba" debate that broke out in the aftermath of the failed invasion. It absolves the CIA of blame, and places it on the Kennedy White House and other agencies for decisions relating to the preliminary airstrikes and overt air cover that, according to the Official History, critically compromised the success of the operation. "[I]in its attempts to meet its official obligations in support of the official, authorized policy of the U.S. government—to bring about the ouster of Fidel Castro—the agency was not well served by the Kennedy White House, Secretary of State Rusk, the Joint Chiefs of Staff, or the U.S. Navy," the CIA historian concludes. "The changes, modifications, distortions, and lack of firm, positive guidance related to air operations—the key to the success or failure of U.S. policy vis-à-vis Castro—make clear that the collapse of the beachhead at Playa Giron was a shared responsibility. When President Kennedy [during his post-invasion press conference] proclaimed his sole responsibility for the operation there was more truth to his statement than he really believed or than his apologists will accept."

Besides the 'friendly fire" episode, Volume 1 contains a number of colorful revelations. Among them:

Only days before the invasion, the CIA tried to entice Cuba's top diplomat, foreign minister Raul Roa, to defect. "Our contact with Raul Roa reports that this defection attempt is still alive although Roa would make no firm commitment or promise on whether he would defect in the U.N.," operations manager, Jacob Esterline, noted in a secret April 11, 1961 progress report on invasion planning. "Roa has requested that no further contact be made at this time." Like the invasion itself, the Agency's effort for a dramatic propaganda victory over Cuba was unsuccessful. "The planned defection did not come off," concedes the Official History.

In coordination with the preliminary airstrike on April 14, the CIA, with the support of the Pentagon, requested permission for a series of "large-scale sonic booms" over Havana—a psychological operations tactic the Agency had successfully employed in the overthrow of Jacobo Arbenz in Guatemala in 1954. "We were trying to create confusion, and so on," a top-level CIA invasion planner stated. "I thought a sonic boom would be a helluva swell thing, you know. Break all the windows in downtown Havana...distract Castro." Trying to maintain "plausible denial" of Washington's role, the State Department rejected the request as "too obviously U.S." The Official History records General Curtis Lemay demanding on the telephone to know "who was the sonofabitch who didn't approve" the request.

Several damaged invasion airplanes made emergency landings on the Grand Cayman Islands, and were seized by local authorities. The situation created an awkward diplomatic situation with Great Britain; details of the negotiations between the U.S. and England are redacted but the CIA did suggest making the argument that if the planes were not released, Castro would think the Caymans were being used as a launch site for the invasion and respond aggressively.

As Castro's forces gained the upper hand against the invasion, Agency planners reversed a decision against widespread use of napalm bombs "in favor of anything that might reverse the situation in Cuba in favor of the Brigade forces."

Although the CIA had been admonished by both the Eisenhower and Kennedy White House to make sure that the U.S. hand did not show in the invasion, during the fighting headquarters authorized American pilots to fly planes over Cuba. Secret instructions quoted in theOfficial History state that Americans could pilot planes but only over the beachhead and not inland. "American crews must not fall into hands enemy," warned the instructions. If they did "[the] U.S. will deny any knowledge." Four American pilots and crew died when their planes were shot down over Cuba. The Official History contains private correspondence with family members of some of the pilots.

Volume II: "Participation in the Conduct of Foreign Policy" (Part 1 | Part 2)

Volume 2 provides new details on the negotiations and tensions with other countries which the CIA needed to provide logistical and infrastructure support for the invasion preparations. The volume describes Kennedy Administration efforts to sustain the cooperation of Guatemala, where the main CIA-led exile brigade force was trained, as well as the deals made with Gen. Anastacio Somoza and his brother Luis, then the President of Nicaragua. The Official Historypoints out that CIA personnel simply took over diplomatic functions from the State Department in both countries. "In the instance of Guatemala, the U.S. Ambassador for all practical purposes became 'inoperative'; and in Nicaragua the opposite condition prevailed—anything that the Agency suggested received ambassadorial blessing." Among the revelations:

While attending John F. Kennedy's inauguration in Washington in January 1961, General Anastacio Somoza met secretly with CIA director Allen Dulles to discuss the creation of JMTIDE, the cryptonym for the airbase the CIA wanted to use in Puerto Cabezas, Nicaragua to launch the attack on Cuba. Somoza explicitly raised Nicaragua's need for two development loans totaling \$10 million. The CIA subsequently pressed the State Department to support the loans, one of which was from the World Bank.

President Luis Somoza demanded assurances that the U.S. would stand behind Nicaragua once it became known that the Somozas had supported the invasion. Somoza told the CIA representative that "there are some long-haired Department of State liberals who are not in favor of Somoza and they would welcome this as a source of embarrassment for his government."

Guatemalan President Miguel Ydigoras Fuentes repeatedly told CIA officials that he wanted to "see Guatemalan Army and Air Force personnel participate in the air operations against Castro's Cuba."

The dictator of the Dominican Republic, Rafael Trujillo, offered his country's territory in support of the invasion. His quid pro quo was a U.S. assurance to let Trujillo "live out the rest of his days in peace." The State Department rejected the offer; Trujillo, whose repression and corruption was radicalizing the left in the Dominican Republic, was later assassinated by CIA-backed groups.

Volume III: "Evolution of CIA's Anti-Castro Policies, 1951- January 1961"

This volume provides the most detailed available account of the decision making process in the White House, CIA and State Department during the Eisenhower administration that led to the Bay of Pigs invasion. The CIA previously declassified this 300-page report in 1998, pursuant to the Kennedy Assassination Records Act; but it was not made public until 2005 when Villanova professor of political science David Barrett found it in an obscure file at the National Archives, and first posted it on his university's website.

This volume contains significant new information, and a number of major revelations, particularly regarding Vice-President Richard Nixon's role and the CIA's own expectations for the invasion, and on CIA assassination attempts against Fidel Castro.

A small group of high-level CIA officials sought to use part of the budget of the invasion to finance a collaboration with the Mafia to assassinate Castro. In an interview with the CIA historian, former chief of the invasion task force, Jacob Esterline, said that he had been asked to provide money from the invasion budget by J.C. King, the head of the Western Hemisphere. "Esterline claimed that on one occasion as chief/w4, he refused to grant Col J.C. King, chief WH Division, a blank check when King refused to tell Jake the purpose for which the check was intended. Esterline reported that King nonetheless got a FAN number from the Office of Finance and that the money was used to pay the Mafia-types." TheOfficial History also notes that invasion planners discussed pursuing "Operation AMHINT to set up a program of assassination"—although few details were provided. In November 1960, Edward Lansdale, a counterinsurgency specialist in the U.S. military who later conceived of Operation Mongoose, sent the invasion task force a "MUST GO LIST" of 11 top Cuban officials, including Che Guevera, Raul Castro, Blas Roca and Carlos Raphael Rodriguez.

Vice-President Nixon, who portrayed himself in his memoirs as one of the original architects of the plan to overthrow Castro, proposed to the CIA that they support "goon squads and other direct action groups" inside and outside of Cuba. The Vice President repeatedly sought to interfere in the invasion planning. Through his national security aide, Nixon demanded that William Pawley, "a big fat political cat," as Nixon's aide described him to the CIA, be given briefings and access to CIA officers to share ideas. Pawley pushed the CIA to support untrustworthy exiles as part of the effort to overthrow Castro. "Security already has been damaged severely," the head of the invasion planning reported, about the communications made with one, Rubio Padilla, one of Pawley's favorite militants.

In perhaps the <u>most important revelation</u> of the entire official history, the CIA task force in charge of the paramilitary assault did not believe it could succeed without becoming an open invasion supported by the U.S. military. On page 149 of Volume III, Pfeiffer quotes still-secret minutes of the Task Force meeting held on November 15, 1960, to prepare a briefing for the new President-elect, John F. Kennedy: "Our original concept is now seen to be unachievable in the face of the controls Castro has instituted," the document states. "Our second concept (1,500-3000 man force to secure a beach with airstrip) is also now seen to be unachievable, except as a joint Agency/DOD action."

This candid assessment was not shared with the President-elect then, nor later after the inauguration. As Pfeiffer points out, "what was being denied in confidence in mid-November 1960 became the fact of the Zapata Plan and the Bay of Pigs Operation in March 1961"—run only by the CIA, and with a force of 1,200 men.

Volume IV: The Taylor Committee Investigation of the Bay of Pigs

This volume, which Pfeiffer wrote in an "unclassified" form with the intention of publishing it after he left the CIA, represents his forceful rebuttal to the findings of the Presidential Commission that Kennedy appointed after the failed invasion, headed by General Maxwell Taylor. In the introduction to the 300 pages volume, Pfeiffer noted that the CIA had been given a historical "bum rap" for "a political decision that insured the military defeat of the anti-Castro forces"—a reference to President Kennedy's decision not to provide overt air cover and invade Cuba after Castro's forces overwhelmed the CIA-trained exile Brigade. The Taylor Commission, which included Attorney General Robert Kennedy, he implied, was biased to defend the President at the expense of the CIA. General Taylor's "strongest tilts were toward deflecting criticism of the White House," according to the CIA historian.

According to Pfeiffer, this volume would present "the first and only detailed examination of the work of, and findings of, the Taylor Commission to be based on the complete record." His objective was to offer "a better understanding of where the responsibility for the fiasco truly lies." To make sure the reader fully understood his point, Pfeiffer ended the study with an "epilogue" consisting of a one paragraph quote from an interview that Raul Castro gave to a Mexican journalist in 1975. "Kennedy vacillated," Castro stated. "If at that moment he had decided to invade us, he could have suffocated the island in a sea of blood, but he would have destroyed the revolution. Lucky for us, he vacillated."

After leaving the CIA in the mid 1980s, Pfeiffer filed a freedom of information act suit to obtain the declassification of this volume, and volume V, of his study, which he intended to publish as a book, defending the CIA. The CIA did eventually declassify volume IV, but withheld volume V in its entirety. Pfeiffer never published the book and this volume never really circulated publicly.

Volume V: The Internal Investigation Report [Still Classified]

Like his forceful critique of the Taylor Commission, Pfeiffer also wrote a critique of the CIA's own Inspector General's report on the Bay of Pigs—<u>"Inspector General's Survey of Cuban Operation"</u>-written by a top CIA officer, Lyman Kirkpatrick in 1961. Much to the surprise and chagrin of top CIA officers at the time, Kirkpatrick laid the blame for the failure squarely at the feet of his own agency, and particularly the chief architect of the operation, Deputy Director of Plans, Richard Bissell. The operation was characterized by "bad planning," "poor" staffing, faulty intelligence and assumptions, and "a failure to advise the President that success had become dubious." Moreover, "plausible denial was a pathetic illusion," the report concluded. "The Agency failed to recognize that when the project advanced beyond the stage of plausible denial it was going beyond the area of Agency responsibility as well as Agency capability." In his cover letter to the new CIA director, John McCone, Kirkpatrick identified what he called "a tendency in the Agency to gloss over CIA inadequacies and to attempt to fix all of the blame for the failure of the invasion upon other elements of the Government, rather than to recognize the Agency's weaknesses."

Pfeiffer's final volume contains a forceful rebuttal of Kirkpatrick's focus on the CIA's own culpability for the events at the Bay of Pigs. Like the rest of the Official History, the CIA historian defends the CIA against criticism from its own Inspector General and seeks to spread the "Who Lost Cuba" blame to other agencies and authorities of the U.S. government, most notably the Kennedy White House.

When Pfeiffer first sought to obtain declassification of his critique, the Kirkpatrick report was still secret. The CIA was able to convince a judge that national security would be compromised by the declassification of Pfeiffer's critique which called attention to this extremely sensitive Top Secret report. But in 1998, Peter Kornbluh and the National Security Archive used the FOIA to force the CIA to declassify the Inspector General's report. (Kornbluh subsequently published it as a book:<u>Bay of Pigs Declassified</u>: The Secret CIA <u>Report on the Invasion of Cuba</u>.) Since the Kirkpatrick report has been declassified for over 13 years, it is unclear why the CIA continues to refuse to declassify a single word of Pfeiffer's final volume.

The National Security Archive remains committed to using all means of legal persuasion to obtain the complete declassification of the final volume of the Official History of the Bay of Pigs Operation.

The original source of this article is <u>National Security Archive</u> Copyright © <u>Peter Kornbluh</u>, <u>National Security Archive</u>, 2011

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Peter Kornbluh

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

<u>www.globalresearch.ca</u> contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca