

Top Public Health Figures Accused of Genocide in Historic Complaint Sent to the International Criminal Court

Theme: <u>Law and Justice</u>

By Lance Johnson Global Research, December 29, 2021 Newstarget 25 December 2021

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Visit and follow us on Instagram at @globalresearch_crg.

Some of the most domineering public health figures during the covid-19 scandal are NAMED in a <u>historic indictment sent to the International Criminal Court</u> (ICC). These government, pharmaceutical and public health officials have ruled un-democratically over the people and imposed harmful policies of subjugation under the guise of "mitigating covid-19."

Their unaccountable policies of subjugation have deprived people of basic human rights and equal opportunity. The defendants have used medical fraud, perpetrated by fraudulently calibrated PCR tests, to propagate a narrative that only emboldens their own power and control over people's lives. Their disregard for efficacious treatments, immune system solutions and their censorship of natural immunity has ravaged the principle of informed consent, contributed to iatrogenic error and caused undo separation, isolation, medical malpractice and wrongful death. Their policies have forced provably dangerous genetic experiments onto people using discrimination, segregation and threats to one's livelihood. These defendants have subverted the rule of law for nearly two years, ruining countless lives.

Fauci, Daszak, Gates, Hancock, Schwab all named in historic indictment

These beleaguered officials include

Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, the director-general of the World Health Organization (WHO);

June Raine, executive director of the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA);

Dr. Anthony Fauci, director of the U.S. National Institutes of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID);

Dr. Radiv Shah, president of the Rockefeller Foundation;

Dr. Peter Daszak, president of EcoHealth Alliance and Bill and Melinda Gates, who have openly funded and promoted much of these well-documented crimes against humanity.

The defendants also include notable UK officials, including

Boris Johnson, UK Prime Minister;

Christopher Whitty, UK Chief Medical Adviser;

Matthew Hancock, former UK Secretary of State for Health and Social Care; and

Klaus Schwab, President of the World Economic Forum.

The criminal complaint also lists the CEOs of four major pharmaceutical companies, who continue to commit acts of genocide through experimental gene interference "vaccines" that <u>damage the cardiovascular system</u>, <u>innate immune responses</u> and the reproductive health of women, among thousands of medical concerns documented by pharmacovigilance systems around the world.

These defendants include

Albert Bourla, CEO of Pfizer;

Stephane Bancel, CEO of AstraZeneca;

Pascal Soriot, CEO of Moderna and

Alex Gorsky, CEO of Johnson and Johnson.

The criminal complaint is brought forth by

Former Pfizer vice president Dr. Michael Yeadon

Human rights lawyer Hannah Rose.

Astrophysicist Piers Corbyn,

Retired law enforcement officer Mark Sexton,

Nurse Louise Shotbolt,

Funeral director John O'Looney,

and human rights activist Johnny McStay.

The indictment accuses the defendants "of numerous violations of the Nuremberg Code," "crimes of aggression" and "war crimes."

Because the English court system refuses to take up this historic matter, the plaintiffs are asking the ICC with "utmost urgency" to "stop the deployment of COVID vaccines" and "illegal vaccination passports" and "all other types of illegal warfare" that is being "waged

against the people of the United Kingdom" and against people around the world.

Historic indictment documents various crimes against humanity, violations of Nuremberg Code

Public health officials have forced people to use PCR tests that are "completely unreliable" as a diagnostic standard, misleading people and obfuscating data. These tests have been fraudulently calibrated and used to artificially inflate covid-19 case counts and deaths to perpetuate further medical tyranny and deprivation of individual rights.

Effective treatments such as hydroxychloroquine and ivermectin have been suppressed, leading to immune failure and severe disease.

This, in turn, led to reliance on drugs that cause renal failure and subsequent reliance on ventilators, which cause oxidative damage and cytokine storm, <u>damaging lungs and putting</u> <u>patients at a greater risk</u> of life-threatening pneumonia and death.

Moreover, the UK government has failed to investigate the massive wave of vaccine injury and death following covid-19 vaccination. There are at least 395,049 reported adverse reactions to COVID "vaccines" in the U.K. alone.

This experimental gene interference technology was designed from criminal gain-of-function research that weaponized coronavirus spike proteins so that genetic experiments could be deployed through "vaccination" using the engineered spike protein.

This experiment has led to a proven increase in eye disorders, heart inflammation, cardiac arrest and spontaneous abortion. A recent study published in the <u>New England Medical</u> <u>Journal</u> showed 8 in 10 women had a miscarriage after taking a Covid 'vaccine' before the third trimester.

Furthermore, the defendants' lock down policies have not provably altered the course of infection in the public and have caused "wealth and business destruction, along with a sharp increase in ChildLine calls from children who were made more vulnerable due to destructive public health policies.

The defendant's acceptance of vaccine passports has introduced a medical apartheid that violates the medical privacy and body autonomy of individuals through discrimination, segregation and other acts of malice.

Their policies have imposed psychological harm to children and severely deprived the <u>physical liberty</u> of the people, in "violation of fundamental rules of international law."

These violations include travel and assembly bans and forced quarantine and self-isolation without due process of law.

The first principle of the Nuremberg Code is a willingness and informed consent by the person to receive treatment and participate in an experiment.

The person is supposed to activate freedom of choice without the intervention, either through force, deceit, fraud, threat, solicitation or any other type of binding or coercion. This guiding principle of medical ethics and the remaining tenants of the Nuremberg Code have all been violated during the covid-19 scandal. After violating these principles for nearly two years with no remorse, the perpetrators must now be brought to their knees.

Read the full indictment online.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Sources

RioTimesOnline.com

NaturalNews.com

PubMed.gov

NEJM.org

Docdroid.com [PDF]

Featured image is from Children's Health Defense

The original source of this article is <u>Newstarget</u> Copyright © <u>Lance Johnson</u>, <u>Newstarget</u>, 2021

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Lance Johnson

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca