

The Washington Based “Arabia Foundation”: U.S. Media Boosts Obvious Saudi Front Group as Neutral “Think Tank”

By [Adam Johnson](#)

Global Research, March 29, 2018
[FAIR](#) 28 March 2018

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#)
Theme: [Media Disinformation](#), [Terrorism](#)

The [Arabia Foundation](#) appeared in spring 2016, seemingly out of nowhere, as a Saudi-focused think tank with “ties to Riyadh,” but vaguely independent of the regime. Or at least independent enough so that media wouldn’t represent it as an extension of the kingdom. But the past few weeks have clearly shown it to be little more than a PR outlet for de facto Saudi ruler Mohammed bin Salman and his sprawling, opaque business interests.

After multiple requests by FAIR for its donors, the Arabia Foundation refused to give any, other than its founder, Saudi investment banker [Ali Shihabi](#). It insists it doesn’t take money from “the Saudi government,” but instead is backed by unnamed private Saudi citizens.

Our Mission

Team

Advisory Board

The Arabia Foundation is an independent, Washington, DC-based think tank focused on the geopolitics and socioeconomics of the Middle East with a particular focus on the states of the Arabian Peninsula.

Established in 2017, our core mission is to provide insights and encourage debate on the domestic and foreign politics of key regional states and non-state actors as well as their relationships with the United States. We also aim to highlight and contextualize the significant social and economic transformations that are currently taking place within many of these countries.

The distinction between private citizens and the “government” in the hereditary monarchy of Saudi Arabia is notoriously blurry, but one connection is worth noting: The [registered agent and legal counsel](#) of the Arabia Foundation, Eric L. Lewis, [represented the Saudi government](#) and related “charities” in the lawsuit brought by families of 9/11 victims over the Saudi royal family’s role in the September 11 attacks. The [website](#) of Lewis’ law firm, Lewis, Baach, Kaufmann and Middlemiss, boasts it has “extensive experience representing and advising foreign sovereigns, including the governments of Saudi Arabia, Kuwait and Egypt.”



Image: A Saudi government spokesperson couldn't have said it better (Washington Post, [5/31/17](#)).

The **New York Times** ([11/30/18](#)) has described the group as “close to the Saudi government,” while the **Washington Post**'s Ishaan Tharoor ([11/6/17](#)) noted it had “close ties to the kingdom.” That doesn't stop the **Post** opinion section from running multiple op-eds from Arabia Foundation figures ([5/31/17](#), [12/20/17](#), [1/4/18](#), [1/22/18](#)). In most press appearances, the group is simply identified as “a Washington-based think tank.” Absent documented evidence of who exactly funds the group, why should media not assume—based on its connections to the government and cartoonishly pro-bin Salman line—that the Arabia Foundation is a front group for the government?

In repeated interviews (**BBC World News**, [3/20/18](#); **Morning Joe**, [3/20/18](#); **CNN**, [3/19/18](#)) last week, Shihabi, the head of the nominally independent group, spun for war crimes, human rights abuses and a whole host of morally dubious activities carried out by the increasingly despotic Saudi ruler. The Arabia Foundation's ties to the Saudi government are never noted or even vaguely referenced in these interviews.



Image: MSNBC's Mika Brzezinski interviews the Arabia Foundation's Ali Shihabi on Morning Joe ([3/20/18](#))

On **MSNBC's Morning Joe**, after saying the “crown prince” has engaged in a “massive corruption crackdown” (a wholly PR frame discredited earlier this month by the **New York Times**, [3/11/18](#)), host Mika Brzezinski teed up Shihabi to comment on Saudi Arabia. The softball interview that followed hit all of the regime's central premises without question: as

well as “cracking down on corruption,” bin Salman is “modernizing Saudi Arabia” and “taking on the religious establishment.”

No one on the panel brought up Saudi Arabia’s ongoing [war crimes](#) in Yemen—consistent with **MSNBC**’s network-wide virtual blackout on one of the world’s worst humanitarian crises (**FAIR.org**, [3/20/18](#)). The Council on Foreign Relations’ Richard Haas made one opaque reference to Saudi “war with Yemen,” but didn’t note the thousands killed or up to one million infected with cholera; the US-backed war was simply dismissed as a “strategic overreach.” The **New York Times**’ Elisabeth Bumiller, another panelist, did get in a question about torture, which Ali Shihabi dismissed as having “no evidence” despite Bumiller speaking with several doctors who witnessed it.

Reliable Saudi stenographer David Iglesias who, as FAIR ([4/28/17](#)) noted last year, has been running the same reformist press release for the royal family for 15 years, continued his unique brand of faux criticism, insisting that the Saudi prince was too “bold”—the political commentary equivalent of answering “I work too hard” when asked on a job interview what your biggest flaw is.

Shihabi claimed without irony that what Saudi Arabia needed was “autocracy to affect change,” and a “benevolent autocrat.” His evidence that the masses approved of bin Salman’s “bold, needed” leadership was approved of by the masses? That there has been “no bloodshed, there’s been no demonstration, no domestic strife.” Of course, the last time there were anti-government demonstrations, in 2011, the Saudi military [opened fire on protesters](#), and [snuffed out resistance](#) with torture and extrajudicial killings. In 2017, when one Shia town resisted the regime, Riyadh [flattened](#) an entire neighborhood. This could perhaps be why the general population isn’t quick to take to the streets, but the Arabia Foundation insist it’s an implicit admission the crown prince is loved and popular.

The **CNN** and **BBC** interviews, likewise, didn’t note the Arabia Foundation’s obvious ties to the Saudi regime.



Image: A typical Forbes post ([2/1/18](#)) by Arabia Foundation “non-resident scholar” Ellen Wald.

Forbes keeps running “op-eds” by Arabia Foundation fellow Ellen Wald that amount to little more than press releases for Saudi investment opportunities (e.g., [12/11/17](#), [2/1/18](#), [3/13/18](#)). Another pundit on the Arabia Foundation’s payroll, Bernard Haykel, writes fawning profiles of bin Salman in the **Washington Post** ([1/22/18](#)) without disclosing he’s a founding director of the organization—instead listing his more benign academic credentials.

The Arabia Foundation is so satisfied with the media’s presentation of its messaging that it routinely [tweets out articles](#) it’s featured in and TV appearances it’s had, knowing its messaging is syncing up nicely with bin Salman’s PR tour to the United States. “Yemen is a tragedy. Wars are a tragedy. Saudi is aware of that and is going out of its way to try to address humanitarian issues there,” boasted [one tweet](#), quoting Shihabi’s interview with the **BBC**.

By contrast, this obtuse inability to connect dots is absent when discussing think tanks “close to” the Syrian government. Never is the Assad-connected British Syrian Society set up as a neutral arbiter of affairs of the Syrian conflict. It is met with disdain, painted as “little more than a Syrian regime propaganda exercise” (**Guardian**, [10/26/17](#)), the “mouthpiece in the West” (**Middle East Eye**, [10/19/17](#)) for a war crime-committing tyrant. Those who associate with it, including academics, journalists and British members of parliament, are publicly shamed for participating in a “regime PR exercise” (**Independent**, [10/29/16](#)). Yet somehow the “Saudi-connected” Arabia Foundation, which cheers on a “benevolent autocrat” as he rains bombs on Yemen and uses [hunger as a weapon of war](#), receives no such moral banishment. Instead, it is dressed up as just another respectable think tank.

The fact that the Arabia Foundation is a thinly veiled PR firm for the Saudi government matters. The average reader or viewer would take Shihabi and his network of mercenary “fellows” less seriously if they were presented as spokespeople for a repressive government rather than quasi-academics from a impressive-sounding “foundation.”

With all the hysteria surrounding **RT** and foreign influence on the American public, one might think such an obvious racket would give editors and TV producers pause. But the same rules don’t apply to American allies. Their propaganda is treated not like a sinister “influence operation,” but like a respectable group of academics calling balls and strikes on international affairs.

The original source of this article is [FAIR](#)
Copyright © [Adam Johnson](#), [FAIR](#), 2018

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Adam Johnson](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca