

# It's Time to Cut Zelensky and Ing-wen From the US Dole

By [Patrick MacFarlane](#)

Global Research, October 20, 2022

[The Libertarian Institute](#)

Region: [Europe, USA](#)

Theme: [Military and WMD, US NATO](#)

[War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

\*\*\*

*As it pertains to the American public, Ukraine's response to the Russian invasion can be summed up with two words: "[Zelensky demands](#)."*

To date, Washington elites and their politicians have been happy to provide – at public expense – lining their own pockets in the process.

As of this writing, US aid for Ukraine has reached approximately \$67.5 billion, a figure greater than Russia's [entire 2021 military budget](#). According to the State Department, this support [includes](#) \$15.2 billion in direct military assistance. The support comes although 60-70 percent of lethal aid never reaches the front lines, according to a [now-redacted CBS interview](#) with on-the-ground activists.

Not only is the American taxpayer supporting much of the Ukrainian military, it is also supporting the Ukrainian government. The same working class Americans who were deemed "nonessential" in 2020 – who saw their businesses shuttered and burned down – now have to pay entitlement programs both at home and in Ukraine.

As of September 30, 2022, the US has [provided](#) \$13 billion in "direct budget support," which is ostensibly used;

...to pay government salaries, meet pension obligations, maintain hospitals and schools, and protect critical infrastructure[,] support continuity operations at the national, regional, and local levels, support for [sic] the health sector, agricultural production, civil society, [and enable] programs to hold Russia and its forces accountable for their actions in Ukraine.

Although American taxpayers have already matched Russia's 2021 military budget, Ukrainian president Volodymyr Zelensky only demands more. During an October 4 phone

call, President Biden reviewed Washington's latest \$625 million dole to Zelensky. It [includes](#), *inter alia*, 4 additional High Mobility Artillery Rocket Systems (HIMARS), 16 155mm Howitzers, 75,000 155 mm artillery rounds, 500 precision-guided 155mm artillery rounds, 16 105mm Howitzers, 30,000 120 mm mortar rounds, and 200 MaxxPro Mine Resistant Ambush Protected Vehicles.

This latest boon notwithstanding, in the same phone call, Zelensky [urged](#) Biden to provide Ukraine with air defense systems that will be used to shoot down Russian planes. Par for the course, Washington elites [will provide](#) the weapons systems and have even expedited their shipment per Zelensky's demand.

Much like Washington's response to COVID-19, a no-holds-barred approach to Ukraine is so widely supported, it is a foregone conclusion. Despite this, some Republicans have valiantly opposed this rampant and provocative spending. [Notable](#) dissenters are: Sen. Rand Paul (R-KY), Sen. [Josh Hawley](#) (R-MS), Rep. Thomas Massie (R-KY), Rep. Marjorie Taylor-Greene (R-GA), and Rep. Matt Gaetz (R-FL).

Republicans like Taylor-Greene, Gaetz, and Hawley understand the cost of empire: endless warfare, a decaying home front, and a beclowned international reputation. They understand that a war between the US and Russia will be unlike anything Americans have ever experienced. Although they cloak their condemnation of war with Russia in criticism of "weak Joe Biden," they understand it is the West that provoked this conflict and seeks to prolong it "[to the last Ukrainian](#)." They know that the conflict – even if it remains by-proxy – is a cost war-weary working class Americans do not want and cannot afford.

They must, then, realize that the same Washington elites waxing American fat off the Ukraine conflict are cultivating Taiwanese President Tsai Ing-wen as a Zelensky in-waiting.

Although US military aid to Taiwan traditionally comes by way of arms sales, that may soon change. Senators Bob Menendez (D-NJ) and Lindsey Graham (R-SC) have introduced the Taiwan Policy Act – a piece of legislation that would radically overhaul Sino-American relations.

[In short](#), "the Taiwan Policy Act would give Taiwan \$6.5 billion in military aid, give the island the benefits of being a 'major non-NATO ally,' expedite arms sales to Taipei, and require sanction in the event of Chinese aggression." The bill would also authorize up to \$2 billion in loans to Taiwan.

On September 14, the bill passed the Senate Foreign Relations Committee. Rather than passing it as a standalone piece of legislation, the bill's supporters [currently seek](#) to incorporate "much" of the bill into the \$817 billion 2023 National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA).

Thankfully, on Monday, it was revealed that the diplomatic language applying sanctions against China and designating Taiwan as a "major non-NATO ally," was removed from the NDAA version of the Taiwan Policy Act. However, this latest NDAA version would [almost double](#) the \$6.5 billion in direct military aid originally proposed, bringing the grand total to \$10 billion.

A final vote on the modified NDAA is expected after the November midterm elections.

As above noted, the Taiwan Policy Act was introduced in the Senate on June 16, 2022 by Senators Bob Menendez and Lindsey Graham. Both [Menendez](#) and [Graham](#) are ardent supporters of Ukraine and Zelensky.

Graham [met with Zelensky](#) in July to hand deliver a plaque of his proposed Senate resolution to designate Russia as a State Sponsor of Terrorism. Since the Russian invasion, Graham has made regular appearances on Fox News whipping up lethal aid for Ukraine while [calling for regime change](#) in Moscow.

Menendez, as Chair of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, has spearheaded Washington's Ukraine support. In January, he [began](#) and [continues](#) to lead the comprehensive US sanctions campaign against Russia. In March, Menendez [lambasted](#) Congressional Republicans, mainly Senator Rick Scott (R-FL), for undermining Ukraine aid. In May, Menendez, among others, [introduced](#) a Senate resolution approving the bids of Finland and Sweden to join NATO (something Josh Hawley [correctly opposed](#)).

On June 23 Menendez specifically invoked the 75th anniversary of the Marshall Plan to stoke support for Ukraine. The problem with this comparison is that the Marshall Plan came after WWII, not during it. At this point in time, a similar plan would only further involve the US in a conflict with Russia.

Republicans opposing US support for Ukraine should take note that both Menendez and Graham have [repeatedly](#) met with Taiwanese President Tsai Ing-wen to pledge American support for Taiwan. In their latest visit on April 15, 2022, president Ing-wen called Lindsey Graham a "pillar of strength for Taiwan in the US Congress" and dubbed Menendez one of Taiwan's "staunchest friends."

In his meeting remarks, Graham likened US support for Ukraine to its support for Taiwan, saying:

[a]s we're here today to show our support for Taiwan, all of us have our hearts broken regarding the people of Ukraine...I just want to let you know that, while we've been watching the Ukraine on television, while it has broken our hearts, the American people understand how important you are to us...So here's my promise to the Taiwanese people: We're going to start making China pay a greater price for what they're doing all over the world. The support for Putin must come with a price. The never-ending cyberattacks on your economy and your people by the Communist Chinese need to come with a price.

Menendez echoed Graham's sentiment in his own remarks, shedding light on Washington's Ukrainian plans for Taiwan:

...I am proud to be back to reaffirm our rock-solid relationship with Taiwan...So you have a high-level delegation whose attention could be brought any place in the world - and for which many of our colleagues are right now in Europe, dealing with the challenges of Ukraine - but we understand that here in Taiwan, here in this region - this is where the future is. [Emphasis added].

Menendez followed up these remarks with an [op-ed](#) in The New York Times, stating:

Vladimir Putin's brutal attack on his Ukrainian neighbors has sparked global outrage -

and forged unprecedented unity – among the democratic nations of the world. Not so with Xi Jinping, the hypernationalist president of the People’s Republic of China. Rather, he is no doubt taking notes and learning lessons from Russia’s unprovoked attack on Ukraine to apply to his plans for Taiwan. The United States and our partners in the international community need to do the same to develop and put in place a new and more resilient strategy for Taiwan while there is still time.

These remarks should terrify working class Americans. Essentially, Menendez is proposing a redoubling of military support for Taiwan – the same “preventive policy” which [played a large role](#) in provoking Putin to invade Ukraine. We simply cannot afford it.

The above-named Congressional Republicans were right to oppose aid to Ukraine. For those [same reasons](#), they should oppose adding Tsai Ing-wen to the same dole as the entitled and ungrateful Zelensky. [Like Rand Paul](#), they should oppose the Taiwan Policy Act in all its forms.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

*Featured image: Ukrainian President Volodymyr Zelensky seen earlier this year. (Ukrainian Presidential Press Service)*

The original source of this article is [The Libertarian Institute](#)  
Copyright © [Patrick MacFarlane](#), [The Libertarian Institute](#), 2022

---

**[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)**

**[Become a Member of Global Research](#)**

Articles by: [Patrick MacFarlane](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)